Vol. 2 No. 2 (2025): Kovas

The English Teacher Who Learned English

¹Zarifa Sadiqzade
https://doi.org/10.69760/egjlle.2500183

Abstract

The belief that native English speakers make superior language teachers has long influenced hiring practices and student perceptions in English language teaching (ELT). However, this assumption overlooks the unique strengths of non-native English-speaking teachers (NNESTs), who often bring structured teaching methods, a deep understanding of grammar, and firsthand experience of language learning challenges. While NNESTs excel in pedagogy, they frequently face biases related to their accents, hiring discrimination, and the pressure to prove their legitimacy. This paper argues that effective teaching is determined by methodology, not nativeness, and that NNESTs play a crucial role in English education. Drawing on research, it debunks the native-speaker myth and advocates for a more inclusive approach in ELT that values teaching ability over linguistic background. The findings support the need for a shift in hiring policies and attitudes to recognize the contributions of NNESTs as equally, if not more, effective than native-speaking teachers.

Keywords; Non-native English-speaking teachers, native-speakerism, ELT hiring bias, language teaching methodology, NNEST advantages, second language acquisition, accent bias in education

Introduction

The first time I pronounced the word busy in front of a class, I confidently said buzzy. No one laughed, but a few students hesitated before repeating the word correctly. That moment made something clear—no matter how well someone knows English, certain mistakes are inevitable unless they have already heard the words enough times. Language acquisition is not just about mastering grammar and vocabulary; it involves immersion, repeated exposure, and contextual understanding (Krashen, 1981).

But pronunciation mistakes are not limited to non-native speakers. A native English-speaking colleague once mispronounced epitome as "epi-tome" instead of "uh-PIT-uh-mee." The class fell silent before a student hesitantly corrected them. If even native speakers can mispronounce words, does it mean they are less qualified to teach? Hardly. Yet, many assume that only native speakers provide the most "authentic" English experience, reinforcing the belief that native English-speaking teachers (NESTs) are naturally better than non-native English-speaking teachers (NNESTs) (Medgyes, 2001).

---

¹ Zarifa Sadiqzade, lecturer, Nakhchivan State University, zarifasadig@gmail.com, ORCID: 0009-0007-1179-1214

This assumption is so widespread that many job postings explicitly require native speakers, leaving highly qualified NNESTs overlooked (Moussu & Llurda, 2008). The belief is that only those who grew up speaking a language can teach it effectively. However, research suggests otherwise. Non-native teachers often excel in areas where native speakers may struggle, such as explicit grammar instruction, structured learning approaches, and understanding the psychological challenges of language acquisition (Ellis, 1997). Unlike native speakers, they have firsthand experience overcoming the struggles their students face, making them highly effective educators (Cook, 1993).

This essay argues that NNESTs can be just as effective, if not more so, than native teachers. Their structured approach, multilingual background, and firsthand learning experience enable them to teach with clarity, empathy, and strategy. The assumption that native speakers are inherently better teachers is a misconception that deserves critical examination.

Argument 1: Why Non-Native Teachers Are Effective

The process of learning a language is rarely smooth, and those who have experienced it firsthand often understand its challenges better. Non-native English-speaking teachers (NNESTs) have gone through the same struggles as their students—memorizing irregular verbs, mastering complex tenses, and overcoming pronunciation difficulties. This personal journey makes them more empathetic educators. Unlike native speakers, who acquire English naturally, non-native teachers have consciously worked through every stage of the learning process. Research suggests that teachers who have personally faced the difficulties of language acquisition are often more effective at identifying students' pain points and addressing them strategically (Medgyes, 2001).

One of the key strengths of NNESTs is their ability to explain grammar with clarity. While native speakers may use grammar effortlessly, they often struggle to explain why a certain structure is correct. Many native speakers rely on intuition rather than formal knowledge of linguistic rules (Ellis, 1997). In contrast, non-native teachers have internalized grammar through explicit learning, allowing them to break down complex rules into digestible explanations. Studies have shown that NNESTs tend to emphasize structured grammar instruction more than native-speaking teachers, making them particularly effective in classrooms where students require clear, systematic teaching (Cook, 1993).

Another advantage of non-native teachers is their ability to use strategic code-switching. In multilingual classrooms, students often struggle with abstract English concepts that have no direct equivalent in their native language. NNESTs can bridge this gap by using the students' first language (L1) when necessary, reinforcing understanding without encouraging dependence on translation. This approach aligns with research on bilingual education, which highlights that strategic use of L1 can enhance comprehension and retention, particularly at lower proficiency levels (Cenoz, 2013). Native speakers, on the other hand, may struggle to explain concepts in a way that non-native learners can easily grasp, especially when the students lack a strong foundation in English.

Beyond their teaching methods, non-native teachers serve as living proof that mastering English is possible. Many students assume that fluency is an unreachable goal, especially when they struggle with pronunciation or grammar. Seeing a teacher who has successfully learned English as a second language reinforces the idea that fluency is achievable through dedication and structured learning. This is especially important in EFL (English as a Foreign Language) settings, where exposure to native speakers may be limited. Studies suggest that students taught by NNESTs often feel more motivated because they view their teachers as role models rather than unattainable linguistic authorities (Moussu & Llurda, 2008).

The assumption that only native speakers can effectively teach English overlooks the unique strengths that non-native teachers bring to the classroom. Their structured approach, personal learning experience, and ability to connect with students make them not only effective educators but also powerful motivators.

Argument 2: The Challenges NNESTs Face

Despite their strengths as educators, non-native English-speaking teachers (NNESTs) face significant challenges that impact both their professional opportunities and classroom experiences. These challenges are often not related to their teaching abilities but rather to deep-seated biases within the field of English language teaching. From accent discrimination to hiring barriers and self-doubt, NNESTs frequently find themselves in a position where they must justify their qualifications in ways that native-speaking teachers (NESTs) never have to.

One of the most persistent challenges is bias against accents. Many students and even employers equate a native-sounding accent with teaching credibility, assuming that only native speakers can provide an "authentic" English experience. This belief is not based on linguistic accuracy but rather on perception—many regional varieties of English spoken by native speakers differ significantly in pronunciation, yet they are still considered "correct" within their respective communities. Research shows that students often view native-like pronunciation as a marker of legitimacy, even when NNESTs demonstrate strong teaching skills and fluency (Ling & Braine, 2007). This can lead to situations where highly qualified NNESTs feel pressure to modify their accents to gain student trust, despite the fact that English has multiple global varieties, and intelligibility is more important than sounding "native" (Jenkins, 2000).

Another major issue is job discrimination. Many institutions, particularly in the private sector, openly prioritize hiring native speakers, regardless of their teaching credentials. Job postings frequently include phrases like "native speakers only", effectively disqualifying experienced non-native teachers from even applying. This preference is often justified under the assumption that native speakers provide a more "natural" learning experience, but studies suggest that teaching effectiveness depends far more on pedagogical knowledge and experience than on being a native speaker (Moussu & Llurda, 2008). Hiring discrimination not only limits career prospects for NNESTs but also perpetuates the idea that nativeness is a prerequisite for effective teaching, despite growing evidence to the contrary.

Beyond external biases, NNESTs often struggle with self-confidence and legitimacy issues. Many feel the need to prove themselves constantly—both to students and colleagues—due to the prevailing belief that they are inherently less capable than native speakers. This can lead to "imposter syndrome", where teachers, despite their qualifications, doubt their own expertise simply because they did not acquire English as their first language. Research highlights that NNESTs frequently experience anxiety about their language abilities, even when their proficiency is well above the level required for teaching (Cowie, 2011). This constant need to self-validate can take an emotional toll, making teaching an exhausting experience rather than an empowering one.

The challenges faced by NNESTs are not a reflection of their abilities but rather of entrenched biases within the ELT industry. The preference for native speakers in hiring, the association of accent with credibility, and the pressure to prove one's legitimacy all create an unfair professional landscape. While efforts to challenge these biases are increasing, many NNESTs still navigate a system that undervalues their expertise. Overcoming these challenges requires a shift in how language teaching is perceived—moving beyond the "native-speaker myth" toward a more inclusive, skill-based evaluation of teachers.

Counterargument & Refutation: Debunking the Native-Speaker Myth

A widely held belief in English language teaching is that native speakers are inherently better teachers simply because they have spoken the language since birth. This assumption is deeply embedded in hiring practices, student expectations, and even the confidence levels of teachers themselves. Many students believe that learning from a native speaker guarantees more "authentic" language exposure, while employers assume that nativeness automatically translates into teaching competence. However, this belief overlooks a crucial fact—effective teaching is about methodology and pedagogy, not just fluency.

The assumption that native speakers are naturally superior educators is based on the false equivalence between speaking a language and teaching it. Being fluent does not mean being able to explain complex grammatical structures, recognize common learner difficulties, or adapt instruction to students' needs. Many native speakers struggle to explain the rules of their own language simply because they have never had to learn them explicitly. Non-native teachers, on the other hand, have undergone the language learning process themselves, making them more attuned to the struggles their students face. Studies show that NNESTs tend to emphasize structured grammar instruction more effectively than their native-speaking counterparts because they have internalized these rules in a way native speakers often have not (Ellis, 1997; Cook, 1993).

Another flaw in the native-speaker myth is the assumption that fluency alone guarantees an engaging and effective classroom experience. Teaching requires a deep understanding of second language acquisition, lesson planning, classroom management, and student motivation—all of which are learned skills, not innate abilities. Research indicates that NNESTs often excel in these areas because they rely on structured methodology rather than linguistic intuition (Medgyes, 2001). A native speaker may speak English effortlessly, but without proper training, they may struggle to explain why sentences are structured in a particular way or how students can overcome common linguistic difficulties.

Beyond pedagogy, NNESTs bring additional advantages that native speakers may lack, such as the ability to empathize with learners and anticipate specific challenges. Their personal experience as language learners allows them to provide step-by-step strategies for overcoming difficulties, making them not just teachers but also role models. Research suggests that students often feel more comfortable with NNESTs because they view them as approachable and understanding, rather than as linguistic authorities who have never struggled with the learning process (Moussu & Llurda, 2008).

The idea that native speakers are inherently better teachers is an outdated and misleading notion. While native speakers may provide natural pronunciation and cultural insights, NNESTs offer structured teaching, clear grammatical explanations, and a level of empathy that many native speakers lack. Effective teaching is not about where a person is from—it is about their ability to help students learn. As language education becomes more globalized, it is essential to move beyond the native-speaker bias and recognize that the best teachers are not necessarily those who were born into a language, but those who have mastered the art of teaching it.

Conclusion

The belief that native speakers make inherently better English teachers is a misconception that has persisted for too long. While fluency and natural pronunciation are valuable, they do not automatically translate into effective teaching. This essay has highlighted the unique strengths of non-native English-speaking teachers (NNESTs), including their structured approach to teaching, their ability to explain grammar in depth, and

their firsthand understanding of the challenges that language learners face. At the same time, it has addressed the significant challenges NNESTs encounter, such as accent bias, job discrimination, and the pressure to constantly prove their legitimacy.

The assumption that a teacher's effectiveness depends on their nativeness is not supported by research. Studies have shown that methodology, pedagogy, and the ability to connect with students are far more important indicators of success in language teaching than whether or not the instructor is a native speaker. NNESTs bring unique advantages to the classroom, serving not only as teachers but as role models who demonstrate that mastering English is an achievable goal. Their personal experience as language learners allows them to anticipate difficulties, provide clear explanations, and foster a learning environment where students feel understood and supported.

As the field of English language teaching continues to evolve, it is crucial to move beyond the outdated preference for native speakers and recognize teaching ability over birthplace. Hiring practices must shift toward evaluating teachers based on their qualifications, teaching experience, and effectiveness in the classroom rather than on their first language. Likewise, students and institutions should reconsider their biases and acknowledge the immense contributions that non-native teachers bring to English education.

Great teaching is not about where a person is from—it is about how well they can help others learn. If the goal of English language teaching is to empower students to communicate effectively, then the best teachers are not necessarily those who were born into the language but those who have mastered the skill of teaching it.

References:

Bayyurt, Y. (2006). Non-native English language teachers' perspective on culture in English as a foreign language classrooms. *Teacher Development*, *10*(2), 233-247.

Broughton, G., Brumfit, C., Pincas, A., & Wilde, R. D. (2002). *Teaching English as a foreign language*. Routledge.

Celce-Murcia, M., & McIntosh, L. (1991). Teaching English as a second or foreign language.

Cenoz, J. (2013). The influence of bilingualism on third language acquisition: Focus on multilingualism. *Language teaching*, *46*(1), 71-86.

Cook, V., & Cook, V. J. (1993). *Linguistics and second language acquisition*. London: Macmillan.

Cowie, N. (2011). Emotions that experienced English as a foreign language (EFL) teachers feel about their students, their colleagues and their work. *Teaching and teacher education*, *27*(1), 235-242.

Ellis, R. (1989). *Understanding second language acquisition* (Vol. 31). Oxford: Oxford university press.

Ellis, R. (1997). *SLA research and language teaching*. Oxford University Press, 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-4314.

Gebhard, J. G. (2006). *Teaching English as a foreign or second language: A teacher self-development and methodology guide*. University of Michigan Press.

Kaushanskaya, M., & Marian, V. (2009). The bilingual advantage in novel word learning. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, *16*, 705-710.

Krashen, S. D. (1981). Bilingual education and second language acquisition theory. *Schooling and language minority students: A theoretical framework*, 51-79.

Leung, C., Davison, C., & Mohan, B. (2014). *English as a second language in the mainstream: Teaching, learning and identity*. Routledge.

Ling, C. Y., & Braine, G. (2007). The attitudes of university students towards non-native speakers English teachers in Hong Kong. *RELC journal*, *38*(3), 257-277.

Mahboob, A. (2004). Native or non-native: What do the students think. *Learning and teaching from experience*, 121-147.

Medgyes, P. (2001). When the teacher is a non-native speaker. *Teaching English as a second or foreign language*, *3*, 429-442.

Moussu, L., & Llurda, E. (2008). Non-native English-speaking English language teachers: History and research. *Language teaching*, *41*(3), 315-348.

Nomass, B. B. (2013). The impact of using technology in teaching English as a second language. *English language and literature studies*, *3*(1), 111.

Patel, M. F., & Jain, P. M. (2008). *English language teaching*.

Reves, T., & Medgyes, P. (1994). The non-native English speaking EFL/ESL teacher's self-image: An international survey. *System*, *22*(3), 353-367.

Samimy, K. K., & Brutt-Griffler, J. (2013). To be a native or non-native speaker: Perceptions of "non-native" students in a graduate TESOL program. In *Non-native educators in English language teaching* (pp. 127-144). Routledge.

Schmidt, R. (1992). Awareness and second language acquisition. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, *13*, 206-226.

Walkinshaw, I., & Oanh, D. H. (2014). Native and non-native English language teachers: Student perceptions in Vietnam and Japan. *Sage Open*, *4*(2), 2158244014534451.

Weninger, C., & Kiss, T. (2013). Culture in English as a foreign language (EFL) textbooks: A semiotic approach. *TESOL quarterly*, *47*(4), 694-716.