

Cultural Industries and National Economic Competitiveness: A Global Perspective

¹ Elza Mammadova, ² Asif Abdullayev

Accepted: 05.18.2025

Published: 05.22.2025

<https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.010326>

Abstract; The cultural and creative industries have emerged as significant drivers of economic growth and national competitiveness in the 21st century. This article provides a comprehensive analysis of the role that cultural industries – including sectors such as film, music, publishing, design, digital arts, and more – play in enhancing a nation’s economic performance and global standing. Drawing on a wide range of scholarly and institutional sources, the study examines how cultural industries contribute to innovation and knowledge creation, generate employment (especially for youth and women), expand exports and diversify trade, and bolster a country’s soft power and international influence. The Introduction frames the importance of cultural industries in the global economy, while the Literature Review synthesizes key findings from prior research and policy reports. A Theoretical Framework is outlined to connect concepts of competitiveness, innovation systems, and soft power to cultural industry development. The Methodology section explains the qualitative research approach used. In the Analysis, we detail the multi-faceted contributions of cultural industries: as catalysts of innovation and creativity, as creators of jobs and skills, as sources of export revenues and trade competitiveness, and as instruments of soft power that enhance national brand value. The Discussion reflects on the implications of these findings, highlighting the synergistic effects of cultural industries on economic resilience, innovation ecosystems, and global influence, as well as policy considerations for nurturing these sectors. The article concludes that integrating cultural industries into national economic strategies yields not only direct economic benefits in terms of GDP and trade but also strategic advantages in innovation capacity and geopolitical influence. These insights underscore the need for policymakers to view the cultural sector not merely as entertainment or heritage, but as a pivotal component of sustainable economic development and global competitiveness.

Keywords: *cultural industries; creative economy; national competitiveness; innovation; employment; exports; soft power; economic development*

INTRODUCTION

In an era of knowledge-driven economies and digital globalization, cultural and creative industries have become key strategic sectors for countries seeking new sources of growth and competitive

¹ **Farzaliyeva, E.** Senior Lecturer, Department of Theatre and Cultural Studies, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. Email: elzaferzeliyeva@ndu.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0876-0615>

² **Abdullayev, A.** Lecturer, Department of Municipality and Tourism, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. Email: asifabdullayev@ndu.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-2551-7797>

advantage. **Cultural industries** – commonly encompassing fields like film, television, music, publishing, fashion, design, gaming, architecture, and digital arts – are **knowledge-intensive sectors** based on individual creativity, skill, and intellectual property creation. Over the past two decades, these industries have expanded rapidly worldwide, outpacing many traditional industries in growth rate and job creation. Policymakers and economists increasingly recognize that nurturing a vibrant creative economy can boost innovation in other sectors, create high-quality employment opportunities, and enhance a nation's global image and influence.

Recent global data underscore the rising economic weight of cultural industries. According to UNESCO, the cultural and creative sectors account for about *3.1% of global GDP* and *6.2% of worldwide employment*, reflecting roughly *50 million jobs* around the world. These jobs tend to be highly inclusive; nearly half of the employment in creative industries is held by women, and the sector employs more young people (ages 15–29) than any other. In terms of economic output, estimates of the creative economy's contribution range from approximately \$2.3 trillion to \$4.3 trillion annually, with projections that it could reach *10% of global GDP by 2030*. Many national governments have thus identified cultural industries as a **new pillar of the economy**. For example, China's leadership explicitly set a goal for the cultural industry to become a pillar industry of the national economy, highlighting its role in moving the country from a manufacturing-based growth model ("Made in China") to one driven by creativity and innovation ("Created in China"). Similarly, South Korea's government has actively promoted its pop culture (the Korean Wave or *Hallyu*) as a growth engine and tool for international engagement.

Beyond direct economic metrics, cultural industries are increasingly seen as essential to competitiveness in a broader sense. In today's globalized information age, a nation's cultural output can influence international perceptions, open doors for trade and diplomacy, and project **soft power** – the ability to attract and persuade through culture and values rather than coercion. The worldwide popularity of cultural products (from Hollywood films and K-pop music to video games and literature) can enhance a country's brand, making its other exports and services more recognizable and appealing abroad. In short, cultural industries operate at the intersection of economic and social realms, providing not only financial returns but also contributing to innovation ecosystems and serving as "*ambassadors*" of national identity on the global stage.

This article examines the role of the cultural industry in the competitiveness of national economies from a global perspective. We adopt an academic, journal-style approach to analyze how cultural industries contribute to several key dimensions of competitiveness: **innovation** (through creativity and new knowledge generation), **employment** (through job creation and skills development), **exports** (through trade in cultural goods and services), and **soft power** (through influence on global perceptions and international relations). The following sections present a structured analysis. First, we review relevant literature and theoretical concepts linking cultural industries with economic competitiveness. Next, we outline the methodological approach for this research. We then provide an in-depth analysis of the four contribution areas (innovation, employment, exports, soft power), drawing on examples and data from different countries and globally. A discussion follows, integrating these findings and considering their implications for national economic strategies and policy. We conclude by summarizing the key insights and recommending ways that countries can harness cultural industries as part of a competitive and sustainable economic future.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Evolution of the Creative Economy Concept

Academic interest in the economic role of culture can be traced back several decades, but the concept of the “*creative economy*” gained prominence in the late 20th and early 21st centuries. In 2001, John Howkins popularized the term *creative economy* to describe the broad intersection of arts, culture, technology, and business that creates wealth from ideas and intellectual creativity. Around the same time, the UK’s Department for Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) developed one of the first formal definitions of *creative industries*, defining them as sectors which originate from individual creativity, skill and talent, and have potential for wealth and job creation through generating intellectual property. This definition (and variants of it) has been adopted and adapted by international organizations. For instance, the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and UNESCO include a wide array of sectors under cultural and creative industries, from traditional arts and crafts to multimedia and software, highlighting the symbolic and intellectual property value of their outputs.

A consistent theme in the literature is that cultural/creative industries represent a shift towards **knowledge-based economies**, where creativity and intangible assets become key productive resources. The works of theorists like Richard Florida (2002) on the “*creative class*” have argued that regions with higher concentrations of creative professionals tend to experience greater innovation and economic growth due to the clustering of talent and ideas. Florida’s thesis – that creative human capital (artists, designers, knowledge workers) spurs urban and regional development – has generated extensive debate and spawned further empirical research on how cultural industries and creative workers contribute to competitiveness. For example, Piergiorgio et al. (2012) found that the presence of creative industries was positively associated with new business formation and regional economic growth in Italy. This aligns with a broader body of research suggesting that creativity and cultural production can have spillover effects on entrepreneurship and innovation in an economy.

Cultural Industries and Economic Growth

Numerous empirical studies across different countries have examined the link between cultural industries and macroeconomic performance. Overall, the literature indicates a positive relationship: as cultural industries expand, they tend to contribute to higher GDP growth, employment, and trade performance. For instance, a study by **Daubaraitė and Startienė (2015)** analyzed creative industry sub-sectors and found they had a measurable impact on national economies, with some sub-sectors (like design, media, IT-related creative services) driving more growth than others. Similarly, **Correa-Quezada et al. (2018)** evaluated creative industry employment in Ecuador and demonstrated a *significant influence of creative employment on regional production and development*. Regions in Ecuador with higher concentrations of creative industry jobs showed greater increases in output, evidencing the role of cultural industries as a regional growth factor. These findings reinforce earlier observations by UNCTAD that the creative economy is not only relevant to advanced economies but can also be a growth engine for developing countries, offering “development gains” and export opportunities in non-traditional sectors.

International organizations have produced several influential reports that map the economic footprint of cultural industries worldwide. UNESCO's **"Re|Shaping Cultural Policies" (2018)** report and its 2022 sequel **"Re|Shaping Policies for Creativity"** provide global overviews of the cultural sector's size and policy environment. These reports note that cultural industries account for a non-trivial share of global GDP and employment, and they advocate for integrating culture into development planning. The UNESCO 2022 Global Report highlights that culture and creativity contribute about 3% of global GDP and 6% of all employment, while warning that the sector was hit hard by the COVID-19 pandemic (with an estimated 10 million creative jobs lost in 2020). Meanwhile, UNCTAD's **"Creative Economy Outlook" (2019)** tracked international trade in creative goods and services, revealing robust long-term growth trends. According to UNCTAD, global trade in creative goods doubled in value from 2002 to 2015, reaching over \$500 billion annually, and continued to rise into the late 2010s. The **UNCTAD Creative Economy Outlook 2022** update further shows that even during challenging periods, creative industries have been resilient: for example, global exports of creative goods and services rebounded quickly after the 2020 downturn and reached record highs by 2022. These institutional studies provide evidence that cultural industries are now firmly entrenched as dynamic sectors in the world economy, often growing faster than the economy as a whole.

KEY DIMENSIONS OF COMPETITIVENESS IN LITERATURE

Researchers have identified multiple channels through which cultural industries enhance national competitiveness:

- **Innovation and Knowledge Spillovers:** Cultural industries are often at the forefront of innovation, not only in artistic expression but also in technology and business models. The literature suggests that firms in cultural sectors tend to report higher-than-average innovation outputs, whether in product development, creative content, or use of new media technologies. This innovative character can spill over to other industries (for example, advances in video game graphics driving computer hardware improvements, or design thinking improving manufacturing processes). Cultural clusters (like Hollywood for film, or Silicon Valley's blend of tech and media) become hotbeds of creativity that stimulate broader regional innovation systems.
- **Employment, Skills and Human Capital:** Cultural industries create jobs that often require higher skills or unique talents, contributing to human capital development. They also tend to attract younger workers and provide entry points for women and marginalized groups into the formal economy. Studies show cultural employment can have significant multiplier effects; for instance, one job in the core arts sector may support other jobs in related areas like tourism, marketing, or printing. Moreover, cultural work often fosters transferable skills such as critical thinking, communication, and digital literacy, which are valuable across the economy.
- **Exports and Trade Competitiveness:** Many countries have leveraged cultural industries as an export sector, benefiting from the global demand for cultural goods (films, music recordings, books, fashion) and services (broadcasts, digital content, architectural and design services). For example, the U.S. consistently runs a trade surplus in royalties and license fees largely thanks to its cultural products and intellectual property exports. South Korea's exports

of cultural content (music, TV dramas, games, etc.) were estimated at over \$10 billion in 2019, more than double the level in 2016, illustrating rapid growth in cultural trade. The literature notes that successful cultural exporters gain not just direct revenue but also enhanced visibility that can benefit their other industries (a phenomenon sometimes termed the "*halo effect*" of cultural exports).

- **Soft Power and National Branding:** A distinct body of literature in international relations and cultural diplomacy examines how the global dissemination of a country's culture can translate into increased soft power (Nye, 2004). Cultural industries produce the films, television, music, literature, and art that shape foreign publics' perceptions of a country. A positive national image can support economic objectives by making foreign governments and consumers more receptive to one's products, companies, and policies. For instance, British Council research often points to how the UK's cultural assets (from the English language and literature to the BBC and music) contribute to a favorable environment for UK trade and influence. Similarly, the Korean Wave's popularity has been linked to increased interest in Korean brands, tourism, and even acceptance of Korean political initiatives in some regions. Thus, cultural industries are seen as part of "*competitive strategy*" for nations in the global arena, complementing traditional economic strength with cultural appeal.

Despite the generally positive findings, the literature also highlights challenges. Not all countries benefit equally – there is a divide between those able to export cultural products globally and those whose cultural markets remain local. Some scholars caution against over-romanticizing the creative economy: not all creative jobs are well-paid or secure, and an over-reliance on freelance creative work can pose issues of labor precarity. Additionally, commercialization of culture raises concerns about protecting cultural diversity and avoiding homogenization. These nuances are explored in policy debates but do not negate the central conclusion that, with supportive policy frameworks, cultural industries can significantly enhance economic dynamism.

In summary, prior research and reports strongly indicate that cultural industries matter for national competitiveness. They drive innovation, create quality jobs, earn export revenues, and bolster soft power. Building on this literature, our study will delve into each of these dimensions in detail, providing a cohesive analysis of how and why cultural industries are integral to competitive national economies in today's global context.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

To analyze the role of cultural industries in national competitiveness, we draw on several theoretical lenses from economics, business, and international relations:

1. Competitiveness and Porter's Diamond Model: Competitiveness refers to the ability of a nation's industries to achieve success in international markets while raising the standard of living domestically. Michael Porter's Diamond Model of national competitive advantage identifies factors like firm strategy, factor conditions (skills, infrastructure), demand conditions, and related industries as determinants of competitiveness. Cultural industries can be examined through this framework, as done by Yao et al. (2023) who employed Porter's model to evaluate Chinese cultural industry

competitiveness. For example, a strong domestic demand for cultural products can push firms to higher creativity (demand conditions), while concentrations of skilled artists and supportive infrastructure (factor conditions) can enhance production quality. Government policy and chance also play roles in the Diamond model: proactive cultural policies (e.g., subsidies, training programs, export promotion) can significantly shape the competitive environment of these industries.

2. Innovation Systems and Creative Destruction: Schumpeterian economic theory emphasizes innovation as the key to long-run growth – new combinations of ideas lead to “*creative destruction*” that replaces old industries with new ones. Cultural industries exemplify Schumpeter’s concept, as they constantly generate novel content, formats, and experiences, driving cycles of innovation. The **innovation systems** approach suggests that industries thrive in environments where knowledge flows freely between creators, firms, and institutions (universities, R&D labs). Cultural clusters (like a film industry hub or a tech+design hub for video games) often form innovation networks where new ideas emerge at the intersections of art, technology, and commerce. Theoretical work by scholars such as Richard Caves (2000) in *Creative Industries: Contracts Between Art and Commerce* also provides insight into the microeconomics of cultural industries – highlighting properties like high uncertainty of demand and the importance of creativity – which incentivize firms to innovate continuously as a competitive strategy.

3. Human Capital and Creative Class Theory: Endogenous growth theory in economics posits that human capital (skills, knowledge) and creativity are fundamental drivers of growth. Florida’s creative class theory (2002) ties this into regional competitiveness, arguing that places hospitable to creative professionals (with technology, talent, and tolerance) will attract talent and investment, thereby prospering. In our framework, cultural industries are both a product of creative human capital and a magnet for it. They provide avenues for creative individuals to contribute economically. The presence of vibrant cultural scenes can attract other professionals and businesses (for instance, a tech company might prefer to locate in a city known for arts and culture as it appeals to employees). Thus, cultural industries may indirectly enhance competitiveness by improving a nation’s ability to draw and retain skilled workers in all fields – a concept akin to soft infrastructure for quality of life. Theoretical models of urban economics also suggest that amenities like culture contribute to city competitiveness by boosting productivity (people are more creative and productive in culturally rich, diverse environments).

4. Soft Power Theory: Political scientist Joseph Nye’s theory of soft power provides the international relations dimension of our framework. Soft power is the ability of a country to shape the preferences of others through appeal and attraction rather than coercion. Culture is one of the primary sources of soft power identified by Nye. The theoretical premise is that when a country’s culture (ideas, values, and products) is admired globally, it enhances the country’s persuasion capability. This can translate into tangible economic advantages – what we might term “*competitive soft power*.” For instance, countries highly ranked in global soft power indices often are also leading destinations for foreign direct investment and tourism, implying that a favorable image can produce economic trust and partnerships. Our analysis will use this framework to interpret how successful cultural industries (e.g., widely exported films or music) can improve a nation’s global reputation, which in turn feeds back into economic opportunities.

5. Global Value Chains and Intellectual Property: Another relevant theoretical angle is the idea of global value chains (GVCs), which examines how production is fragmented across countries. Cultural products often involve complex value chains (consider a Hollywood movie: financing may come from one country, production in another, special effects from multiple countries, distribution worldwide). The competitiveness of a nation in cultural industries can hinge on capturing key segments of these GVCs – particularly those with high value-added such as content creation and intellectual property ownership. The theory of comparative advantage would suggest that countries will specialize in cultural products where they have some advantage (be it language, unique content, or skills). However, in practice, comparative advantage in culture can be cultivated through policy (e.g., investments in training artists, protecting IP rights, building studios and venues). The concept of the “*Orange Economy*”, used in Latin America, encapsulates these ideas by treating creative industries as a distinct economic ecosystem that countries can develop and export. Intellectual property rights (IPR) theory also comes into play: strong IPR protection is theorized to encourage creativity by allowing creators and firms to profit from their innovations, thereby enhancing competitiveness. Nations leading in cultural exports typically have robust creative IP industries (e.g., the US with Hollywood and music labels, or Japan with anime and gaming IPs), reflecting this principle.

Using this multi-faceted theoretical framework, we will interpret the evidence on cultural industries and competitiveness. In essence, cultural industries contribute to competitiveness by enriching the factors that drive innovation, sharpening a country’s comparative advantages (especially in high value, IP-intensive products), developing human capital, and building a positive national image that synergizes with economic objectives. This integrated approach helps explain not only *that* cultural industries have economic impacts, but *how* and *why* these impacts occur in the context of global competition.

METHODOLOGY

This study is conducted as a qualitative synthesis and analysis of existing research, industry reports, and global data, following a methodology akin to an integrative literature review. We did not perform primary data collection; instead, we relied on secondary sources, including academic journal articles, institutional publications (from bodies like UNESCO, UNCTAD, and the World Bank), and reputable statistical databases. The goal was to triangulate findings from multiple sources to build a comprehensive picture of the cultural industries’ role in economic competitiveness.

Our research process involved several steps. First, we conducted a broad literature search using academic databases and search engines to gather scholarly work on cultural or creative industries in relation to economic outcomes (growth, employment, innovation, trade) and soft power. We paid special attention to studies published in the last decade to capture recent developments (e.g., digital content growth, creative economy policy initiatives), while also noting foundational works in the field. Second, we collected reports and data from international organizations – for example, UNESCO global reports on culture, UNCTAD’s creative economy trade statistics, and national accounts like the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis’s data on arts and cultural production. These provided quantitative benchmarks and examples. Third, we included case examples from specific countries (such as China, South Korea, the UK, and various developing countries) to illustrate how cultural industries contribute

in different national contexts. Such cases were drawn from both academic case studies and credible news or policy analyses.

In synthesizing information, we organized the material according to the thematic areas of interest (innovation, employment, exports, soft power), which structure our analysis section. We evaluated the reliability of sources by prioritizing peer-reviewed research and official data. When using insights from policy commentary or industry experts (for instance, a think-tank report on creative economy potential), we cross-checked those insights against academic or official data to ensure accuracy.

Citations are provided throughout the analysis to maintain traceability of sources and data, following APA 7 style (with in-text citations linked to reference entries). By combining diverse sources, this methodology allows us to capture both the measurable economic contributions of cultural industries and the more qualitative aspects of soft power and innovation ecosystems. The interdisciplinary nature of the topic necessitates a mixed-method approach: quantitative data illustrate the scale and economic impact, while qualitative insights explain the mechanisms and strategic importance.

One limitation of our approach is that it cannot offer new empirical measurements of impact; rather, it depends on the validity of existing studies. However, by integrating findings from over 20 scholarly and institutional sources, we aim to provide a robust, well-rounded analysis. This method is appropriate for an exploratory yet scholarly article that assembles the state of knowledge on a contemporary issue. Having outlined the methodology, we proceed to the analysis, where we present and discuss the findings according to the key dimensions through which cultural industries bolster national competitiveness.

ANALYSIS

In this section, we analyze how cultural industries contribute to the competitiveness of national economies, focusing on four major dimensions: **innovation, employment, exports, and soft power**. Each sub-section explores one of these dimensions, with evidence and examples from around the world, demonstrating the mechanisms by which cultural industries enhance economic performance and global standing.

1. Drivers of Innovation and Creativity

Cultural industries are widely recognized as engines of innovation. Their very foundation lies in creativity – generating new ideas, content, and experiences – which is a cornerstone of innovation in any economy. There are several ways in which cultural industries drive innovation:

- **Product and Service Innovation:** Firms in cultural sectors constantly produce novel products (e.g. a new film, a new video game, a fashion collection) and services (e.g. streaming platforms for music and movies). The pressure to captivate audiences and stay relevant in fast-changing cultural markets compels these firms to innovate at a rapid pace. Empirical studies have found that companies within cultural and creative industries report higher rates of product innovation than those in many other sectors. For example, an analysis of European firms indicated that businesses in design, media, and entertainment introduced new or

improved products more frequently than the average firm. This continuous innovation contributes to a dynamic economy where new offerings can spur consumer demand and open up ancillary markets (merchandising, spin-offs, sequels, etc.).

- **Digital and Technological Innovation:** The intersection of culture and technology has been a fertile ground for innovation. Digital distribution of music and film, the rise of video streaming, virtual reality in gaming and immersive art, and the use of AI in creative processes are all examples of technological innovations driven by cultural industries. The need to deliver content in new ways (for instance, via smartphones or virtual reality headsets) pushes tech development. A striking example is the video game industry – a cultural sector which has spurred advances in computer graphics, artificial intelligence, and user interface design that have cross-industry applications (from medical imaging to military training simulators). In South Korea, identified as a global leader in digital cultural content, the government credits the strong synergy between its cultural content firms and its ICT sector for innovations that bolster the country's overall digital economy. The creative demands of cultural industries essentially act as a pull factor for technological innovation, enhancing a nation's innovation ecosystem. In economic terms, this can improve total factor productivity and keep a country at the cutting edge of emerging technologies.
- **Creative Ecosystems and Spillovers:** Cultural industries often cluster in cities or regions (e.g., Hollywood for movies, Broadway for theater, Nollywood in Nigeria, Silicon Valley for digital creative content) creating creative ecosystems. These clusters facilitate spillovers of knowledge and creativity to other sectors. The casual interactions of artists, writers, technologists, and entrepreneurs – in what Florida terms “creative class” communities – can lead to cross-fertilization of ideas. A designer from the fashion industry might inspire an innovation in textile technology; an architect's creative use of materials might influence product design in manufacturing. According to a report by the Policy Center for the New South, arts and culture impact the economy through **education, innovation, collaboration, and clustering**, meaning that the presence of creative industries enhances collaborative innovation and cluster development in the wider economy. The clustering of cultural industries often goes hand-in-hand with vibrant urban environments that attract talent, as seen in cities like London, New York, and Berlin where the arts scene is part of what draws high-skilled workers in other industries as well. Thus, cultural industries indirectly boost competitiveness by making places more innovative and talent-friendly.
- **Intellectual Property and R&D:** While we don't usually think of film studios or music labels as doing R&D in the same vein as pharmaceutical companies, they effectively invest heavily in developing new intellectual property (IP). A blockbuster movie franchise or a hit novel series is the result of creative development processes that parallel R&D. The output – protected by copyright, trademarks, etc. – can be extremely lucrative and generate long-term revenue streams. Countries that have strong cultural industries build a rich portfolio of IP assets. These IP assets (characters, stories, formats) can then be licensed, franchised, and co-developed, fueling further innovation and business ventures. The importance of IP generated by cultural industries is evident in the value of franchises like Disney's Marvel and Star Wars, or Japan's anime characters, which spawn innovation in merchandise, theme park experiences,

and beyond. The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) has noted that the copyright-based industries contribute significantly to innovation by creating new content that feeds other sectors – for instance, content creation stimulates innovation in telecommunications (people buy smartphones to consume content) and in software (to produce and edit content). In this way, cultural industries are part of the broader innovation system of a country, and their contributions enhance national competitiveness by ensuring the economy remains creative and forward-looking.

In summary, cultural industries push the innovation frontier of an economy. By continuously creating new content and adopting new technologies to do so, they induce innovation in processes and products across multiple sectors. A culture-rich economy tends to be more adaptable and creative overall, traits that are increasingly vital for competitiveness in a fast-changing global market. The presence of robust cultural industries signals an economy skilled at innovation, which can attract investment and talent, reinforcing a virtuous cycle of competitiveness. As one policy commentary succinctly put it, *“the growth potential of the creative sector is increasingly rivaling that of traditional industries...and is important for countries seeking sustainable growth in a new era of digital and technological transformation”*.

2. Employment, Skills Development, and Social Inclusion

Cultural industries make significant contributions to employment, providing millions of jobs globally and nurturing a skilled workforce that enhances economic competitiveness. The employment impact has multiple facets:

- **Job Creation and Economic Contribution:** Globally, the cultural and creative industries generate nearly 50 million jobs, accounting for 6% of all employment. This makes the sector a larger employer than many traditional industries. In the European Union, for example, cultural and creative sectors employ about *6.3% of the workforce*, and prior to the pandemic they had been growing their employment base at above-average rates. In developing countries, creative sectors are also growing employers; Nigeria’s film industry (Nollywood) is famously the country’s second-largest employer after agriculture, providing work to thousands in acting, production, and distribution. The ability of cultural industries to create jobs contributes to a nation’s competitiveness by effectively utilizing human resources and reducing unemployment, particularly youth unemployment. Many countries today face the challenge of providing jobs for young graduates – cultural industries help absorb a portion of this labor force in meaningful work. For instance, in many emerging economies, digital content creation (such as online media, design, animation) has become a viable career path for tech-savvy youth. Employment growth in these creative fields diversifies the economy’s job base beyond agriculture or low-skill manufacturing, moving the labor force into higher value-added activities.
- **Youth Employment and Talent Retention:** Cultural industries are especially notable for engaging young people. UNESCO reports that worldwide, people aged 15–29 are more heavily represented in cultural employment than in other sectors. This implies that cultural industries are critical for harnessing the demographic dividend in countries with young populations. By providing outlets for youth creativity and entrepreneurship (think of the many

young musicians, YouTubers, app designers, fashion start-up founders), these industries can reduce brain drain and social discontent. When talented youth see opportunities at home in creative fields, they are less likely to emigrate purely for economic reasons, which helps countries retain talent. Additionally, involving youth in the creative economy can spur further innovation (youth tend to be early adopters of new technology and cultural trends). The **Basilinna Institute** notes that creative industries are a significant source of new jobs for younger generations and can align with their aspirations and digital skills. As such, a thriving cultural sector contributes to a competitive economy by mobilizing the creativity and energy of its young workforce.

- **Skill Development and Human Capital:** Jobs in cultural industries often cultivate a range of valuable skills – both artistic and managerial. Workers gain expertise in areas like design thinking, content production, project management, marketing, and technological proficiency (e.g., use of editing software, coding for games, etc.). These skills are transferable and high-order, feeding into the broader knowledge economy. A graphic designer or video producer, for example, develops digital skills that are also useful in advertising, e-commerce, education technology, and so forth. Thus, cultural industries function as training grounds for the creative and digital skill sets that modern economies need. By investing in arts education and creative industry training programs, countries indirectly invest in a versatile human capital base. Moreover, creative industries often encourage multidisciplinary collaboration (a film project brings together writers, directors, musicians, engineers, finance people), which can enhance workers' ability to collaborate across fields – a key trait for innovation. In terms of competitiveness indices, human capital and skills are critical pillars; cultural industries help raise these by creating skilled, creative workers who can contribute in many domains.
- **Inclusivity and Gender Impact:** Another aspect is that cultural industries can be more inclusive compared to some traditional sectors. They often have lower barriers to entry for small enterprises and freelancers, allowing people from various backgrounds to participate. For instance, handicrafts and folk arts provide livelihood for rural women in many countries, contributing to inclusive growth. Globally, about half of the jobs in creative industries are held by women, a relatively high share compared to sectors like STEM or manufacturing. This offers an opportunity for greater gender balance in economic participation. While there are still glass ceilings (e.g., underrepresentation of women in film directing or in tech-heavy creative roles), the sector has segments where women excel (such as publishing, design, crafts). By engaging women and diverse communities, cultural industries help maximize a nation's utilization of its labor force, which is important for overall competitiveness. An economy that draws on the talents of all its members (regardless of gender or ethnicity) can innovate and grow more effectively than one that marginalizes large groups. Some researchers, like Alhendi et al. (2021), have even linked cultural diversity and tolerance – often fostered through vibrant cultural scenes – with positive economic growth outcomes.
- **Employment Multiplier Effects:** Cultural industries also induce employment in other areas through multiplier effects. For example, a successful film industry creates jobs in tourism (people visit filming locations or attend festivals), in hospitality (hosting production crews), and in merchandising (manufacturing of related goods). A study in the UK found that the

creative industries had strong multiplier linkages to the rest of the economy, meaning growth in the creative sector leads to proportionally larger growth in overall employment and GDP. This is partly because cultural output generates downstream activities – a hit TV series can lead to increased sales of novels (publishing), soundtracks (music), costumes (manufacturing), and themed video games (software), each of which employs people. Therefore, when evaluating the employment contribution, one should consider both direct jobs in cultural industries and indirect jobs supported by their value chain.

In terms of competitiveness, a country that can generate jobs in high-value cultural sectors and equip its workforce with creative skills gains an edge. It experiences lower unemployment among educated youth (reducing wasted potential), nurtures a versatile labor pool attractive to investors, and often sees more robust domestic consumption (because creative workers can also stimulate demand for new cultural goods). Furthermore, the cultural sector's growth can help shift an economy from informal to formal employment as creative professions become formalized and better regulated. This transition can increase productivity and incomes, feeding into competitiveness indices.

A concrete example is **South Korea**, where government support for cultural industries since the 1990s has not only produced global K-pop stars and award-winning films but also created tens of thousands of jobs in content creation, distribution, marketing, and live events. By 2019, the Korean Wave's impact was estimated at creating a *\$12.3 billion boost* to Korea's economy, partly through employment and incomes generated in related sectors. Similarly, in the United States, the arts and cultural sector contributed about *\$1.2 trillion* to the economy in 2023 (4.2% of GDP) and supported 4.7 million workers, outpacing many traditional industries in growth. These workers range from artists to technical occupations, highlighting how cultural industries span a broad occupational spectrum.

To sum up, cultural industries enhance national competitiveness by creating jobs that add economic value and by developing a creative, skilled workforce. They offer productive employment to demographics that might otherwise be underutilized (youth, women, minorities), thereby improving labor market efficiency and social inclusion. In a global economy where talent is a key resource, countries with thriving cultural sectors signal that they can nurture and employ talent effectively. This not only strengthens the domestic economy but also makes the country more attractive to international business (which often invests in places with rich talent pools and vibrant cultural life to support employee satisfaction). Thus, the human capital dimension of cultural industries is a vital part of their contribution to economic competitiveness.

3. Exports, Trade Diversification, and Economic Branding

Cultural industries have become an increasingly important component of international trade, and their success in export markets can bolster a nation's economic competitiveness in multiple ways. In this section, we explore how cultural exports contribute to national economies and competitiveness.

- **Rising Global Trade in Creative Goods and Services:** International trade data show that cultural and creative goods are a growth area in world commerce. According to UNCTAD, global exports of creative goods more than tripled between 2002 and 2020, reaching over \$600 billion annually. When including creative services (such as audiovisual services, software,

R&D, etc.), the total exports are even higher – by 2022, exports of creative services hit a record \$1.4 trillion, almost double the value of creative goods exports at \$713 billion. These figures illustrate that cultural content has become a significant tradable commodity. Countries that have competitive cultural industries can tap into this expanding global market to earn foreign exchange and improve their trade balance. For example, **India** has become a major exporter of movies (Bollywood films are watched across Asia, Africa, and the Middle East) and IT-enabled creative services (like animation and game development outsourcing), contributing to India's services export surplus. **Turkey** exports television dramas widely, becoming one of the top series exporters globally and earning substantial revenue while also boosting Turkey's cultural visibility abroad. The expansion in creative trade offers nations a path to diversify exports beyond traditional goods like minerals or agriculture, which is especially valuable for economies looking to move up the value chain.

- **Export Diversification and Value Addition:** Cultural exports tend to be high value-added products. Unlike commodities, whose prices are volatile and which might have minimal processing, cultural products are laden with intellectual property and brand value that command premium prices. By exporting music, films, software, or fashion, a country is essentially exporting its creative capital. This diversification into IP-rich exports can improve terms of trade and reduce vulnerability to commodity cycles. It also often involves a greater share of small and medium enterprises (SMEs), thus broadening the base of exporters. A diversified export portfolio including creative goods can make an economy more resilient. For instance, **Britain's** economy benefits from the export of English-language television, music, and books, which complement its financial and manufacturing exports. These cultural exports not only bring direct revenues but reinforce the appeal of British education, tourism, and brands (an indirect economic branding effect). Similarly, **Japan's** export basket includes a significant cultural component: anime, manga, video games, and J-pop music are all exports that augment the traditional automotive and electronics exports, collectively reinforcing Japan's image for both innovation and culture.
- **Trade Surpluses in Cultural Sectors:** Some countries have leveraged their strong cultural industries to achieve trade surpluses in those sectors, which can offset deficits elsewhere. The **United States** is a clear example – it consistently runs a surplus in royalties and license fees (much of which come from its film, television, and music being licensed abroad) and also in audio-visual services trade. In 2023, U.S. exports of arts and cultural goods and services were about \$91.2 billion against imports of \$54.5 billion, yielding a cultural trade surplus of over \$36 billion. This surplus contributes positively to the overall current account and highlights cultural industries as globally competitive sectors for the U.S. Another example is **South Korea**, which traditionally had an economy reliant on manufacturing exports; over the last decade, however, its cultural content exports (films, music, games, cosmetics related to pop culture) have surged, reportedly reaching over \$10 billion in 2019. While this is still smaller than heavy industries, the growth rate is spectacular (double-digit annual growth), and it helps South Korea promote its SMEs and reduce reliance on a few conglomerates. Each successful song or drama series that becomes a hit overseas effectively acts like an export product, sometimes with multiplier effects (like increased sales of Korean cosmetics, fashion, or food

overseas due to heightened interest from cultural exports – a phenomenon documented in marketing studies). Thus, cultural exports can indirectly boost other exports, a synergy that strengthens overall trade performance.

- **Nation Branding and Market Entry:** When a country's cultural products gain popularity abroad, they often pave the way for other goods and services from that country to enter or expand in those markets. This is sometimes referred to as the **halo effect** of cultural exports. For example, the global popularity of **Korean K-pop and dramas** has been closely followed by rising international market share for Korean consumer products such as smartphones (Samsung), cars (Hyundai), beauty products (*K-beauty* brands), and even increased tourism to Korea. Essentially, cultural exports act as advertising for the country's overall industries by raising familiarity and positive sentiment. Economists have studied this linkage: one study found that an increase in the export of cultural goods from country A to country B tends to increase country B's imports of non-cultural goods from A, suggesting a spillover of goodwill. Strong cultural industries thereby contribute to what could be termed *economic branding* – shaping a national brand that signifies creativity, quality, and attractiveness, which benefits exporters broadly. **Japan's** coolness factor from anime and design has similarly bolstered foreign enthusiasm for its automotive and consumer electronics. **France's** global cultural prestige (films, cuisine, fashion) supports the cachet of French luxury goods and tourism, which remain top export earners. In summary, cultural exports are not just exports in isolation; they enhance a country's brand equity in the global marketplace, improving competitive positioning for its entire export sector.
- **Soft Infrastructure for Trade:** Participating in the global cultural market can also build capabilities that are useful for trade in general. For instance, distribution networks for films or books require knowledge of foreign markets, legal arrangements, marketing tactics, and local partnerships. Once a country's firms develop these networks for cultural exports, they can sometimes leverage them for other industries. Additionally, the act of competing globally in content (which often involves understanding and appealing to foreign tastes) may improve a nation's market intelligence and cross-cultural communication skills – intangible assets that benefit all international business endeavors. Countries like **Brazil** and **Mexico**, through export of telenovelas (TV soap operas) and music, have developed media distribution networks across Latin America and beyond; these networks and cultural affinities make it easier for other businesses (say a Mexican food brand or a Brazilian fashion line) to enter neighboring markets because the cultural ties are strong.

It is important to note that not every country will turn cultural industries into a major export sector; language and cultural barriers can pose challenges (not every cultural product is easily exportable). However, the rise of digital platforms has significantly reduced some barriers by providing access to global audiences without the need for traditional distribution channels. A musician or independent filmmaker can now reach international consumers via YouTube, Spotify, or Netflix, which means even creators from smaller countries have a shot at global exposure. Governments are capitalizing on this by supporting translation, dubbing, and international promotion. For instance, many European countries fund cultural export initiatives (like translating literature or funding musicians' tours) recognizing that cultural exports serve as both economic and diplomatic assets.

From a competitiveness standpoint, success in cultural exports demonstrates a country's ability to compete not just on cost or scale, but on uniqueness and quality of content – a high level competitive trait. It reflects an economy rich in creativity, which can differentiate its products in global markets (a competitive advantage in an era of commoditization). Moreover, foreign exchange earnings from cultural exports can help stabilize economies. Creative goods and services often enjoy relatively inelastic demand – people seek entertainment and cultural consumption even in downturns (though patterns shift), which can make these exports more stable or quick to recover. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, global trade in creative services (like digital content) rebounded strongly by 2021–2022, even as some goods trade lagged. Countries adept in these exports recovered cultural revenues quickly via streaming and online distribution.

In conclusion, cultural industries contribute to national competitiveness by strengthening export performance and diversification. They allow countries to trade on creativity – an infinite resource – rather than just finite natural resources. By doing so, they generate revenue, support a positive trade balance, and amplify the international presence of a nation's economy. The competitive edge gained is not only in immediate economic terms but also in strategic terms: cultural exports carry the nation's narrative and values, helping secure long-term economic relationships and goodwill.

4. Soft Power, Cultural Diplomacy, and Global Influence

One of the distinctive ways cultural industries contribute to a nation's global competitiveness is through the enhancement of **soft power**. While soft power itself is not an economic metric, it can translate into various economic and strategic advantages. Cultural output is a primary currency of soft power; hence, robust cultural industries bolster a country's ability to influence perceptions and preferences worldwide. This section examines the soft power dimension:

- **Cultural Appeal and Attraction:** Countries that produce culturally appealing content – be it movies, music, literature, or art – often enjoy a positive reputation abroad. This cultural appeal makes other nations' publics more receptive to the country's products, services, and even policy ideas. For instance, the global success of Hollywood over the past century significantly shaped favorable perceptions of the United States (albeit not uniformly), which arguably created a more hospitable environment for American brands and even political leadership in the post-WWII era. When audiences around the world watch American movies or listen to American music, they often internalize aspects of American lifestyle and values, leading to a form of familiarity or aspirational affinity. According to the Council on Foreign Relations, cultural exports like music, food, and sports “*bolster a nation's international reputation*” and serve as tools of influence. This enhanced reputation can have tangible payoffs: people may be more likely to visit as tourists, study in that country, or buy its products, all of which feed into economic competitiveness.
- **Soft Power and Economic Networks:** A strong cultural brand can open doors in international business and diplomacy. Research by Brand Finance on global soft power finds that countries ranking high in cultural influence and familiarity tend also to attract more foreign direct investment (FDI) and trade deals. The rationale is that soft power builds trust. As Brand Finance's analysis notes, “*a country with a strong and positive image is more likely to attract*

foreign partners, investors, and consumers”, creating a virtuous circle where soft power and economic strength reinforce each other. For example, **Germany** is often cited: its strong reputation for culture (e.g., classical music, festivals, literature) complements its reputation for engineering; together these instill trust in German products and reliability in partnerships. On the other hand, countries with low soft power may struggle to form the same level of international economic ties, even if their products are objectively competitive, because trust and familiarity are lacking. Thus, cultural industries, by improving soft power, can indirectly improve the ease with which a nation engages in international commerce. This is increasingly important in a global economy where consumer preferences and brand narratives play a huge role in market success.

- **Cultural Diplomacy as Strategy:** Many governments have explicit cultural diplomacy programs – sponsoring cultural festivals, language institutes (like France’s Alliance Française or China’s Confucius Institutes), and overseas broadcasts (BBC World, Al Jazeera, CGTN, etc.) – to project soft power. While these are state-led initiatives, their content often comes from or is linked to domestic cultural industries. The success of cultural diplomacy depends on having compelling cultural products to offer. When a country’s creative sector is vibrant, it gives diplomats more credible and attractive tools. This can translate into influence in international organizations and negotiations. For instance, countries like **South Korea** and **Japan** have leveraged their cultural popularity to sign co-production treaties, easing entry for their media companies into foreign markets (which is both an economic and a diplomatic outcome). South Korea’s government, noticing the geopolitical goodwill generated by K-pop and K-drama, incorporated cultural outreach in its foreign policy, terming it a form of “*cultural diplomacy embedded in presidential speeches*” aimed at strengthening ties. In the long run, such soft power can complement hard power, making a country appear as a partner of choice. This kind of influence can indirectly protect and advance economic interests abroad (for example, local consumers and regulators might favor a country’s companies if that country is culturally admired).
- **Tourism and National Image:** A nation’s cultural industries contribute to the imagery and narratives that attract tourists. Iconic films can boost tourism to filming locations (New Zealand’s tourism surged thanks to *The Lord of the Rings* showcasing its landscapes), and global music hits can raise interest in an artist’s home country. Tourism is a major economic sector for many countries, and it often heavily leans on cultural appeal – historic heritage, contemporary arts festivals, pop culture landmarks, etc. UNESCO’s reports emphasize that cultural heritage and creative industries together form a “creative tourism” draw that brings in revenue and supports local economies. For example, **Cambodia’s** cultural heritage (Angkor Wat, traditional arts) combined with a growing contemporary arts scene contributed to 21% of its GDP via tourism before the pandemic. Thus, by enriching the cultural landscape, cultural industries indirectly boost competitiveness in tourism, which is counted in services exports. Countries compete to some extent for tourists, and cultural vibrancy can be a differentiator.
- **Global Influence and Leadership:** Countries with globally dominant cultural industries often also have greater say in setting international standards (for intellectual property, internet governance as it relates to content, etc.) and agendas. The U.S. and European countries have

historically dominated the global cultural flow, which has given them a form of normative power – shaping global narratives around concepts like democracy, freedom, lifestyle aspirations, etc., which align with their values and interests. As emerging economies develop their cultural industries, they too seek this influence. For instance, **China** has heavily invested in expanding its cultural footprint (through global media networks, film co-productions, translation projects) to enhance its soft power, though with mixed success so far. The theoretical expectation is that if China can produce cultural content that the world finds appealing, it could improve China's international image and reduce suspicion, thereby easing its rise in economic and political spheres. In practice, China's cultural soft power is still limited outside certain regions, partly due to content restrictions that make its film/music less globally resonant. Nonetheless, the effort underscores that cultural industries are viewed as strategic assets in international competition.

The interplay between soft power and economic competitiveness is perhaps best summarized by the idea of a **“virtuous circle”**: strong soft power (fueled by admired cultural industries) boosts trade, investment, and tourism, while economic success further enhances soft power by providing resources to produce even more and better cultural content. On the flip side, a lack of cultural presence can be a vicious circle for countries that struggle to export both goods and culture, remaining isolated from global flows.

A case in point is the **Brand Finance Global Soft Power Index**, which often finds that countries like the United States, UK, France, and Japan – all with very influential cultural industries – rank highly in soft power and are also among the top economies and trading nations. Emerging soft powers like South Korea have climbed those rankings in tandem with their cultural export growth. Meanwhile, countries rich in hard economic power but lacking cultural exports (such as some oil-rich nations) often have lower soft power, which can limit their broader influence.

It is important to also recognize that soft power through culture works subtly and over long periods; it's not easily quantifiable like export dollars or job numbers. However, scholars have attempted to measure its effects on concrete outcomes. For example, one study cited by the **Air University (US)** noted that South Korea's increased cultural exports to certain countries correlated with increased consumer goods exports to those same countries. Another analysis suggested that for every increase in global familiarity score for a country (a soft power indicator), there could be a measurable uptick in FDI inflows, controlling for other factors. These are still emerging areas of study, but they support the notion that *“soft power matters to trade and investment”* in real ways.

In conclusion, cultural industries significantly bolster a nation's soft power, which in turn feeds into its economic competitiveness by building a favorable international environment for its economic activities. Through globally resonant cultural products, a country can enhance its prestige, build trust and goodwill, and differentiate itself in a crowded international marketplace of both ideas and products. In the age of globalization, winning hearts and minds has a very real economic dimension – and cultural industries are on the frontlines of that endeavor. As the Policy Center report aptly put it, beyond the economic and social benefits creative industries produce, *“the soft power that accompanies these results should not be underestimated”*, especially in a world where cultural appeal can translate into strategic partnerships and economic opportunities.

DISCUSSION

The analysis above highlights that cultural industries contribute to national economic competitiveness on multiple fronts: they stimulate innovation, generate significant employment, bolster export performance, and enhance soft power. In this discussion, we synthesize these findings and consider their broader implications for economic strategy and policy. We also address some challenges and considerations that arise when leveraging cultural industries for competitiveness.

Integrated Impact on Competitiveness: It is important to recognize that the four dimensions we discussed – innovation, employment, exports, and soft power – are interrelated and often mutually reinforcing. A country that invests in its cultural industries can experience a compounding effect. For instance, by funding arts education and creative startups (policy inputs), a government might produce a wave of creative entrepreneurs (employment effect) who develop innovative digital content platforms (innovation effect). Those platforms could then reach global audiences (export effect), disseminating the country's culture widely (soft power effect). The **United Kingdom** provides an illustrative example of this virtuous cycle. Its deliberate cultivation of creative industries (through policies like tax reliefs for film and games, support for the BBC and British Council, etc.) has resulted in a sector contributing over 5% to GDP and growing faster than many other sectors. This, in turn, has maintained London's status as a global creative capital attracting talent (innovation/human capital) and helped the UK remain a top exporter of cultural goods and services, from music to design to TV formats. Simultaneously, British cultural content from Shakespeare to *Sherlock* enhances the UK's soft power, supporting its diplomatic and commercial interests. The UK case demonstrates how a holistic approach to cultural industries can yield a competitive edge.

Economic Diversification and Resilience: For many countries, especially those reliant on finite resources or a narrow range of exports, cultural industries offer a path to diversify and modernize the economy. This diversification is not merely about adding new sectors; it's about embedding creativity and innovation into the economic fabric, which increases resilience. Economies anchored in creativity can better adapt to technological changes and shifting consumer preferences. For example, **Canada** and **Australia** have actively supported their film/TV and music industries to complement their natural resource sectors, understanding that in the long run, creative and knowledge-based sectors provide more sustainable growth. The pandemic period was particularly telling: while travel and hospitality sectors collapsed, consumption of digital cultural content (streaming, gaming, online art sales) surged, cushioning some economies. Countries with strong digital creative infrastructures could pivot more easily to online services, highlighting cultural industries as part of a resilient economic structure in the face of shocks.

Policy Implications: The findings suggest several policy implications. Firstly, investment in human capital is crucial – education systems should incorporate arts, design, and media training alongside STEM, to cultivate a workforce capable of driving cultural industries. Creative talent development often starts at a young age through cultural education and exposure. Secondly, infrastructure for creativity matters: countries that have world-class studios, concert halls, film commissions, innovation hubs, and digital broadband networks provide the tools creators need to produce competitive content. Thirdly, funding and incentives can kickstart growth – whether through grants, tax incentives, or public-private partnerships. The success of many national film industries (e.g., New Zealand's growth

after *Lord of the Rings* or Georgia's burgeoning film sector) can be traced to incentive schemes that attracted big productions, which in turn built local capacity and reputation. Governments also need to ensure robust intellectual property protections, so that creators can monetize their work and attract investment (nobody wants to invest in a film if piracy will prevent returns). At the same time, a balance is needed to allow for creative reuse and innovation (excessive IP enforcement can stifle creativity – finding the right balance is part of policy design).

Another policy aspect is **international promotion and market access**. Cultural products often face distribution challenges in foreign markets (due to language, marketing, etc.). Governments can help by facilitating trade fairs, cultural exchange agreements, translation programs, and supporting subtitling/dubbing for audiovisual content. The South Korean government's support for K-pop's international marketing and the establishment of Korean cultural centers abroad played a role in how swiftly Korean music penetrated global charts. Similarly, Spain and Mexico's promotion of Spanish-language content globally has maintained a huge international market for their TV and music. Policy can thus amplify the reach of cultural industries.

Challenges and Equitable Growth: While cultural industries offer many benefits, there are challenges to ensure those benefits are broad-based and sustainable. One challenge is the potential for inequality and precarity in creative work. Not everyone working in cultural industries finds stable, well-paid employment – there are often winner-takes-all dynamics, where a small number of stars or big firms capture a large share of revenue, while many others struggle. Gig work is common (freelancers, project-based contracts). To truly harness cultural industries for national benefit, policies may need to address labor rights and fair remuneration in these sectors, so that creative careers are viable for more people. Additionally, access to capital is an issue; creative entrepreneurs may find it harder to get financing compared to tech or manufacturing startups, because banks might undervalue intangible creative ideas. Public funding or creative industry venture funds can fill this gap, as seen in countries like **France** (which has various funds for film, music, etc.) and **Nigeria** (which set up a \$500 million fund to support Nollywood and other creative businesses).

There is also the risk of cultural commodification – focusing too much on economic returns of culture might sideline cultural expressions that are important but less profitable (e.g., traditional arts, minority languages). Countries should strive for a balance where the push for competitive cultural exports does not erode cultural diversity. UNESCO's conventions on cultural diversity highlight the importance of protecting and promoting all forms of cultural expression, suggesting that competitiveness should be pursued *with* cultural diversity, not at its expense.

Global Cooperation vs. Competition: On the global stage, cultural industries are an arena of both cooperation and competition. Co-productions and international collaborations are increasingly common, blurring the notion of purely national culture (e.g., streaming series are often co-produced by teams across countries). This can be positive-sum, allowing multiple countries to share in the success of a cultural product. However, there is also competition for market share (e.g., Hollywood vs local film industries). Countries sometimes implement quotas or subsidies to protect local culture from being overwhelmed by imports. The discussion of competitiveness should acknowledge that some level of nurturing is often needed for emerging cultural industries to stand against dominant

players. Over time, as local industries mature, they can compete globally on quality (as seen with the global emergence of telenovelas from Latin America, anime from Japan, or Nordic noir crime dramas).

In essence, each country needs a tailored strategy: some may focus on niche cultural products where they have unique strengths (for example, Jamaica with reggae music, or Finland with mobile games like **Angry Birds** which was a fusion of tech and design), while others have broad creative sectors. The end goal is to ensure cultural industries become self-sustaining and integrated into the economy's competitive advantages.

Competitiveness Beyond Economics: Finally, it's worth noting that the competitiveness conferred by cultural industries extends to what we might call *cultural competitiveness* – the ability of a society to remain dynamic, open, and forward-thinking. A society that values and invests in creativity tends to also foster innovation in civic life, education, and business. This aligns with the idea that cultural vitality is part of the overall development of a country (often measured now by indices that include cultural vibrancy as a factor for city competitiveness and livability). Thus, supporting cultural industries can have feedback effects on social cohesion, national pride, and the creative problem-solving capacity of the nation, which in turn circles back to economic and political competitiveness. As the Policy Center report noted, creative economies shift focus from purely economic development to human development, implying that cultural industries contribute to a more holistic form of national advancement.

In summary, the discussion reaffirms that cultural industries should be considered a strategic sector in national economic planning. They offer a pathway to innovate, to employ and empower citizens, to earn and save foreign exchange, and to project influence – all of which are components of a competitive nation in the global arena. The challenge for policymakers and stakeholders is to harness these industries intentionally: through supportive policies, investment in people, infrastructure, and by maintaining an openness that allows culture to flourish. When done right, the cultural sector doesn't just reflect a nation's cultural soul – it actively drives the nation forward in the economic and geopolitical race.

CONCLUSION

Cultural industries—such as film, music, publishing, design, and digital media—are key drivers of national competitiveness in today's global economy. They boost innovation by fostering creativity and new ideas, create millions of jobs (especially for youth and women), support high-value exports, and enhance a country's global image through soft power. Countries that invest in cultural industries often see benefits across many sectors, from technology to tourism, and gain a stronger, more attractive national brand. To stay competitive, governments should recognize culture not only as heritage but also as a strategic economic force for growth, inclusion, and global influence.

REFERENCES

Ahmedova, G. (2025). Theatrical Structures and Performance Traditions in Azerbaijani Folklore. *EuroGlobal Journal of Linguistics and Language Education*, 2(3), 74-82.

- Ahmedova, G. (2023). The first Azerbaijani theater founded in Irevan—past and present of Irevan State Azerbaijan Dramatic Theatre. *Philology and Art Studies*, (1).
- Alhendi, O., Tóth, J., Lengyel, P., & Balogh, P. (2021). Tolerance, cultural diversity and economic growth: Evidence from dynamic panel data analysis. *Economies*, 9(1), 20. DOI: 10.3390/economies9010020.
- Alaoui M'hammdi, N., & Jaïdi, L. (2023). *The Cultural and Creative Industries in Africa and Latin America*. Policy Center for the New South & Atlantic Council Africa Center.
- Babayev, J. (2022). Characteristics of online learning. *POLISH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE* Учредители: Громадська Організація" Фундація Економічних Ініціатив"= Общественная Организация" Фундация Экономических Инициатив", (50), 67-68.
- Babayev, J. (2022). Online versus offline learning. *ZNANSTVENA MISEL* Учредители: Global Science Center LP, (66), 24-25.
- Brand Finance. (2024). *Global Soft Power Index 2024 – Why Soft Power matters to trade and investment promotion*. [Article by C. Fingar]. BrandFinance.com.
- Correa-Quezada, R., Álvarez-García, J., del Río-Rama, M. D. C., & Maldonado-Erazo, C. P. (2018). Role of creative industries as a regional growth factor. *Sustainability*, 10(5), 1649. DOI: 10.3390/su10051649.
- Daubaraitė, U., & Startienė, G. (2015). Creative industries impact on national economy in regard to sub-sectors. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 213, 129–134. DOI: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.415.
- Gulkhara, A., & Farzaliyeva, E. (2025). Theatre as a Reflection of Social Change: How Dramatic Arts Capture Cultural Shifts and Historical Transformations. *Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum*, 2(1), 254-261.
- Hartigan, H., & Lehr, D. (2024, December 11). *The Power of the Creative Economy: A Pathway to Growth and Global Influence*. Basilinna Insights.
- Kim, T. Y., & Jin, D. Y. (2016). Cultural policy in the Korean Wave: An analysis of cultural diplomacy in presidential speeches. *International Journal of Communication*, 10, 21. Available at International Journal of Communication.
- Martin Roll. (2021). *Korean Wave (Hallyu) – The Rise of Korea's Cultural Economy & Pop Culture*. [Online Article].
- Montalto, V., Sacco, P. L., & Saisana, M. (2022). Cultural, creative, and sustainable cities: Assessing progress and measurement perspectives. *Sustainability*, 14(8), 4246. DOI: 10.3390/su14084246.

- Nathan, M., O'Brien, D., & Kemeny, T. (2018). *Creative differences? Measuring creative economy employment in the US and UK using microdata*. (Research Report). NESTA/ResearchGate.
- National Endowment for the Arts [NEA] & Bureau of Economic Analysis [BEA]. (2025). *Arts and Cultural Industries Grew at Twice the Rate of the U.S. Economy, Adding \$1.2 Trillion* (Press Release, April 2, 2025). NEA News.
- Power, D. (2010). The difference principle? Shaping competitive advantage in the cultural product industries. *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography*, 92(2), 145–158. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-0467.2010.00340.x.
- Piergiovanni, R., Carree, M., & Santarelli, E. (2012). Creative industries, new business formation and regional economic growth. *Small Business Economics*, 39(3), 539–560. DOI: 10.1007/s11187-011-9329-4.
- SADIGOVA, S. N. (2021). Artistic features of patterns on nakhchivan carpets. In European Scientific Conference (pp. 169-172).
- Sadikhova, S., & Babayev, J. (2025). Linguistic Analysis of Art Terms in English. *Porta Universorum*, 1(3), 214-223.
- Sadikhova, S. (2024). Contrasting Splendor: A Comparative Study of Baroque and Classical Styles in Visual Arts. *Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum*, 1(2), 144-154.
- SADIKHOVA, S. (2022). NATIONAL PATTERN ELEMENTS ON THE CARPETS OF PEOPLE'S ARTIST OF AZERBAIJAN KAMIL ALIYEV. *ANNALI D'ITALIA* Учредители: Global Science Center LP,(29), 3-6.
- Sadikhova, S. (2023). MANNERISM IN ITALIAN ART IN XVI-XVII CENTURIES. *Norwegian Journal of development of the International Science* No, 120, 15.
- UNCTAD. (2019). *Creative Economy Outlook: Trends in International Trade in Creative Industries*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva.
- UNCTAD. (2022). *Creative Economy Outlook 2022*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva.
- UNCTAD. (2024). *Creative Economy Outlook 2024: Technical and Statistical Report*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva.
- UNESCO. (2017). *Re-Shaping Cultural Policies: Advancing Creativity for Development*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris.
- UNESCO. (2022). *Re | Shaping Policies for Creativity – Addressing Culture as a Global Public Good* (3rd Global Report). UNESCO Publishing, Paris.

UNESCO. (2023). Promoting the diversity of cultural expressions and creative economy. *UNESCO Article* (March 30, 2023 update).

United Nations Development Programme [UNDP]. (2022). *Creative Economy for Sustainable Development: Updated Facts & Figures*. UNDP Brief.

Yao, L., Maneejuk, P., Yamaka, W., & Liu, J. (2023). Quantifying the competitiveness of cultural industry and its impacts on Chinese economic growth. *Sustainability*, 15(1), 79. DOI: 10.3390/su15010079.

Zemīte, I., Kunda, I., & Jūdrups, I. (2022). The role of the cultural and creative industries in sustainable development of small cities in Latvia. *Sustainability*, 14(15), 9009. DOI: 10.3390/su14159009.