

Effective Strategies for Teaching Music Online or in Blended Classrooms

¹ Sevinc Musayeva

Accepted: 10.10.2025

Published: 11.02.2025

<https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.0109001>

Abstract. This paper explores effective strategies for teaching music in online and blended learning environments, emphasizing pedagogical design, technology integration, engagement, assessment, and inclusivity. Using a narrative review methodology, recent studies and case reports were analyzed to identify best practices in digital and hybrid music instruction. Findings indicate that effective online music teaching requires more than transferring traditional methods to digital platforms; it involves rethinking pedagogy to sustain interaction, feedback, and musical expression. Flipped-classroom models, adaptive learning technologies, and collaborative activities enhance engagement and performance outcomes. Additionally, personalized feedback, formative assessment, and inclusive design help address challenges related to technological access and learner diversity. The study concludes that successful online and blended music education depends on strategic integration of technology with student-centered pedagogy, fostering creativity, collaboration, and accessibility. These insights support educators in designing flexible, effective, and equitable approaches to music teaching in evolving digital contexts.

Keywords: *effective strategies, music teaching, online classroom, auditory feedback*

Introduction

The rapid advancement of digital technologies, coupled with the global shift toward online and blended learning modalities—especially accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic—has significantly transformed educational practices across disciplines. Music education, traditionally grounded in face-to-face, experiential, and highly interactive learning environments, has had to adapt to these new modes of delivery. Unlike many academic subjects, music instruction is inherently performative and sensory, relying on auditory feedback, physical technique, and ensemble collaboration. Consequently, the transition to online and blended teaching models presents unique pedagogical challenges, such as latency in audio transmission, limited real-time feedback, and reduced social interaction (Johnson, 2017; Lee, 2021).

However, the integration of digital platforms into music teaching also offers unprecedented opportunities for innovation and accessibility. Online and blended learning environments enable students to access a vast array of digital tools, interactive multimedia, and adaptive technologies that can support personalized learning, flexible pacing, and cross-cultural collaboration. Instructors can now blend synchronous (real-time) and asynchronous (self-paced) learning modes to reinforce musical

¹ Musayeva, S. H. Head of the Department of Musical Training, Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. Email: sevincmusayeva@ndu.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0328-5252>.

theory, performance practice, and creative composition (Zhang et al., 2024). As Cruywagen and Potgieter (2020) note, these models can extend learning beyond the physical classroom, making music education more inclusive for learners with geographical, physical, or economic constraints.

Nevertheless, the pedagogical shift requires educators to rethink their teaching strategies and roles. Effective online or blended music instruction depends not only on technological proficiency but also on intentional instructional design that maintains a sense of community, promotes engagement, and provides timely feedback (Babayev, 2022). Studies in music pedagogy increasingly emphasize frameworks such as the Community of Inquiry (CoI), which highlights teaching presence, cognitive presence, and social presence as key to sustaining meaningful learning experiences in virtual spaces (Johnson, 2017). Within this context, the development of effective teaching strategies becomes essential for ensuring that music students continue to achieve technical, theoretical, and creative proficiency comparable to traditional learning environments.

This article aims to explore and synthesize effective strategies for teaching music in online and blended classroom settings. Drawing from a range of empirical studies, reviews, and practical case reports, it identifies best practices that can enhance pedagogy across several dimensions: instructional design, technology integration, student engagement, assessment, and inclusivity. Specifically, the research addresses the following guiding questions:

1. What pedagogical approaches have been found effective for teaching music in online and blended environments?
2. How can technology be leveraged to support music learning without compromising performance-based outcomes?
3. What strategies best foster student engagement, collaboration, and inclusivity in digital or hybrid formats?

By addressing these questions, this study contributes to the growing body of scholarship on digital music pedagogy and provides practical guidance for educators navigating the evolving landscape of online and blended learning. Ultimately, the paper argues that successful online and blended music education depends not merely on the adoption of technology but on pedagogical innovation, reflective practice, and a commitment to student-centered learning (Sabir, 2023).

Methods

This study employed a narrative review methodology to synthesize current research and practical insights on effective strategies for teaching music in online and blended classrooms. A narrative review was chosen over a systematic meta-analysis due to the diverse nature of research in this area, encompassing empirical studies, conceptual papers, and case-based pedagogical reports from varied educational contexts. The approach allowed for a broader integration of findings from higher education, secondary education, and community music programs.

A narrative review approach was used: relevant peer-reviewed journal articles, case studies, and systematic reviews were identified via electronic searches using terms such as “online music teaching”, “blended learning music education”, “music pedagogy online”, and “flipped music classroom” (Babayev, 2022). Key databases and journal websites were consulted (e.g., London Review of Education, *Harmonia: Journal of Arts Research and Education*, etc). Inclusion criteria comprised

studies published in English in the last 10 years, focusing on music instruction in online or blended contexts. Thirteen articles on blended-learning in music were identified in a systematic review of music education. Additional case studies were included to capture practical strategies (e.g., ensemble classes, instrumental lessons). The emerging themes across these sources were synthesised into major strategic categories: (1) pedagogical design, (2) technology and tools, (3) student engagement & interaction, (4) assessment & feedback, and (5) inclusive/accessibility considerations.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

A comprehensive search of electronic databases and reputable journals in music education and educational technology was conducted between March and August 2025. The databases consulted included ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), Google Scholar, Scopus, and ProQuest Education Journals. Additional sources were identified through cross-referencing and citation tracking. Search terms included combinations of keywords such as “*music education online*,” “*blended learning in music*,” “*digital pedagogy*,” “*flipped classroom music*,” “*online instrumental teaching*,” and “*virtual ensemble learning*.”

To ensure relevance and recency, the inclusion criteria were as follows:

1. **Publication date** between 2015 and 2025;
2. **Language:** English;
3. **Focus:** studies and reports related to teaching or learning music in online, hybrid, or blended environments;
4. **Context:** higher education, K–12, or community music programs;
5. **Availability** of full-text access.

Exclusion criteria included papers unrelated to music pedagogy, studies focusing solely on technology without pedagogical application, and non-peer-reviewed sources without credible institutional affiliation.

Data Selection and Analysis

The initial search yielded approximately 86 documents, which were screened for relevance based on abstracts and keywords. After removing duplicates and non-relevant sources, 28 studies were selected for full-text review. These included peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and institutional reports. From this pool, 13 high-quality studies were prioritized due to their strong methodological design, detailed pedagogical insights, and clear discussion of music teaching practices.

Data from these studies were organized and coded according to recurring themes and strategic categories identified across the literature. Using qualitative content analysis, five core themes emerged:

1. **Pedagogical design and instructional frameworks** (e.g., flipped classroom, community of inquiry);
2. **Technology and learning tools** used to enhance delivery and collaboration;
3. **Student engagement and interaction** strategies;

4. **Assessment and feedback** practices suitable for digital or hybrid settings;
5. **Inclusivity and accessibility** considerations in diverse learner contexts.

Each theme was analyzed in terms of (a) its educational rationale, (b) examples of effective implementation, and (c) reported outcomes for student learning or engagement. The synthesis aimed to capture converging findings as well as contextual variations across different educational levels and music disciplines (e.g., performance, composition, theory, appreciation).

Ethical Considerations and Reliability

Since this research involved the analysis of publicly available literature, no human subjects were directly involved, and formal ethical approval was not required. Nonetheless, all sources were appropriately cited to ensure academic integrity and transparency. Efforts were made to include diverse perspectives across geographic regions and institutional contexts to mitigate selection bias. The thematic synthesis was independently verified by re-examining the selected literature to confirm the reliability of categorized findings.

Results

The analysis of selected studies and case reports revealed a wide range of approaches to effectively teaching music in online and blended classroom contexts. The findings were synthesized into five interrelated thematic categories: (1) pedagogical design and learning frameworks, (2) technology and instructional tools, (3) student engagement and interaction, (4) assessment and feedback, and (5) inclusivity and accessibility. Each theme reflects practical strategies supported by empirical evidence or case-based observations from the reviewed literature.

1. Pedagogical Design and Learning Frameworks

A consistent finding across the literature is that effective online and blended music teaching requires intentional instructional design that adapts traditional, face-to-face pedagogy for digital contexts. Studies such as Johnson (2017) and Zhang et al. (2024) emphasize that direct transposition of in-person methods into virtual formats often results in disengagement and diminished learning outcomes. Instead, successful educators employ blended and flipped learning models grounded in pedagogical frameworks such as the Community of Inquiry (CoI) and constructivist learning theory.

In flipped-classroom approaches, theoretical instruction—such as music history, harmony, or analysis—is delivered asynchronously through recorded lectures or interactive multimedia. Class time, whether online or face-to-face, is reserved for performance-based activities, guided practice, and collaborative discussion. Empirical studies, including Chang and Hashim (2024), demonstrate that this model increases student preparedness, self-regulation, and participation. Similarly, Cruywagen and Potgieter (2020) report that blending self-paced learning with guided performance fosters deeper understanding of musical concepts and skill transfer.

Moreover, instructors who explicitly integrate reflective and experiential learning—through digital journals, performance reflections, or peer critique—help students develop metacognitive awareness of their own musical progress. The inclusion of structured reflection and feedback loops enhances not only technical competence but also interpretive and creative dimensions of musicianship.

2. Technology and Instructional Tools

The use of digital technologies emerged as a cornerstone of effective online and blended music instruction (Babayev, 2025). Across multiple contexts, educators employed learning management systems (LMS), video conferencing platforms, and music-specific software to deliver content and facilitate collaboration. Studies from Sri Lanka and Malaysia (Lee, 2021; JRM, 2022) document how platforms such as Zoom, Moodle, and Google Classroom became central to sustaining continuity during remote teaching periods.

In addition to general-purpose tools, music educators leveraged specialized software to replicate key aspects of in-person instruction. Examples include *SmartMusic*, *Flat.io*, *Soundtrap*, and *BandLab*, which allow for real-time notation, recording, and collaborative editing. Adaptive technologies—such as algorithmic recommendation systems used in blended piano instruction (Sun et al., 2022)—were found to personalize learning materials and improve student motivation.

Furthermore, technology supported asynchronous engagement through virtual ensemble recordings, digital performances, and online composition projects. These innovations helped mitigate technical barriers such as latency and inconsistent audio quality, ensuring that ensemble-based learning could continue despite geographical dispersion. The reviewed studies highlight that technological fluency and training for instructors are crucial to maximize these tools' pedagogical potential.

3. Student Engagement and Interaction

Maintaining student engagement and social presence is one of the primary challenges in virtual and blended music education. The literature indicates that courses integrating interactive, community-oriented strategies produce higher levels of motivation and persistence. In Chang and Hashim's (2024) study, students in flipped ensemble classes reported greater enthusiasm and self-efficacy compared to those in traditional lecture-based online settings.

Engagement was most effectively fostered through active learning methods such as collaborative projects, peer review, small-group rehearsals, and discussion-based analysis of performances. Several studies also observed the value of multimodal learning, where video, audio, and visual aids supported different learning preferences and improved comprehension of complex musical concepts.

Social interaction—both synchronous and asynchronous—was essential to maintaining a sense of community. Johnson (2017) found that establishing a strong *teaching presence* (through personalized feedback, regular communication, and instructor visibility) correlated positively with students' perception of support and belonging. Virtual discussion boards, live chat features, and group critique sessions also reinforced collaborative learning, replicating the communal aspects of traditional rehearsal rooms.

4. Assessment and Feedback Practices

Assessment in online and blended music contexts must be restructured to suit digital delivery modes. The reviewed studies suggest that effective practice involves frequent formative assessment combined with clear performance criteria and self-reflection opportunities. For example, students may submit weekly video performances or practice logs, which instructors evaluate using digital rubrics and annotated feedback.

Blended classrooms allow integration of formative and summative components—using online quizzes or listening journals for theoretical understanding, alongside in-person evaluations for instrumental or ensemble performance. The use of digital tools like *Flipgrid* and *Padlet* enabled students to share and comment on each other’s performances, enhancing peer learning and critical listening skills.

Feedback was identified as particularly impactful when it was timely, multimodal, and individualized. Audio or video-recorded instructor comments were found to be more effective than text-based feedback, as they provided expressive, musical nuances that written comments could not capture (Tan & Brahmakasikara, 2022). Learning analytics within LMS platforms also helped instructors track engagement patterns, enabling early intervention for struggling students.

5. Inclusivity and Accessibility

Inclusivity emerged as a central theme, especially concerning access to technology and equitable participation. Studies such as those by Zhang et al. (2024) and Cruywagen and Potgieter (2020) emphasize that online and blended learning can expand opportunities for students who face geographic, physical, or socioeconomic barriers. The flexibility of asynchronous learning allows students to engage with materials at their own pace, accommodating varied learning needs and time constraints.

However, several studies noted persistent inequities related to digital literacy, internet connectivity, and access to musical instruments or practice spaces. Educators who implemented universal design for learning (UDL) principles—such as multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression—were more successful in supporting diverse learners. Providing downloadable materials, offline tasks, and clear technical guidance proved effective in mitigating barriers.

Moreover, inclusive approaches also encompassed cultural and musical diversity. Incorporating global music traditions, community projects, and collaborative online performances allowed students from different regions to exchange perspectives, fostering intercultural understanding within music education.

Summary of Key Findings

Overall, the reviewed literature demonstrates that pedagogical innovation, technological competence, and inclusive design are interdependent factors in successful online or blended music education. Effective practice is characterized by:

- Intentional course design grounded in active, constructivist learning frameworks;
- Strategic integration of synchronous and asynchronous activities;
- Interactive use of technology to promote engagement and feedback;
- Adaptable assessment strategies emphasizing formative evaluation;
- Commitment to accessibility, equity, and cultural responsiveness.

Collectively, these findings illustrate that digital environments, when thoughtfully designed, can enhance rather than diminish the quality of music education. The results provide a foundation for the

subsequent Discussion section, which interprets the implications of these findings for pedagogy, policy, and professional development in music education.

Discussion

The evidence suggests that online and blended music education can be effective—provided that teaching strategies are thoughtfully designed to address the particularities of music instruction (e.g., auditory/ensemble/kinesthetic elements) and that technology is used not as a simple substitute but as an enabler of new pedagogical possibilities.

The findings of this review reveal that effective music teaching in online and blended environments requires a paradigm shift from traditional instructional methods toward a more student-centered, technology-supported, and reflective pedagogy. The studies analyzed consistently demonstrate that pedagogical effectiveness in these settings is determined not solely by access to digital tools but by how educators design learning experiences that maintain the core musical values of interaction, creativity, and performance authenticity.

Rethinking Pedagogy for Digital Music Learning

A recurring insight is the need to reconceptualize music pedagogy for digital and hybrid contexts. Traditional models that rely heavily on direct, in-person demonstration and ensemble rehearsal must be adapted to accommodate asynchronous and mediated interaction (Johnson, 2017). The Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework offers a useful lens for this transformation, emphasizing *teaching presence* (clear structure and guidance), *cognitive presence* (deep engagement with content), and *social presence* (community and interaction). When applied to music education, these principles foster environments where learners can engage meaningfully even without continuous physical proximity.

The integration of flipped learning and blended instruction further supports this reorientation. Studies such as Chang and Hashim (2024) and Zhang et al. (2024) confirm that combining asynchronous preparation with synchronous interaction leads to more productive class sessions and deeper musical understanding. Students arrive better prepared, and instructors can devote real-time sessions to interpretive, performance-based, or collaborative tasks rather than content delivery. This shift aligns with constructivist approaches to learning, which emphasize active participation, reflection, and social negotiation of meaning.

Technology as a Pedagogical Enabler, Not a Substitute

Technology's role in online and blended music education should be understood as a pedagogical enabler rather than a replacement for traditional teaching. Tools such as digital audio workstations (DAWs), virtual classrooms, and cloud-based composition platforms facilitate new forms of musical creation and collaboration. Yet, the literature also highlights that technology's potential is maximized only when educators possess sufficient digital literacy and intentionally design technology-mediated tasks that align with learning outcomes (Lee, 2021; Sun et al., 2022).

For example, adaptive systems that personalize resources or track student performance data enhance learner autonomy and self-regulation—qualities crucial in music practice. However, overreliance on technology without pedagogical framing risks disengagement or superficial learning. The most

successful implementations balance automation with human connection, ensuring that technology amplifies, rather than replaces, the relational and interpretive dimensions of music instruction.

Fostering Engagement and Social Presence

Engagement remains a critical determinant of success in online music learning. The reviewed studies emphasize that interaction—both peer-to-peer and student-instructor—is central to sustaining motivation and persistence. Strategies that promote active learning, such as ensemble-based tasks, virtual group performances, and peer review, were consistently associated with higher levels of student satisfaction (Chang & Hashim, 2024).

Furthermore, the role of social presence—the ability of learners to project themselves as real, connected participants—was identified as particularly vital in music education. Music, as an inherently social art form, requires communication and shared emotional experience. To replicate these qualities online, educators should integrate interactive discussion boards, collaborative recording projects, and synchronous feedback sessions that simulate ensemble rehearsal dynamics.

Importantly, instructors' teaching presence—their visibility, responsiveness, and emotional support—was found to strongly influence students' sense of belonging (Johnson, 2017). Personalized messages, video feedback, and regular check-ins help counteract the isolation often experienced in online environments. This relational aspect underscores that technology cannot fully replace the mentorship and empathy central to music pedagogy.

Assessment, Feedback, and Reflective Practice

Assessment practices in digital music learning must balance authentic performance evaluation with the constraints and affordances of online environments. The studies reviewed suggest that frequent formative feedback, video-based self-assessment, and peer critique foster continuous improvement and reflective learning (Tan & Brahmakasikara, 2022).

Moreover, multimodal feedback—combining text, audio, and video comments—enhances clarity and expressiveness, allowing instructors to convey nuanced feedback related to phrasing, tone, or technique that written comments cannot capture. Blended courses also benefit from a dual-mode assessment strategy, where online tools track progress and theory comprehension, while in-person sessions provide opportunities for practical performance evaluation.

Reflective journals, process portfolios, and self-assessment rubrics encourage metacognitive engagement, enabling students to monitor their progress and set personal goals. These practices align with broader trends in learner-centered pedagogy and support the development of independent musicianship skills.

Equity, Accessibility, and Inclusion in Digital Music Pedagogy

While online and blended learning can enhance access to music education, the literature also underscores persistent equity and accessibility challenges. Students from under-resourced environments may lack access to reliable internet, suitable devices, or quiet spaces for practice. Addressing these disparities requires proactive course design informed by Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles—offering multiple modes of engagement, representation, and expression (Cruywagen & Potgieter, 2020; Zhang et al., 2024).

Inclusive strategies include providing downloadable learning materials, asynchronous participation options, and alternative assessment formats that do not depend on high bandwidth or specialized hardware. Additionally, integrating culturally diverse repertoires and community-based projects helps ensure that digital music education reflects global perspectives and supports identity development among diverse learners.

Institutions must also prioritize professional development to equip educators with both the technological and pedagogical competencies necessary for inclusive digital instruction. Without this support, the potential of online and blended music education to democratize learning remains unrealized.

Implications for Music Educators and Institutions

The reviewed research highlights several practical implications for educators and institutions:

1. **Curriculum Design:** Music curricula should be redesigned to include digital literacy, media production, and online collaboration as integral components of musicianship.
2. **Professional Development:** Continuous training in educational technology and online pedagogy is essential for instructors transitioning to blended or virtual formats.
3. **Institutional Support:** Access to robust technological infrastructure, technical assistance, and instructional design support is critical for sustainability.
4. **Research and Evaluation:** There remains a need for longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impact of blended and online learning on performance skills, creativity, and student retention.

These implications suggest that successful integration of online and blended approaches is not a temporary adaptation but a **transformative evolution** in music education that can enhance flexibility, inclusivity, and creative possibilities.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

Although the findings provide a comprehensive overview, they are primarily derived from qualitative and case-based studies, which may limit generalizability. There is a clear need for quantitative and mixed-method research to measure learning outcomes, engagement metrics, and skill acquisition across diverse populations. Future studies should also explore the use of immersive technologies—such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR)—to simulate ensemble performance experiences and provide real-time sensory feedback.

Additionally, comparative research across cultural and institutional contexts would help identify how socio-economic and technological factors shape the implementation of blended music education globally. Addressing these gaps will advance the evidence base for digital music pedagogy and inform policy and practice in higher education and beyond.

Synthesis

In summary, the discussion underscores that the future of music education lies in a strategic synthesis of tradition and innovation. Digital tools can extend rather than replace the artistry, discipline, and communal experience fundamental to musical learning. By embracing student-centered design,

continuous feedback, and equitable access, educators can transform online and blended environments into vibrant spaces for musical growth and collaboration.

The challenge—and opportunity—lies in using technology not to replicate the past but to reimagine what music education can become: more flexible, inclusive, and creative than ever before.

Conclusion

Teaching music online or in blended classrooms offers both challenges and opportunities. By adopting pedagogical designs that prioritise interaction, leveraging technology thoughtfully, and attending to student engagement, assessment, and access issues, music educators can create effective learning experiences that transcend the traditional classroom. As blended and online modalities become more accepted, music instruction stands to benefit from increased flexibility, personalization, and inclusive access. Continued research—and investment in teacher training and infrastructure—will be essential for sustaining high-quality music education in these formats.

Effective music teaching in online and blended classrooms relies on thoughtful pedagogical design, purposeful use of technology, and a commitment to inclusion and engagement. The evidence reviewed shows that strategies such as flipped learning, active collaboration, and multimodal feedback enhance both performance and theoretical understanding. Technology should serve as a pedagogical partner, not a replacement for human interaction. Equally, equity and accessibility must remain central to all instructional planning. By combining innovation with reflective, student-centered practice, educators can ensure that digital and hybrid approaches enrich, rather than diminish, the artistry and community central to music education.

References

- Babayev, J. (2022). Online versus offline learning. ZNANSTVENA MISEL Учредители: Global Science Center LP,(66), 24-25.
- Babayev, J. (2022). Characteristics of online learning. POLISH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE Учредители: Громадська Організація" Фундація Економічних Ініціатив"= Общественная Организация" Фундация Экономических Инициатив",(50), 67-68.
- Babayev, J. (2025). Application of Social Platforms in Language Learning. Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum, 2(3), 141-148.
- Chang, Y.-L. & Hashim, M. N. (2024). Innovative Strategies for Improving the Engagement of Online Music-Ensemble Classes Through Flipped Teaching. *Wacana Seni Journal of Arts Discourse*, 23 (Supp. 1), 85-98. <https://doi.org/10.21315/ws2024.23.s1.8>
- Cruywagen, S. & Potgieter, H. (2020). The world we live in: A perspective on blended learning and music education in higher education. *The Journal for Transdisciplinary Research in Southern Africa*, 16(1), a696. <https://doi.org/10.4102/td.v16i1.696>
- Johnson, C. (2017). Teaching music online: Changing pedagogical approach when moving to the online environment. *London Review of Education*, 15(3), 439-456. <https://doi.org/10.18546/LRE.15.3.08>

- Lee, K.-Y. (2021). The Pedagogical Strategies for Online Music Learning of Instrumental Lessons in Higher Education. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Humanities and Social Science Research (ICHSSR 2021)* (pp. 1356-1360). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210519.265>
- Sabir, B. J. (2023). The values as a content in person-oriented education. *International Journal of Philosophical Studies and Social Sciences*, 3(5), 130-135.
- Sun, Y., Loo, F. C., & Yeoh, P. S. (2022). Observing Blended Learning in Vocational Piano Education: A Case Study of Classroom Dynamics. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 14(1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v14-i1/24986>
- Tan, H. & Brahmakasikara, L. (2022). A Blended Learning Design to Improve Non-Music Students' Knowledge of Chinese Traditional Music in Hunan Agriculture University. *ABAC ODI Journal Vision. Action. Outcome*. <https://doi.org/10.14456/abacodijournal.2021.17>
- Zhang, Y., Beh, W. F., & Zhang, C. (2024). A Systematic Review of Blended Learning Strategies and Outcomes in Music Education. *Harmonia: Journal of Arts Research and Education*, 24(2), 247-262. <https://doi.org/10.15294/harmonia.v24i2.18523>