



The Role of Theatre in the Formation of National Ideology

¹ Elza Farzaliyeva, ² Tofiq Seyidov

<https://doi.org/10.69760/egille.2500198>

Abstract

This article explores the complex role of theatre in the formation and dissemination of national ideology. It argues that theatre operates not only as a reflective medium but also as an active participant in shaping national consciousness. Drawing on theories from sociosemiotics, performance studies, and postcolonial analysis, the article examines how theatrical practices legitimize state power, construct collective memory, and reinforce or challenge dominant narratives. Historical examples, including state-sponsored performances and commemorative dramas, are analyzed alongside contemporary intercultural and globalized theatre practices. Particular attention is given to how theatre serves as a site of ideological negotiation—capable of both stabilizing hegemonic structures and promoting pluralistic, resistant expressions of identity. Through its symbolic language, ritual forms, and emotional resonance, theatre emerges as a key apparatus in constructing and contesting what it means to belong to a nation. The findings have important implications for cultural policy, education, and the ongoing evolution of national discourse.

Keywords; *theatre, national ideology, cultural identity, performance, intercultural theatre, nationalism, memory-making*

1. Introduction

The concept of national ideology encompasses the collective beliefs, values, myths, and historical narratives that serve to unify and define a nation's identity. It operates as a socio-political framework that legitimizes governance, instills a sense of belonging, and shapes citizens' perceptions of their cultural and historical roles (Edensor, 2020). National ideology is not static; it

¹ Farzaliyeva, E. Senior Lecturer, Department of Theatre and Cultural Studies, Nakhchivan State University. Email: elzaferzeliyeva@ndu.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0876-0615>.

² Seyidov, T. Senior Lecturer, Department of Theatre and Cultural Studies, Nakhchivan State University. Email: tofiqseyidov@ndu.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2960-8973>



is continually negotiated, reinforced, or contested through various social institutions, among which theatre holds a unique and historically influential place.

Theatre, as both a cultural practice and a public performance medium, has played a vital role in the formation and dissemination of national ideology. Historically, theatre has been used as a tool to articulate state power, reinforce dominant narratives, and construct a shared sense of nationhood. In *The National Stage*, Kruger (1992) argues that theatre in England, France, and America has served as a form of cultural legitimation, offering audiences dramatized versions of national identity through language, space, and representation (Babayev, 2023). Similarly, Montrose (1996) highlights how Shakespearean drama in the Elizabethan era contributed to the cultural politics of the time by embedding ideological discourses within popular entertainment. These historical precedents demonstrate that theatre is not merely reflective of social norms but actively participates in shaping them.

This article aims to analyze how theatre functions as a cultural and ideological apparatus in the construction of national identity. It situates theatre at the intersection of aesthetics and power, drawing on theoretical insights from cultural studies, semiotics, and performance theory. By exploring a range of historical and contemporary examples, the paper interrogates the ways in which theatre becomes a site for ideological inscription and negotiation.

To structure this investigation, the following research questions guide the analysis:

1. In what ways has theatre historically contributed to the construction of national identity?
2. How does theatrical performance encode ideological narratives?
3. What is the role of audience reception in reinforcing or challenging national ideologies?

Through these questions, the article seeks to reveal the mechanisms by which theatre—often seen as a space of imagination and creativity—can serve as a potent vehicle for national ideological formation.

2. Theoretical Framework

Understanding the role of theatre in the formation of national ideology requires an interdisciplinary approach that draws from semiotics, performance studies, and postcolonial theory. This section outlines three key theoretical perspectives that frame the analysis: sociosemiotics, performance theory, and cultural/postcolonial studies.

2.1 Sociosemiotics and Ideology in Theatre

Theatre functions as a complex system of signs and symbols through which ideological meanings are constructed, transmitted, and interpreted. According to Alter (1990), theatre is inherently sociosemiotic—its meanings arise not merely from scripted language but from the interplay of visual, spatial, and performative codes that operate within specific cultural contexts. These codes carry ideological weight, shaping how audiences perceive identity, power, and belonging.



Carlson (1989) extends this view by emphasizing the architectural and spatial dimensions of performance venues. He suggests that theatre architecture itself participates in meaning-making, structuring not only the aesthetic experience but also the social dynamics of inclusion and exclusion. Through scenography, gesture, and dramaturgy, theatre encodes values aligned with dominant or contested national ideologies, making it a powerful semiotic instrument of cultural influence.

2.2 Performance Theory and National Consciousness

Performance theory contributes a dynamic understanding of theatre as a process rather than a fixed product. Schechner (1973) argues that performance is a “restored behavior”—a pattern of actions that can be repeated, reshaped, and recontextualized in different ideological frameworks. This performative repetition is central to the construction of national myths and rituals.

Building on this, Reinelt (2002) highlights the political dimension of theatrical performance, particularly in its capacity to blur the boundaries between representation and reality. She contends that theatricality can function as a form of discourse that legitimizes or questions national ideologies by foregrounding processes of identification and spectatorship. In this way, performance becomes both a means of ideological reproduction and a space for potential disruption.

2.3 Cultural and Postcolonial Perspectives

Theatre's role in shaping national identity becomes especially pronounced in colonial and postcolonial contexts, where performance often serves as a site of cultural negotiation and resistance. Bharucha (2000) asserts that theatre operates at the intersection of global forces and local traditions, making it an arena where contested identities and ideologies are performed and debated.

Similarly, Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2009) explores the theatre of nationalism in postcolonial Africa, where cultural performances are mobilized to articulate both resistance to colonial legacies and the construction of imagined national communities. These perspectives emphasize that theatre is never ideologically neutral; rather, it is a field where power, memory, and identity intersect in often contradictory ways.

3. Theatre as an Ideological Apparatus

Throughout history, theatre has not only served as a medium for entertainment or aesthetic expression but also as an apparatus for ideological production. It functions as a space where the state and other cultural institutions can articulate, perform, and normalize specific narratives that contribute to the shaping of national consciousness (Babayev, 2023). This section explores how theatre has been used to legitimize state authority, foster national unity through ritual and spectacle, and promote ideological agendas via propaganda and nationalist discourse.

3.1 Theatre in the Legitimation of State Power



Theatre has long been instrumental in reinforcing state authority and legitimizing prevailing power structures. Kruger (1992) provides a comparative study of theatre in England, France, and America, demonstrating how national stages have historically operated to validate cultural hierarchies and support state ideologies. In these contexts, theatre becomes a vehicle for "cultural legitimation," promoting narratives that align with national ideals and political agendas.

A particularly striking example of this dynamic is found in Brandenberger's (2002) study of Stalinist Russia, where theatre and other cultural forms were tightly controlled by the state to promote a homogenized vision of national identity. Under the Soviet regime, theatre became a tool of mass ideological education, supporting the goals of National Bolshevism and reinforcing narratives of unity, strength, and socialist superiority. In such regimes, theatre's capacity for emotional engagement and collective experience makes it a powerful instrument of statecraft (Ahmedova, 2023).

3.2 The Use of Ritual and Spectacle in Constructing National Unity

Rituals and spectacles play a significant role in constructing a collective national identity, often using performative elements to generate emotional and symbolic cohesion. Theatre, in this regard, functions as a civic ritual that brings together communities to witness, celebrate, or reaffirm national values. Kong and Yeoh (2017) analyze Singapore's National Day parades as theatrical spectacles designed to perform national unity. Their study shows how carefully curated performances—through music, choreography, costuming, and symbolism—serve to project an idealized image of national harmony and shared purpose.

Similarly, Gillis (1996) emphasizes the role of commemorative theatre in reinforcing collective memory and national belonging. Through theatrical representations of historical events, societies are able to ritualize their pasts and foster a sense of continuity and unity. These performances are not neutral recollections but carefully constructed narratives that support state-sponsored interpretations of history and identity.

3.3 Theatre in Propaganda and Nationalism: A Comparative Perspective

Theatre has also been a prominent site for the propagation of nationalist ideologies, often blurring the lines between cultural expression and political messaging. Knapp (2018) explores how the American musical has historically contributed to the formation of national identity by embedding themes of patriotism, heroism, and American exceptionalism within popular narratives. Musicals such as *1776* or *Hamilton* illustrate how theatrical entertainment can reinforce dominant political ideals while shaping public sentiment.

From a European perspective, Fischer-Lichte and Riley (1997) provide a broader historical view of how theatre has functioned as a tool of national expression and ideological reinforcement. In times of political unrest or national crisis, theatre has frequently been mobilized to stir patriotic emotion, affirm social hierarchies, or present utopian ideals. Whether through historical dramas, national epics, or state-funded productions, theatre often becomes an arena for performative nationalism.



4. Theatre and the Production of National Identity

The construction of national identity is an ongoing, performative process deeply embedded in cultural practices and collective representations. Theatre plays a central role in this process, acting as a public stage where national myths, symbols, and collective memories are performed, reinterpreted, and reinforced. This section explores how theatre intersects with popular culture and national symbols, contributes to commemorative memory-making, and serves as an educational tool for instilling ideological values (Sadikhova, 2022).

4.1 *Intersections of Popular Culture and National Symbols*

National identity is often communicated through the integration of theatrical elements within the realm of popular culture. Edensor (2020) argues that popular culture is a crucial site where national identities are rehearsed and stabilized through repeated symbols, performances, and narratives that reflect everyday life. Theatre, by bridging the popular and the symbolic, provides a means for articulating national ideals in ways that are both accessible and resonant for broad audiences (Sadikhova, 2024).

Sierz (2011), in his exploration of contemporary British theatre, discusses how playwrights and directors engage with national themes, using both traditional and subversive techniques to address issues of identity, belonging, and exclusion. Through satire, political drama, and realism, modern theatre continues to navigate the terrain of national discourse, often acting as both a mirror and critic of the prevailing ideological landscape (Садыхова, 2015).

4.2 *Theatre as a Tool of Commemoration and Memory-Making*

Theatre contributes significantly to the shaping of collective memory, especially in the context of national commemorations. Gillis (1996) emphasizes that commemorative performances not only recall the past but also actively construct it, selecting which historical narratives are highlighted, forgotten, or mythologized. These staged memories serve to reinforce national identity by presenting a shared sense of heritage and continuity.

In this context, theatre functions as a ritualized act of remembrance—where the re-enactment of historical moments becomes a symbolic reaffirmation of national values. Whether in state-sponsored productions, public pageants, or anniversary performances, theatre engages audiences emotionally and symbolically, embedding the nation's memory in dramatic form.

4.3 *The Role of Educational Theatre in Shaping Ideological Values*

Beyond its commemorative and cultural functions, theatre also plays a formative role in education and civic development (Ahmedova et al., 2025). Educational theatre—whether in schools, community projects, or national youth programs—serves as a means of ideological transmission. Jackson (2007) explores the pedagogical dimensions of theatre, highlighting its potential to shape young minds through the dramatization of ethical, historical, and national themes. Through



participation and interpretation, students are invited to internalize values aligned with national identity.

Shepherd and Wallis (2004) further argue that drama and performance offer unique opportunities for experiential learning, where ideological narratives are not only taught but enacted. This embodied learning allows individuals to engage with national discourses in a more affective and personal way, reinforcing both cultural literacy and national consciousness.

5. Intercultural and Globalized Theatre

In an increasingly interconnected world, the role of theatre in shaping national ideology becomes more complex and contested. As global flows of culture, migration, and technology intensify, traditional conceptions of national identity are challenged by intercultural performances that reflect hybrid identities and multiple narratives. This section examines how intercultural and globalized theatre questions homogenous ideologies, offers space for resistance and pluralism, and produces new forms of ideological engagement through cross-cultural performances [Sadiqova, 2021].

5.1 Challenges to Homogenous National Ideologies through Intercultural Theatre

Intercultural theatre disrupts monolithic representations of national culture by incorporating diverse traditions, languages, and performative techniques into a single production. Knowles (2010) asserts that interculturalism in theatre acts as a dialogic process—one that challenges fixed notions of identity and opens space for multiplicity. Rather than reinforcing a singular national narrative, intercultural performances expose the constructed nature of national ideologies and encourage audiences to reconsider their assumptions.

Pavis (2003) supports this view, arguing that the intercultural stage becomes a “crossroads” where different cultures intersect, collide, and collaborate. In this liminal space, theatre not only questions dominant narratives but also proposes alternative frameworks of identity that are fluid, relational, and inclusive. Such practices have become especially important in postcolonial and migratory societies, where cultural identity is inherently plural.

5.2 Theatre as a Site of Resistance and Pluralism in Global Contexts

Globalized theatre offers a platform for marginalized voices to challenge hegemonic ideologies and assert alternative worldviews. Bharucha (2003) emphasizes that in the context of globalization, theatre can become an act of resistance—engaging with the politics of representation, cultural appropriation, and socio-political injustice. Through performance, artists and communities articulate dissent and critique systems that seek to homogenize or silence them.

Zarrilli (2010) illustrates how global performance traditions—such as Indian koodiyattam, Balinese dance-drama, or Afro-Caribbean ritual theatre—contribute to the global discourse on identity and resistance. These forms confront Western-centric narratives and offer pluralistic perspectives rooted in local epistemologies. Theatre, in this sense, becomes a medium for cultural sovereignty and ideological diversity.



5.3 Case Studies of Cross-Cultural Performances and Their Ideological Impact

Several case studies illustrate how cross-cultural theatre not only bridges cultures but also carries ideological significance. For example, transnational collaborations between British and South Asian theatre companies have addressed postcolonial identity and diasporic belonging, subverting narrow definitions of “Britishness” on the national stage (Knowles, 2010). Similarly, adaptations of classical Western plays in non-Western contexts—such as *Hamlet* performed in Zimbabwean political theatre—reframe canonical texts to critique colonial legacies and promote indigenous values (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2009).

These performances highlight how intercultural theatre, far from diluting identity, can deepen ideological discourse by acknowledging the layered and often contradictory realities of national belonging. They reaffirm that national ideology is not fixed but is continuously reimagined through artistic engagement across borders.

Conclusion

This article has explored the intricate role of theatre in the formation and evolution of national ideology, drawing from a multidisciplinary body of theoretical and historical literature. From its semiotic structures to its performative and pedagogical functions, theatre emerges not merely as a passive reflection of cultural values, but as an active force in shaping, legitimizing, and at times, contesting national narratives. Across different periods and sociopolitical contexts, theatre has functioned as a mirror that reflects the prevailing ideologies of its time, while simultaneously serving as a mold of collective consciousness and identity.

Through the lens of sociosemiotics, we observed how theatrical codes and spaces encode ideological meaning (Alter, 1990; Carlson, 1989). Performance theory illuminated the ways in which theatrical acts construct and reproduce political subjectivities (Schechner, 1973; Reinelt, 2002), while cultural and postcolonial frameworks demonstrated theatre’s function as a contested terrain for ideological negotiation (Bharucha, 2000; Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2009). Whether through state-sponsored spectacles, educational initiatives, or cross-cultural collaborations, theatre remains a potent medium for both stabilizing dominant ideologies and enabling acts of resistance and reinterpretation.

The implications of these findings are particularly significant in today’s globalized and politically fragmented world. As nations grapple with questions of identity, inclusion, and historical memory, theatre continues to provide a space where these debates can be embodied, examined, and reimagined (Sadikhova, 2023). For cultural policymakers and educators, understanding the ideological power of performance is essential—not only in preserving heritage but in fostering critical engagement with the narratives that define national belonging.

In conclusion, the theatre’s enduring relevance lies in its dual capacity: to uphold ideological structures when aligned with power, and to challenge them when animated by pluralistic, intercultural, or resistant impulses. Its role in national discourse is thus never neutral, but always profoundly consequential.



References:

- Ahmedova, G. (2023). The first Azerbaijani theater founded in Irevan—past and present of Irevan State Azerbaijan Dramatic Theatre. *Philology and Art Studies*, (1).
- Ahmadova, G. (2023). Worldly significance of theaters: Irevan State Azerbaijan Drama Theater. *Scientific Journal of Polonia University*, 58(3), 10-14.
- Alter, J. (1990). *A sociosemiotic theory of theatre*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Babayev, J. (2022). Characteristics of online learning. *POLISH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE* Учредители: Громадська Організація" Фундація Економічних Ініціатив"= Общественная Организация" Фундация Экономических Инициатив", (50), 67-68.
- Babayev, J. (2022). Online versus offline learning. *ZNANSTVENA MISEL* Учредители: Global Science Center LP, (66), 24-25.
- Babayev, J. DIFFERENT VIEWS ABOUT PERSON-ORIENTED EDUCATION AND CITIZEN UPBRINGING. *EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS*, 84.
- Bharucha, R. (2000). *The politics of cultural practice: Thinking through theatre in an age of globalization*. Wesleyan University Press.
- Bharucha, R. (2003). *Theatre and the world: Performance and the politics of culture* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Brandenberger, D. (2002). *National Bolshevism: Stalinist mass culture and the formation of modern Russian national identity, 1931-1956* (Vol. 93). Harvard University Press.
- Садыхова, С. Н. (2015). Hussein Aliyev's caricatures in satirical journals. *European research*, (10 (11)), 135-137.
- Carlson, M. (1989). *Places of performance: The semiotics of theatre architecture*. Cornell University Press.
- Edensor, T. (2020). *National identity, popular culture and everyday life*. Routledge.
- Fischer-Lichte, E., & Riley, J. (1997). *The show and the gaze of theatre: a European perspective*. University of Iowa Press.
- Gillis, J. R. (Ed.). (1996). *Commemorations: The politics of national identity*. Princeton University Press.
- Gulkhara, A., & Farzaliyeva, E. (2025). Theatre as a Reflection of Social Change: How Dramatic Arts Capture Cultural Shifts and Historical Transformations. *Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum*, 2(1), 254-261.



- Jackson, A. (2007). *Theatre, education and the making of meanings: Art or instrument?*. Manchester University Press.
- Knapp, R. (2018). The American musical and the formation of national identity. In *The American Musical and the Formation of National Identity*. Princeton University Press.
- Knowles, R. (2010). *Theatre and interculturalism*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Kong, L., & Yeoh, B. S. (2017). The construction of national identity through the production of ritual and spectacle: An analysis of National Day parades in Singapore. In *Singapore* (pp. 375-401). Routledge.
- Kruger, L. (1992). *The national stage: Theatre and cultural legitimation in England, France, and America*. University of Chicago Press.
- Montrose, L. (1996). *The purpose of playing: Shakespeare and the cultural politics of the Elizabethan theatre*. University of Chicago Press.
- Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2009). *Do 'Zimbabweans' exist?: trajectories of nationalism, national identity formation and crisis in a postcolonial state* (Vol. 3). Peter Lang.
- Pavis, P. (2003). *Theatre at the Crossroads of Culture*. Routledge.
- Пинтера, Г., Олби, Э., Тардьё, Ж., & Жарри, А. (2017). ИСТОКИ ВОЗНИКНОВЕНИЯ И ХАРАКТЕРНЫЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ ТЕАТРА АБСУРДА, КАК НАПРАВЛЕНИЯ ТЕАТРАЛЬНОГО ИСККУСТВА Гульхара Манаф гызы Ахмедова. научный журнал, 55.
- Reinelt, J. G. (2002). The politics of discourse: Performativity meets theatricality. *SubStance*, 31(2), 201–215. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sub.2002.0025>
- Riley, J., & Fischer-Lichte, E. (2002). *History of European drama and theatre*. Routledge.
- SADIGOVA, S. N. (2021). Artistic features of patterns on nakhchivan carpets. In *European Scientific Conference* (pp. 169-172).
- Sadikhova, S. (2024). Contrasting Splendor: A Comparative Study of Baroque and Classical Styles in Visual Arts. *Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum*, 1(2), 144-154.
- Sadikhova, S. (2023). MANNERISM IN ITALIAN ART IN XVI-XVII CENTURIES. *Norwegian Journal of development of the International Science* No, 120, 15.
- SADIKHOVA, S. N. (2022). PRINCIPLES OF CREATION OF SOME GEOMETRIC ORNAMENTS AND THEIR MEANINGS. In *WORLD SCIENCE: PROBLEMS AND INNOVATIONS* (pp. 300-302).



SADIKHOVA, S. (2022). NATIONAL PATTERN ELEMENTS ON THE CARPETS OF PEOPLE'S ARTIST OF AZERBAIJAN KAMIL ALIYEV. ANNALI D'ITALIA Учредители: Global Science Center LP,(29), 3-6.

Sabir, B. J. (2023). The values as a content in person-oriented education. *International Journal of Philosophical Studies and Social Sciences*, 3(5), 130-135.

Schechner, R. (1973). Drama, script, theatre, and performance. *The Drama Review*, 17(3), 5-36.

Shepherd, S., & Wallis, M. (2004). *Drama/theatre/performance*. Routledge.

Sierz, A. (2011). *Rewriting the nation: British theatre today*. A&C Black.

Zarrilli, P. B. (2010). *Theatre histories: an introduction*. Taylor & Francis.

Received: 04.03.2025

Revised: 04.04.2025

Accepted: 04.08.2025

Published: 04.12.2025



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International License

Euro-Global Journal of Linguistics and Language Education
Vilnius, Lithuania