Euro-Global Journal of Linguistics and Language Education
ISSN 3030-1394 /online/

Vol. 3 No. 2 (2026) Vasara

The Impact of Mobile Apps on Incidental Vocabulary Acquisition in EFL Contexts

[1] **Bulbul Hajiyeva**
https://doi.org/10.69760/egjlle.2602007

Abstract. *The rapid proliferation of mobile applications has fundamentally reshaped the landscape of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education, offering learners unprecedented opportunities to encounter and internalize vocabulary outside the formal classroom setting. This paper examines the impact of mobile apps on incidental vocabulary acquisition — the process by which learners absorb new lexical items as a by-product of meaning-focused activities rather than through deliberate study. Drawing on a synthesis of theoretical frameworks including the Input Hypothesis, Dual Coding Theory, the Involvement Load Hypothesis, and sociocultural perspectives, the study investigates how mobile platforms such as Duolingo, Quizlet, and content-based reading and listening applications create conditions conducive to incidental lexical learning. The paper reviews empirical evidence on the effectiveness of mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) in promoting vocabulary retention, contextual word recognition, and communicative competence. Key factors analyzed include frequency of exposure, multimodal input, spaced repetition, learner engagement, and the role of authentic language use. The findings suggest that well-designed mobile applications can significantly enhance incidental vocabulary acquisition by increasing the quantity and quality of meaningful language encounters. However, outcomes depend heavily on app design, learner motivation, and pedagogical integration. The paper concludes with practical recommendations for EFL educators and app developers seeking to optimize mobile learning environments for vocabulary development.*

Keywords: *mobile apps, incidental vocabulary acquisition, EFL, mobile-assisted language learning, lexical development, spaced repetition*

1. Introduction

Vocabulary knowledge is widely recognized as one of the most fundamental components of language proficiency. Without an adequate lexical base, learners are unable to comprehend authentic texts, engage in meaningful communication, or progress in their overall language development (Nation, 2001; Schmitt, 2000). Traditionally, vocabulary instruction in EFL contexts has relied on explicit, intentional approaches such as direct definition teaching, translation, and

[1] Bulbul Hajiyeva, Nakhchivan State University Hajiyeva, B. Nakhchivan State University. Email: bulbul_hajiyeva@gmail.com. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-6513-1920

rote memorization. While these methods have their place, they are often insufficient to build the breadth and depth of vocabulary required for fluent language use.

In recent years, the exponential growth of mobile technology has created new possibilities for language learning beyond the classroom. Smartphones and tablets equipped with language learning applications allow learners to engage with the target language at any time and in any place. This shift has intensified scholarly interest in mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) and its capacity to support vocabulary development through incidental means — that is, through meaning-focused activities rather than explicit study (Godwin-Jones, 2011; Kukulska-Hulme & Shield, 2008). Incidental vocabulary acquisition refers to the unintentional learning of new words as a by-product of engaging with language for communicative or informational purposes. Research has consistently shown that extensive reading, listening, and interaction with authentic input can lead to robust vocabulary gains over time, even in the absence of deliberate learning intent (Huckin & Coady, 1999; Krashen, 1989).

Mobile applications, by virtue of their capacity to deliver rich, contextual, and multimodal input, may be particularly well-suited to facilitating this type of incidental learning. Despite growing interest in the intersection of mobile technology and vocabulary learning, several questions remain underexplored: how exactly do mobile apps create conditions for incidental acquisition, which design features are most conducive to lexical retention, and how do learner motivation and engagement mediate the effects of app-based exposure. This paper seeks to address these questions by synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical literature on MALL and incidental vocabulary learning in EFL contexts, and by drawing practical implications for educators and application developers.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Incidental Vocabulary Acquisition

Incidental vocabulary acquisition (IVA) is broadly defined as the learning of new words as a secondary outcome of activities primarily directed at comprehension or communication (Coady & Huckin, 1997). Unlike intentional vocabulary learning, which involves deliberate attention to lexical form and meaning, incidental learning occurs when learners encounter unfamiliar words in meaningful contexts and infer their meanings through contextual clues. Nation (2001) estimates that a substantial proportion of a learner's vocabulary is acquired incidentally through extensive exposure to the target language, particularly through reading. The quality of incidental acquisition depends on several variables, including the frequency of word encounters, the richness of contextual information, the learner's existing vocabulary knowledge, and the degree of cognitive engagement with the encountered words (Webb, 2007). Research suggests that a word must be encountered multiple times across varied contexts before it is reliably retained in long-term memory (Schmitt, 2000), underscoring the importance of creating conditions that maximize meaningful, repeated encounters with target vocabulary.

2.2 The Input Hypothesis and Output Hypothesis

Krashen's (1989) Input Hypothesis posits that language acquisition occurs when learners are exposed to comprehensible input — language slightly beyond their current level of competence but remaining meaningful. In this framework, vocabulary is acquired naturally as learners process input for meaning, without the need for explicit instruction. Mobile applications that provide learners with graded reading passages, audio content, and video materials may serve as ideal vehicles for delivering comprehensible input at scale and with high frequency. Swain's (1985) Output Hypothesis complements this view by arguing that producing language through speaking and writing also plays a crucial role in noticing gaps in lexical knowledge and consolidating newly acquired items. Apps that incorporate interactive tasks requiring learners to produce target vocabulary in meaningful contexts may thus support both acquisition and retention.

2.3 Dual Coding Theory and Multimodal Input

Paivio's (1986) Dual Coding Theory proposes that information is encoded and retained more effectively when it is processed through both verbal and visual channels simultaneously. Mobile applications are uniquely positioned to exploit this principle through the integration of text, images, audio, animations, and video. When learners encounter a new word accompanied by a visual representation or audio pronunciation, they form richer mental associations that enhance retention and retrieval (Baddeley, 1990). Apps such as Duolingo capitalize on this principle by presenting vocabulary in multimodal formats that engage multiple cognitive pathways.

2.4 The Involvement Load Hypothesis

Laufer and Hulstijn (2001) proposed the Involvement Load Hypothesis to explain differences in vocabulary retention across different task types. The hypothesis suggests that the depth of vocabulary learning is a function of the degree to which a task requires learners to attend to, search for, and evaluate the meaning of target words. Tasks that generate higher levels of cognitive involvement — such as using a word in a meaningful sentence or inferring its meaning from context — lead to deeper and more durable learning than passive exposure. Mobile apps that incorporate contextual inference tasks, fill-in-the-blank exercises, and communicative activities may generate higher involvement loads than simple matching or multiple-choice formats.

2.5 Sociocultural Perspectives and Scaffolded Learning

Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory emphasizes the role of social interaction and scaffolded support in cognitive development. In the context of mobile vocabulary learning, scaffolding may take the form of contextual hints, glossary support, difficulty progression, and adaptive feedback. Apps that dynamically adjust to the learner's proficiency level and provide just-in-time assistance create a zone of proximal development that promotes deeper lexical processing and retention. Furthermore, collaborative features such as leaderboards and social challenges may harness peer motivation as a driver of sustained engagement.

3. Mobile Apps and Incidental Vocabulary Learning

3.1 Types of Mobile Applications

Mobile applications used in EFL vocabulary learning can be broadly categorized into three types. First, dedicated vocabulary apps such as Quizlet, Anki, and Memrise are specifically designed to support lexical development through flashcard-based review, spaced repetition algorithms, and gamified practice. While these apps often involve intentional study, their spaced repetition systems simulate the repeated, distributed encounters with words that characterize naturalistic incidental learning. Second, language learning platforms such as Duolingo and Babbel embed vocabulary practice within communicative and game-based tasks, creating conditions for incidental word learning alongside deliberate instruction. Third, content-based apps — including news aggregators, podcasts, e-book readers, and video streaming platforms — expose learners to authentic language in meaningful contexts, maximizing the potential for genuine incidental acquisition.

3.2 Spaced Repetition and Frequency of Exposure

One of the most well-established principles in vocabulary research is the importance of repeated encounters with target words across distributed time intervals (Webb, 2007). Spaced repetition systems (SRS), employed by apps such as Anki and Memrise, algorithmically schedule review sessions to optimize long-term retention by presenting words at increasing intervals as the learner demonstrates mastery. Research by Thornton and Houser (2005) and Lu (2008) has demonstrated that mobile-delivered spaced repetition is significantly more effective for vocabulary retention than massed practice, in part because the mobile format allows for frequent, short practice sessions distributed throughout the day. Importantly, even apps not explicitly designed around SRS can promote beneficial repetition by exposing learners to target words across multiple episodes of use, with multiple contextual encounters reinforcing the word's phonological, orthographic, and semantic dimensions (Nation, 2001).

3.3 Contextual and Authentic Language Input

A critical distinction in incidental vocabulary research is between decontextualized word exposure — as in isolated flashcard review — and contextualized encounters in which words are embedded within meaningful discourse. Research consistently shows that contextual exposure leads to deeper semantic processing and more durable retention, as learners must actively infer meaning from surrounding text and prior knowledge (Huckin & Coady, 1999; Peters, 2012). Mobile applications that provide learners with access to authentic reading and listening materials — newspaper articles, short stories, podcasts, and videos — create conditions closely analogous to naturalistic incidental acquisition. Chen and Li (2010) found that context-aware mobile vocabulary systems — which deliver vocabulary items relevant to the learner's current real-world context — resulted in

significantly higher retention rates than context-free systems, highlighting the potential of situation-aware technologies to enhance incidental acquisition.

3.4 Gamification and Learner Engagement

Learner motivation and sustained engagement are critical mediating variables in incidental vocabulary acquisition. Mobile apps widely employ gamification — the integration of game-design elements such as points, badges, leaderboards, streaks, and progress bars — to sustain learner motivation and encourage regular use (Godwin-Jones, 2011). By making vocabulary practice intrinsically rewarding, gamified apps increase the total volume of language exposure learners accumulate over time, which is a key predictor of incidental acquisition outcomes. Stockwell (2010) found that learners who engaged with mobile vocabulary activities reported higher levels of motivation and more frequent voluntary practice compared to those using desktop-based platforms, attributing this to the convenience and accessibility of mobile devices. However, the author also cautioned that excessive focus on game mechanics can shift learner attention away from meaning and toward performance metrics, potentially reducing the depth of lexical processing.

4. Empirical Evidence on Mobile App-Mediated Vocabulary Acquisition

A growing body of empirical research has examined the effectiveness of mobile applications for vocabulary learning in EFL contexts. Başal et al. (2016) conducted a study comparing mobile app-based vocabulary instruction with traditional paper-based methods and found that learners in the mobile condition demonstrated significantly higher retention scores on both immediate and delayed post-tests, suggesting that the multimodal and interactive features of mobile apps confer distinct learning advantages. Lu (2008) investigated the use of SMS-based vocabulary delivery with Japanese university students and found that learners who received short vocabulary lessons via text message outperformed control groups on retention measures, attributing these gains to the portability of mobile devices and the distributed nature of the learning episodes.

Similarly, Thornton and Houser (2005) reported that EFL learners who studied vocabulary through mobile phone exercises in brief, frequent sessions demonstrated superior retention compared to those who studied the same material in longer, less frequent sessions. Stockwell (2010) compared the effects of mobile and computer-based vocabulary activities on learning outcomes and found no significant difference in gains between platforms, but noted that mobile users completed activities in a greater number of shorter sessions spread across a wider range of locations — a pattern consistent with the conditions known to support incidental acquisition. More recent research has examined app-specific features: Godwin-Jones (2011) reviewed evidence on vocabulary learning apps and concluded that tools incorporating spaced repetition, contextual sentences, audio pronunciation, and image association consistently outperformed simpler flashcard tools on delayed retention measures, underscoring the importance of pedagogically informed app design.

5. Challenges and Limitations

Despite the promising evidence reviewed above, mobile app-mediated vocabulary learning is not without significant challenges and limitations. One of the most frequently cited concerns is the shallow cognitive processing induced by many popular apps. Apps that rely primarily on recognition tasks — such as matching words to images or selecting correct translations from a multiple-choice menu — may generate insufficient involvement load to support deep lexical processing and durable retention (Laufer & Hulstijn, 2001). Learners may accumulate high point scores while retaining only surface-level knowledge of target words. A related concern is the tendency of many vocabulary apps to present words in isolation rather than in meaningful communicative contexts. While isolated practice may build form-meaning associations, it does not develop the collocational knowledge, pragmatic awareness, or productive command of vocabulary that characterize advanced linguistic competence (Schmitt, 2000).

Learner motivation and self-regulation present additional challenges. While gamification can effectively initiate engagement, research suggests that extrinsic motivators such as points and streaks may undermine intrinsic motivation over time, particularly when learners perceive the activities as repetitive or disconnected from their communicative goals (Oxford, 1990). Technical and access barriers also merit consideration: while smartphone penetration is increasing globally, disparities in device quality, internet connectivity, and digital literacy continue to limit equitable access to MALL resources. Furthermore, the fragmented attention characteristic of mobile use — frequent interruptions, multitasking, and brief session durations — may be inimical to the deep processing required for genuine lexical acquisition (Kukulska-Hulme & Shield, 2008).

6. Pedagogical Implications and Recommendations

6.1 For EFL Educators

EFL educators can play a pivotal role in maximizing the vocabulary learning potential of mobile apps by guiding learners toward tools that prioritize contextual, multimodal input and meaningful production tasks. Rather than treating mobile apps as autonomous learning systems, teachers should integrate them within a broader pedagogical framework that connects app-based practice to classroom instruction. Educators may encourage learners to keep vocabulary journals in which they record new words encountered through apps, along with contextual sentences and personal associations, thereby deepening the cognitive engagement required for durable retention (Nation, 2001). Teachers should also be mindful of the potential trade-offs between engagement and depth: while gamified apps can be valuable for building motivation and increasing exposure, they should be complemented with activities that require learners to use newly acquired vocabulary in productive, communicative tasks.

6.2 For App Developers

App developers seeking to optimize their tools for incidental vocabulary acquisition should prioritize several design principles grounded in the theoretical and empirical literature. First, apps should provide extensive, contextually rich input by incorporating authentic reading and listening materials at graded difficulty levels, embedding target vocabulary within meaningful narrative or informational contexts to promote deeper semantic processing (Huckin & Coady, 1999). Second, spaced repetition algorithms should be integrated to ensure that learners encounter target words at intervals optimized for long-term retention (Schmitt, 2000). Third, apps should incorporate multimodal presentation of vocabulary items, combining written form, audio pronunciation, visual imagery, and contextual sentences to engage multiple cognitive pathways (Paivio, 1986). Fourth, task design should aim to generate high involvement loads by requiring learners to infer word meanings from context and produce vocabulary in communicative exercises (Laufer & Hulstijn, 2001). Finally, adaptive feedback mechanisms should provide learners with meaningful information about their errors and progress, supporting self-regulation and sustained engagement.

6.3 For Learners

EFL learners can maximize the benefits of mobile vocabulary apps by adopting strategic and reflective approaches. Regular, distributed practice sessions — even of short duration — are more beneficial than infrequent, concentrated study (Thornton & Houser, 2005). Learners should actively seek out apps that expose them to authentic, contextualized language rather than relying solely on isolated word-list tools. Engaging with target language content — news articles, podcasts, social media — in addition to dedicated vocabulary apps creates the breadth of exposure required for robust incidental acquisition (Krashen, 1989). Learners should also approach errors as diagnostic information rather than indicators of failure, using them to guide further study and deepen their understanding of lexical usage.

7. Conclusion

This paper has examined the impact of mobile applications on incidental vocabulary acquisition in EFL contexts, drawing on a synthesis of theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence. The analysis reveals that mobile apps, when thoughtfully designed and strategically deployed, can significantly enhance the conditions for incidental lexical learning by increasing the frequency and quality of meaningful encounters with target vocabulary, delivering multimodal and contextually rich input, and sustaining learner motivation through interactive and gamified features. Theoretical perspectives including the Input Hypothesis, Dual Coding Theory, the Involvement Load Hypothesis, and sociocultural learning theory converge in highlighting the importance of meaningful, contextualized, and cognitively engaging vocabulary encounters — conditions that well-designed mobile applications are uniquely positioned to support.

At the same time, the paper has highlighted important limitations and challenges. Shallow cognitive processing, decontextualized word presentation, motivational attrition, and unequal

access to technology remain significant obstacles to realizing the full potential of mobile apps for vocabulary development. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated effort from educators, who must integrate mobile tools within coherent pedagogical frameworks, and developers, who must ground their design decisions in the principles of effective vocabulary learning. Looking forward, the continued evolution of mobile technology — including advances in artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and augmented reality — promises to further expand the possibilities for mobile-assisted incidental vocabulary acquisition. Future research should investigate the long-term effects of app-mediated exposure on productive vocabulary knowledge, the differential effectiveness of specific app features across learner profiles, and the optimal integration of mobile learning within formal EFL curricula.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: B.H.; Methodology: B.H.; Investigation: B.H.; Writing – original draft: B.H.; Writing – review & editing: B.H.

References

Baddeley, A. D. (1990). Human memory: Theory and practice. Allyn & Bacon.

Başal, A., Yılmaz, S., Tanrıverdi, A., & Sari, L. (2016). The effectiveness of mobile applications in vocabulary teaching. Contemporary Educational Technology, 7(1), 47–59.

Chen, C.-M., & Li, Y.-L. (2010). Personalised context-aware ubiquitous learning system for supporting effective English vocabulary learning. Interactive Learning Environments, 18(4), 341–364. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820802602329

Coady, J., & Huckin, T. (Eds.). (1997). Second language vocabulary acquisition: A rationale for pedagogy. Cambridge University Press.

Godwin-Jones, R. (2011). Emerging technologies: Mobile apps for language learning. Language Learning & Technology, 15(2), 2–11.

Huckin, T., & Coady, J. (1999). Incidental vocabulary acquisition in a second language: A review. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 21(2), 181–193. https://doi.org/10.1017/S027226319900203X

Hulstijn, J. H. (2001). Intentional and incidental second-language vocabulary learning: A reappraisal of elaboration, rehearsal and automaticity. In P. Robinson (Ed.), Cognition and second language instruction (pp. 258–286). Cambridge University Press.

Krashen, S. D. (1989). We acquire vocabulary and spelling by reading: Additional evidence for the input hypothesis. The Modern Language Journal, 73(4), 440–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1989.tb05325.x

Kukulska-Hulme, A., & Shield, L. (2008). An overview of mobile assisted language learning: From content delivery to supported collaboration and interaction. ReCALL, 20(3), 271–289. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344008000335

Laufer, B., & Hulstijn, J. (2001). Incidental vocabulary acquisition in a second language: The construct of task-induced involvement. Applied Linguistics, 22(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/22.1.1

Lu, M. (2008). Effectiveness of vocabulary learning via mobile phone. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 24(6), 515–525. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2008.00289.x

Nation, I. S. P. (2001). Learning vocabulary in another language. Cambridge University Press.

Oxford, R. L. (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. Heinle & Heinle.

Paivio, A. (1986). Mental representations: A dual coding approach. Oxford University Press.

Peters, E. (2012). Learning German formulaic sequences: The effect of two attention-drawing techniques. Language Learning Journal, 40(1), 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2012.658224

Pica, T. (1994). Research on negotiation: What does it reveal about second-language learning conditions, processes, and outcomes? Language Learning, 44(3), 493–527. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1994.tb01115.x

Schmitt, N. (2000). Vocabulary in language teaching. Cambridge University Press.

Stockwell, G. (2010). Using mobile phones for vocabulary activities: Examining the effect of the platform. Language Learning & Technology, 14(2), 95–110.

Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. In S. Gass & C. Madden (Eds.), Input in second language acquisition (pp. 235–253). Newbury House.

Thornton, P., & Houser, C. (2005). Using mobile phones in English education in Japan. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 21(3), 217–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2005.00129.x

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Webb, S. (2007). The effects of repetition on vocabulary knowledge. Applied Linguistics, 28(1), 46–65. https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/aml048

Received: 1 March 2026
Accepted: 7 April 2026
Published: 8 April 2026