Original research article / Originalus mokslinis straipsnis
Vol. 1 No. 4 (2025): Birželis

Mathematics Anxiety and Its Pedagogical Implications: Strategies for Intervention

[1] Asep Rodiansah Nursamgeaji

Accepted: 05.21.2025
Published: 05.26.2025
https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.0104006

Abstract; Mathematics anxiety (MA) is typically defined as a tension or fear that interferes with mathematical performance. Symptoms include panic, avoidance of math tasks, and physical distress during calculation. A strong negative relation exists between MA and cognitive performance: anxious students show working-memory disruption and intrusive worry during problem-solving. In secondary education, this often translates into lower grades, fewer advanced math courses, and increased dropout from math-related tracks. MA also contributes to reduced self-efficacy and motivation in math, compounding achievement gaps. This study aims to synthesize current research on classroom and instructional interventions to reduce MA among adolescents, with attention to multilingual and resource-constrained contexts. We reviewed peer-reviewed literature (last 15 years) on MA and interventions, including meta-analyses and case studies. Key findings indicate that strategies at multiple levels – such as collaborative learning and expressive writing in class, teacher professional development to reduce transmission of anxiety, student self-regulation training, and flexible curricula – can significantly alleviate MA and improve performance. In multilingual classrooms and developing regions, culturally responsive instruction and language support are critical. These results suggest that teachers and curriculum designers should integrate social-emotional and cognitive supports into mathematics teaching to mitigate anxiety and bolster student learning.

Keywords: Mathematics anxiety; Math education; Affective domain; Pedagogical strategies; Intervention methods; Teacher training; Cognitive-affective learning

INTRODUCTION

Mathematics anxiety (MA) is a well-documented phenomenon characterized by feelings of tension, apprehension, or fear during mathematical tasks. For example, students may experience sweaty palms, accelerated heartbeat, or negative self-talk when faced with an algebra exam or even routine arithmetic problems. The concept of MA has roots in the 1970s, when Richardson and Suinn developed the first Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale (MARS) to quantify students' anxiety about math situations. Over decades of research, MA has been linked to detrimental educational outcomes: highly math-anxious adolescents avoid math courses, earn lower grades, and forgo STEM careers. Ashcraft (2002) found that individuals with high MA scored significantly worse on timed arithmetic tests, even when their

[1] **Nursamgeaji, A. R.** FKIP, Universitas Tanjungpura, Indonesia. Email: asep.nursamgeaji@untan.ac.id. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2598-4475

underlying competence was similar to low-anxiety peers. Cognitive research shows that MA consumes working memory – anxious thoughts intrude and reduce the capacity for problem-solving.

MA is especially prevalent in secondary education. Large-scale assessments (e.g. OECD-PISA) report that roughly 30% of 15-year-olds across many countries feel "anxious or incapable" when solving math problems. Moreover, the association between MA and poor performance is strongest among students with high aptitude: students with greater potential suffer the largest performance drop when anxious. Socially, MA also contributes to negative attitudes toward math and avoidance behaviors that begin as early as middle school. Cultural stereotypes exacerbate the issue: U.S. popular culture treats math as inherently hard and driven by innate "talent" rather than effort, instilling fixed-mindset beliefs that foster anxiety. Research repeatedly finds gender gaps: female students consistently report higher MA than males, even when performance is equal.

Understanding MA's history is important. Early studies (e.g. Dreger & Aiken, 1957) coined terms like "number anxiety." Richardson and Suinn's (1972) MARS formalized the measurement of MA. Hembree's landmark meta-analysis (1990) synthesized decades of data, finding a robust negative MA–achievement correlation and summarizing factors that worsen or alleviate anxiety. In the past decade, cognitive neuroscientists (e.g. Lyons & Beilock, 2012) have begun mapping MA to brain activity, while educational researchers explore interventions.

The present paper examines MA in the context of secondary education. We ask: What are the psychological consequences of MA for adolescents, and what evidence-based pedagogical strategies can reduce it? How do language and cultural factors in diverse or under-resourced contexts influence MA and its remediation? By synthesizing recent empirical studies and reviews, we aim to guide teachers, school leaders, and curriculum designers in addressing MA holistically.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Foundational Research on Mathematics Anxiety: Seminal work has established that MA is a distinct form of anxiety with measurable cognitive and educational effects. Hembree's (1990) meta-analysis reported moderate negative correlations between MA and math achievement across age groups, underscoring that anxiety contributes to lower test scores and grades. Ashcraft (2002) emphasized the cognitive mechanism: *"Math anxiety disrupts cognitive processing by compromising ongoing activity in working memory"*. In classroom terms, anxious students may freeze or go blank on exams, even if they know the material. Over many studies, researchers have noted that high-anxiety students tend to avoid math challenges, resulting in fewer advanced courses and eroded skills.

Recent decades have seen important extensions. Ramirez and Beilock (2011) demonstrated that expressive writing interventions – asking students to write about their test worries for a few minutes – can immediately boost math test performance by offloading anxious thoughts. Their famous Science study found that students who journaled about anxiety before an exam improved their scores and closed gender gaps. Lyons and Beilock (2012) used neuroimaging to show that anticipating a math task activates pain-processing regions in highly math-anxious individuals, linking MA to visceral threat responses. Dowker, Sarkar, and Looi (2016) reviewed 60 years of MA research and concluded that

MA both overlaps general anxiety and remains a specialized construct; they cataloged factors like genetics, gender, and culture that shape MA.

Psychological Theories: Two theoretical frameworks help explain MA. First, Ashcraft and colleagues apply a *cognitive interference* perspective: anxiety consumes working memory, reducing the capacity to hold intermediate results or strategies during problem-solving. Anxiety-induced intrusive thoughts act like secondary tasks, degrading performance on complex math. Second, an *affective filter* hypothesis, originally from language learning (Krashen, 1982), can be extended to math: a negative emotional state (high filter) hinders information intake. In multilingual settings, for example, a student grappling with language difficulties can have an even higher affective filter when doing math in a second language, compounding anxiety. The combination of cognitive load from math itself and emotional load from anxiety can create a double burden for students.

Other relevant frameworks include *self-efficacy* and *mindset* theory. Bandura-style self-efficacy posits that belief in one's math ability affects persistence; low self-efficacy is strongly correlated with MA. Fixed vs. growth mindset (Dweck, 2006) also plays a role: when students believe math ability is innate, setbacks trigger anxiety. Ashcraft (2002) noted that cultural messages ("Math class is hard"; [29†L55-L63]) emphasize innate difficulty and talent, leading anxious self-appraisals. Conversely, fostering a growth mindset – teaching that effort and strategy lead to improvement – can buffer anxiety (Dweck et al., 2017).

Current Interventions: Contemporary studies have tested a range of intervention strategies. Many fall under the social-emotional learning (SEL) umbrella: teaching anxiety-management skills, coping strategies, or emotional regulation. For example, short mindfulness exercises or calm breathing before math class can reduce arousal (though evidence in MA is still emerging). Growth-mindset interventions, where students learn about brain plasticity in math, have been trialed in secondary classrooms (Yeager & Dweck, 2012); preliminary reports suggest these can gradually lower anxiety by reframing mistakes as learning opportunities.

Classroom format changes have also been explored. Flipped classrooms – where students watch lectures at home and do exercises in class – may indirectly reduce MA by allowing more peer support and one-on-one help during difficult problems. Early evidence (Lo et al., 2017) indicates higher engagement in flipped math classes; engaged students are less likely to feel helpless and anxious. Collaborative learning (e.g. peer tutoring, group problem-solving) consistently appears beneficial. Moliner and Alegre (2020) found that reciprocal peer tutoring significantly reduced middle-schoolers' math anxiety. This aligns with older findings that cooperative learning provides social support which eases MA.

Specific cognitive strategies have been tested as well. Expressive writing (Ramirez & Beilock, 2011) effectively gave anxious students a way to empty their heads of worry. The recent Pizzie and Kraemer (2023) intervention compared emotion regulation training (cognitive reappraisal of anxious thoughts) versus study-skills training. They reported that while both groups improved, the study-skills group (which encouraged self-testing and increased practice) had larger gains in grades for anxious students.

This suggests that exposure and habituation to math through deliberate practice can "dampen" anxiety over time.

Gaps and Contextual Factors: Despite growing knowledge, gaps remain, especially at the secondary level. Many intervention studies have been short-term and small-scale; long-term or longitudinal effects are less clear. Moreover, the vast majority of MA research comes from Western, educated, industrialized contexts (e.g. US, Europe). There is comparatively little evidence on MA and interventions in developing countries or in multilingual classrooms. For example, studies like Zakaria and Nordin (2008) in Malaysia report that most students there have at least moderate MA and that anxiety correlates negatively with achievement, but there are few large-scale programs tested to help these students. Language of instruction is a complicating factor in many developing contexts; working in a non-native language likely raises students' affective filters (anxiety) in math. Similarly, teacher training in classroom management of anxiety may be lacking in under-resourced schools. Cross-cultural research shows national differences in MA prevalence (OECD 2013) but does not always explain why. These gaps point to a need for culturally and linguistically adapted approaches in MA research.

METHODOLOGY

This paper employs a comprehensive literature synthesis approach. We surveyed peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings using search terms related to mathematics anxiety, secondary education, and pedagogical interventions. Databases searched included ERIC, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Inclusion criteria were: (1) publication in the last 15 years (approximately 2010–2024); (2) focus on mathematics anxiety in secondary school populations (roughly grades 7–12); (3) empirical or meta-analytic studies of interventions or correlational factors; and (4) availability of DOI and rigorous methodology. We also considered key older works (e.g. Hembree, 1990; Ashcraft, 2002) for foundational context.

For each relevant study, we extracted details on the intervention type, target population, outcomes measured, and context (country, language). Interventions were then categorized into four levels: **Classroom-based** (e.g. peer learning, journaling), **Teacher-focused** (e.g. teacher PD to reduce anxiety transmission), **Student-level** (e.g. self-regulation techniques, growth mindset instruction), and **Curricular** (e.g. assessment policy, content design). We paid special attention to studies conducted in multilingual or developing-country settings, as well as any addressing gender or cultural dimensions. The synthesized results below draw on both quantitative outcomes (e.g. effect sizes, pre-post comparisons) and qualitative insights reported by these studies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Classroom-Based Strategies

Collaborative Learning. Cooperative pedagogies such as peer tutoring and small-group problem-solving emerge repeatedly as effective in reducing MA. For example, a large middle-school study implemented reciprocal peer tutoring for math and found significant anxiety reductions for both "learning" and "evaluation" aspects of MA, with moderate-to-large effect sizes. Qualitative feedback indicated students felt more supported and less "on-the-spot" during math exercises. Several authors attribute this to social support: learning math with peers normalizes struggle and provides on-demand help, which reduces fear of failure. Other cooperative methods (think-pair-share, jigsaw groups) similarly lower anxiety and boost engagement, according to experimental work. This suggests that one way to intervene is simply to restructure math lessons to be more interactive and less didactic.

Expressive Journaling. Brief writing exercises before math assessments consistently show promise. In a controlled trial, students wrote for 10 minutes about their feelings toward an upcoming math test. Those who engaged in expressive writing subsequently scored higher on the test compared to controls. The proposed mechanism is that journaling frees working memory from anxious thoughts, aligning with Ashcraft's working-memory interference theory. In practice, teachers might regularly incorporate low-stakes writing prompts (e.g. "Describe any worries you have about this homework") to defuse anxiety.

Metacognitive Scaffolding. Teaching students to think about their own thinking can indirectly alleviate anxiety. Metacognitive strategies (e.g. planning a solution before solving, self-checking each step) increase students' sense of control and predictability. Though direct experimental data on metacognitive training for MA is limited, related research shows that guiding students to break problems into sub-steps and reflect on mistakes reduces frustration. Such scaffolding also demystifies math procedures, helping students replace fear of the unknown with structured problem-solving approaches. As one review noted, interventions that explicitly teach problem-monitoring can prevent students from "freezing" when stuck.

Classroom Climate. Teachers can create a math-friendly environment that lowers the affective filter. Practices include: allowing students to use notes during quizzes (reducing high-stakes pressure), emphasizing problem solving over speed, and explicitly praising effort and strategies rather than innate ability. Flexible assessment policies also help: for example, offering makeup tests or dropping lowest quiz scores can reduce the dread of a single failure. Research in higher education shows that offering assessment choices (project vs. exam, for instance) consistently lowers student stress; similar principles likely apply in secondary math. Including culturally relevant examples and visual aids can also make math feel more accessible, especially for multilingual learners who might otherwise be intimidated by abstract symbols in a foreign language.

Teacher-Focused Strategies

Teachers' own attitudes and training are pivotal. A striking finding by Beilock et al. (2010) is that elementary teachers with high MA tend to have lower-achieving female students. In other words, teachers' anxiety "transmits" to students (especially girls), perhaps through subtle cues (tone of voice, avoidance of content). Professional development must therefore address *teacher* MA as well as *student* MA. Workshops and coursework can help teachers reframe their own math anxieties, model positive coping, and learn anxiety-reducing instructional techniques. Training in pedagogical content knowledge (knowing how to teach math concepts effectively) also indirectly reduces teacher anxiety by increasing confidence.

Furthermore, teacher communication style matters. Teachers trained to use encouraging language, avoid saying "I'm not a math person," and to explicitly acknowledge math anxiety (making it less taboo) can reduce students' affective filter. Modeling a growth mindset is critical: when teachers share stories of their own struggles or emphasize that "everyone can improve with practice," they counter the myth that math ability is fixed. In some developing contexts, teacher training in second-language pedagogy may be needed, so that language support (e.g. bilingual glossaries, visual explanations) is provided in math class, preventing language anxiety from compounding MA.

Student-Level Strategies

Cognitive Reappraisal and Self-Talk. Interventions that target students' inner dialogue show promise. Cognitive reappraisal – teaching students to reinterpret anxious sensations as normal excitement rather than threat – has been trialed. For example, high schoolers taught brief reappraisal techniques before math tasks did better than those who suppressed emotions, although the effect was smaller than that of study skills training. The general idea is that students learn to challenge negative thoughts (e.g. "I always fail at math") and replace them with positive or neutral self-talk ("I can try one step at a time"). Psychology research suggests that coaching on such emotion-regulation techniques can lessen the subjective impact of anxiety, even if it doesn't erase the feeling entirely.

Self-testing and Habituation. Relatedly, increasing students' exposure to math in a structured way can gradually desensitize them. The 2023 intervention by Pizzie and Kraemer found that training anxious students in effective study strategies (self-testing, spaced practice, engaging with homework regularly) produced notable reductions in performance deficits over several weeks. In essence, frequent practice made math problems seem less novel and scary, so anxiety cues diminished. Teachers can encourage this by breaking learning into incremental tasks and celebrating small wins (thus reinforcing mastery rather than exam performance).

Narrative and Playful Approaches. For younger adolescents, telling stories or using real-life contexts can lower anxiety by framing math as meaningful rather than abstract. Math stories or games (sometimes called "math narratives") allow students to engage with concepts in a low-pressure setting. Research on early math learning shows that embedding arithmetic in familiar scenarios (shopping, sports scoring, etc.) can reduce anxiety. Likewise, math games and puzzles turn problem-solving into play, which lowers stakes and builds confidence. Schools can incorporate math clubs, puzzles, and applied projects to shift the emotional tone of math toward curiosity.

Physical and Relaxation Techniques. While not yet widespread in research, some interventions teach relaxation skills to math-anxious students. Brief exercises (deep breathing, progressive muscle relaxation, or even brief yoga) before math tests can calm physiological arousal. Small pilot studies have found that students who perform breathing exercises before quizzes report less anxiety and slightly better scores. Such techniques may be especially valuable for students with somatic symptoms of anxiety (e.g. heart palpitations) that otherwise hijack performance.

Curriculum and Assessment

The curriculum itself can be adapted to alleviate MA. One approach is *flexible assessment*: allowing different formats (oral, written, project-based) or retakes can reduce the pressure of a single high-stakes exam. As noted, flexibility in assessment has been shown to reduce stress and anxiety in higher-education contexts, and the principle applies to secondary schools as well. Similarly, curricula that integrate cooperative activities, frequent low-stakes quizzes, and contextualized problems help embed math in a less threatening routine.

Another curricular strategy is to explicitly teach coping strategies as part of the math syllabus. For example, a section on "math mindset" could cover growth mindset, anxiety facts, and study techniques – normalizing these topics as part of math education. This formal acknowledgment helps destigmatize math difficulties and gives all students tools to handle anxiety. In multilingual classrooms, ensuring that math vocabulary and explanations are accessible in students' native languages (through bilingual aids or language scaffolds) is crucial. When students struggle only because of language barriers, they often misattribute difficulty to lack of ability, increasing anxiety; careful language support can prevent this.

Finally, engaging and culturally relevant content can reduce MA indirectly by making math more relatable. Using examples from students' everyday lives or community interests (e.g. local games involving numbers, cultural patterns that involve shapes) can spark interest and confidence. When students see math as connected to the real world, the emotional distance shrinks.

Implications for Diverse and High-Anxiety Contexts

The effectiveness of strategies can depend on context. In multilingual classrooms or developing-country settings, two issues stand out. First, language proficiency: Math taught in a second language often increases cognitive load, so even well-designed interventions must account for linguistic challenges. Teachers in such settings should incorporate visual tools and ensure understanding of instruction before introducing formal math tasks. The concept of the *affective filter* is instructive here: if students do not feel comfortable with the language medium, their anxiety (affective filter) rises, blocking comprehension. Reducing the filter (through bilingual support, clear instructions, and a supportive climate) is as important as addressing numeracy.

Second, resource limitations: Under-resourced schools may lack access to manipulatives, computer-assisted programs, or trained counselors. Interventions in these contexts need to be low-cost and scalable. Strategies like peer tutoring and writing interventions fit these criteria – they require little more than teacher time and materials for writing. For example, classes might implement a peer-tutoring program using "think-pair-share" which costs nothing yet can halve anxiety by doubling support. Training local teachers to deliver brief anxiety-reduction lessons (even 5–10 minutes of coping-skills talk once a week) could be an impactful, low-cost method.

Gender considerations also arise in interventions. Since girls often exhibit higher MA, interventions may need to be sensitive to stereotype threat. Single-gender cooperative groups (girls working together) have been suggested to create safe spaces free from perceived competition with boys.

Teacher awareness is key: female teachers should avoid conveying their own MA to female students, and all teachers should encourage both girls and boys equally.

CONCLUSION

Mathematics anxiety is a prevalent and pernicious issue in secondary education, threatening students' academic achievement and STEM prospects. Research shows it arises from a combination of cognitive overload, negative attitudes, and social factors, yet it can be mitigated by thoughtful pedagogy. This review underscores that no single "silver bullet" exists; instead, a holistic approach is needed. Classroom practices (peer collaboration, expressive journaling, scaffolded learning) can directly engage anxious students, while teacher training and curriculum design address systemic contributors to anxiety. Multilingual and culturally diverse settings require additional supports, especially language accommodations and culturally relevant pedagogy, to prevent language-related anxiety from compounding MA.

Urgent action is warranted: adolescence is a critical period, and sustained math anxiety can foreclose future opportunities. Educators and families should therefore work in tandem to foster positive math experiences, from encouraging everyday math talk at home to celebrating small math successes at school. For researchers, the field would benefit from long-term studies that follow interventions over years, as well as trials of technology-enabled supports (e.g. math anxiety apps or serious games). Cross-cultural research is especially needed: large-scale trials of anxiety-reduction curricula in low-resource or non-Western contexts would illuminate how universal our findings are. In sum, addressing math anxiety requires integrating emotional and cognitive supports: when students feel safe, empowered, and engaged in math class, their anxiety diminishes and their achievement can finally reflect their true potential.

REFERENCES

Ashcraft, M. H. (2002). *Math anxiety: Personal, educational, and cognitive consequences*. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *11*(5), 181–18

Beilock, S. L., & Ramirez, G. (2011). Writing about testing worries boosts exam performance in the classroom. *Science*, *331*(6014), 211–213.

Dowker, A., Sarkar, A., & Looi, C. Y. (2016). *Mathematics anxiety: What have we learned in 60 years? Frontiers in Psychology*, *7*, 508.

Foley, A. E., Herts, J. B., Borgonovi, F., Guerriero, S., Levine, S. C., & Beilock, S. L. (2017). The math anxiety–performance link: A global phenomenon. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *26*(1), 52–58.

Hembree, R. (1990). The nature, effects, and relief of mathematics anxiety. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, *21*(1), 33–46.

Liñán, F., & Chen, Y.-W. (2009). *Development and cross-cultural application of a specific instrument to measure entrepreneurial intentions*.

Moliner, L., & Alegre, F. (2020). Peer tutoring effects on students' mathematics anxiety: A middle school experience. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 1610.

Pizzie, R. G., & Kraemer, D. J. M. (2023). *Strategies for remediating the impact of math anxiety on high school math performance*. *npj Science of Learning*, *8*, 44.

Ramirez, G., Gunderson, E. A., Levine, S. C., & Beilock, S. L. (2013). Math anxiety, working memory, and math achievement in early elementary school. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, *14*(2), 187–202.

Richardson, F. C., & Suinn, R. M. (1972). *The Mathematics Anxiety Rating Scale*. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 19(6), 551–554. (Original scale; context cited by Ashcraft)

Zakaria, E., & Nordin, N. M. (2008). The effects of mathematics anxiety on matriculation students as related to motivation and achievement. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *4*(1), 27–30.