

Stimulation Measures in the Use of Human Resources

¹ Bahman Mustafayev

Accepted: 01.16.2026

Published: 01.19.2026

<https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26010002>

Abstract. The primary objective of any enterprise is to generate profit, which is directly dependent on the effectiveness of its employees. Consequently, organizations are continuously confronted with the challenge of motivating personnel to achieve higher levels of productivity while maintaining work quality. For this reason, the issue of stimulation in the use of human resources remains highly relevant in contemporary economic conditions.

Well-designed motivational and incentive mechanisms enable enterprises to establish a stable operational rhythm, support sustainable economic growth, and promote overall organizational development. Motivation represents a conscious internal drive that encourages individuals to act, solve problems, and engage in goal-oriented activities. This internal desire becomes active only when it is fully realized by the individual. Motivation is rooted in various needs—moral, material, social, or physiological—and once these needs are satisfied, the intensity of the motivational impulse tends to decrease.

Stimulation, in contrast, refers to external forms of influence that encourage individuals to increase their level of activity. Its primary purpose is to accelerate managerial processes, modify behavior, and guide employees toward desired actions and outcomes. Stimulation can take both positive and negative forms, depending on the objectives pursued and the methods applied.

Keywords: *motivation, stimulation, analysis, corporate strategy*

Introduction

International experience in personnel motivation and stimulation makes it possible to conditionally distinguish three main models: Western European, Japanese, and American. A detailed analysis of the structure and characteristics of these models provides enterprises with an opportunity to develop their own internal systems of motivation and stimulation.

Organizations in Western Europe typically apply three principal approaches to motivating and stimulating human resources (Mustafiyanti et al., 2023):

- **Individual bonus model**, where financial rewards are determined based on the personal performance and achievements of employees;
- **Group bonus model**, in which monetary incentives depend on the overall profit or income of the enterprise;

¹ Mustafayev, B. Master of Agribusiness, Department of Management and Marketing, Azerbaijan State Agrarian University, Azerbaijan. Email: bahman.mustafayev.2002@mail.ru. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-4538-6181>

- **No-bonus model**, where wages themselves serve as the primary motivational and stimulating factor.

The American model of motivation and stimulation is characterized by a diversified incentive system (Amrahov et al., 2023), which includes:

- **Group incentive programs**, focused on teamwork, collective responsibility, and predefined objectives;
- **Individual incentive programs**, mainly quantitative in nature and directed at both individual employees and work groups;
- **Bonus programs**, which are more subjective, based on achieved results, and aimed at rewarding success in task completion at both individual and collective levels.

The Japanese approach to motivation and stimulation is particularly noteworthy due to its emphasis on the development, accumulation, and effective utilization of human capital. This model is distinguished by several key features (Bulatova, 2010):

- continuous involvement of management in production processes;
- strong emphasis on the formation and reinforcement of corporate values.

In Japanese enterprises, feedback mechanisms are generally highly developed. Employees and managers at all hierarchical levels actively use corporate information systems that provide access to data on organizational activities, strategies, and policies. This practice enhances interaction among staff members and contributes to increased labor efficiency and overall productivity (Zubareva & Pilipenko, 2016).

Methodology

When examining contemporary models of motivation and stimulation of human resources, it is important to note that many of their key elements originate from the Soviet system of labor motivation. Modern approaches have largely absorbed and adapted these foundational principles to current economic and social conditions. Based on this perspective, several conclusions regarding the stimulation of human resources can be drawn (Amrahov, 2015).

First, modern students, who represent future potential employees, demonstrate a strong preference for highly paid employment. As a result, remuneration continues to function as the primary motivating and stimulating factor in the labor market. Second, survey findings indicate that respondents are least motivated by factors such as proximity of the workplace to their residence, the availability of social benefits, and opportunities for continuous professional development (Vodyasov, 2016).

It is evident that effective motivational mechanisms are diverse and largely depend on individual needs, values, and social norms. Models of motivation and stimulation reflect the most characteristic features of the economic and cultural environments in which they are applied. Consequently, enterprises may implement a wide range of incentive and stimulating measures tailored to their specific organizational contexts (Abbasova et al., 2025).

Results

The Role of Personnel Incentive Systems in Enterprise Social Policy and Human Resource Management

Human resources, in many respects, can be compared to natural and physical capital, as their effective utilization directly influences organizational performance. By applying various methods and instruments of influence on individuals and work collectives in different situations, managers orient employee behavior toward achieving the strategic objectives of the enterprise. However, to maximize effectiveness, these methods must be integrated into a unified and well-structured system of incentives. For this reason, a detailed examination of the enterprise's social policy is essential for enhancing the efficiency of human capital utilization (Amrahov, 2014).

The social policy of an organization represents a comprehensive set of measures aimed at implementing social programs, safeguarding employees' income levels and living standards, ensuring employment stability, supporting the development of the social sphere, and preventing social conflicts. Closely related to this concept is social responsibility, which reflects the voluntary contribution of business entities to societal development in social, economic, and environmental dimensions, in alignment with their core activities (Amrakhov, 2022).

A socially responsible organization operates according to the principles of corporate social responsibility and implements a system of social programs in its priority areas. These programs consist of voluntary initiatives in the social, economic, and environmental spheres that are systematic in nature, aligned with the company's mission and development strategy, and oriented toward satisfying the interests of various stakeholders. Stakeholders include individuals, organizations, and communities that are directly or indirectly affected by the company's activities (Ashenfelter et al., 2006).

Enterprises seeking to gain a competitive advantage in the market typically provide employees with social guarantees and benefits exceeding the minimum standards established by legislation and collective agreements. An effective system of additional social benefits should not only be attractive to employees but also contribute to organizational success, thereby ensuring mutual benefits for both parties to the labor relationship—the employer and the employee (Amrahov et al., 2023).

Social policy within an organization should be based on several fundamental principles. These include a thorough understanding of employees' material and non-material needs, transparency of provided services so that employees recognize them as voluntary investments in social well-being, and economic justification aligned with market conditions, cost efficiency, and organizational sustainability. Furthermore, social needs that are sufficiently addressed by the state or public institutions should not constitute the focus of an organization's internal social policy.

Discussion

Social policy, as an essential component of personnel management, performs several key functions within an organization (Ariabod et al., 2019). These functions include reducing labor conflicts, improving relationships between employers and employees, attracting qualified personnel, forming a positive public image of the organization, and strengthening employee commitment and retention. Through these mechanisms, social policy contributes to organizational stability and long-term development.

The formulation and implementation of socio-economic policy within an organization encompass multiple areas, among which income regulation and employee welfare policies—including social protection and housing support—are particularly significant (Amrahov et al., 2023). These areas directly influence employees' quality of life and, consequently, their motivation and performance.

Socially responsible business conduct is closely associated with improved organizational effectiveness. Enterprises that adhere to the principles of corporate social responsibility benefit from increased labor productivity, reduced operational costs, greater investment attractiveness, higher sales volumes, and enhanced customer loyalty (Mirzazada, 2025). Thus, social responsibility should be viewed not only as a moral obligation but also as a strategic tool for improving competitiveness.

For the majority of employees, work remains primarily a source of material income; therefore, the evaluation of both material and non-material incentive systems is of critical importance. Financial incentives, in particular, represent a powerful managerial instrument for influencing employee behavior. The development of an effective material incentive system requires the establishment of a transparent and clearly defined link between the economic results of the enterprise and the well-being of different categories of employees.

At the same time, organizations increasingly rely on non-material incentive systems that complement financial rewards. Non-material incentives include various benefits, privileges, and motivational tools that do not involve direct monetary payments. The growing importance of such incentives is explained by the diminishing marginal effect of wage increases on employee productivity and work quality (Amrakhov et al., 2022).

In practice, situations are observed in which salary growth is accompanied by a decline in work quality and employee commitment. This typically occurs when remuneration systems are introduced without clearly formulated qualification requirements, performance standards, or transparent criteria for salary increases. The absence of such guidelines weakens the motivational impact of financial incentives and may lead to inefficiencies.

Consequently, the development of an effective non-material incentive system represents a crucial managerial task, which must be aligned with the organization's strategic objectives and the specific nature of its activities. The implementation of comprehensive incentive measures enhances the security and stability of human resources, while low staff turnover can be considered an important indicator of a well-designed and effectively implemented social policy.

Conclusion

The analysis of possibilities for the effective use of human resources within the management system allows several generalized conclusions to be drawn. Human resources, as both an economic category and a subject and object of management, play a direct role in creating the real value of an enterprise. Their distinctive feature lies in their social nature, as human resources represent a complex social structure that unites various professional groups and categories of employees within the system of social production.

The recognition of these universal yet previously underestimated characteristics, which differentiate human resources from traditional personnel management functions, necessitates a fundamentally new approach to managing their development. This approach is based on several key principles (Amrahov et al., 2024): viewing human resources as the most valuable asset of the organization that requires

continuous development; aligning human resource management policy with the organization's mission and long-term strategy; forming a strong corporate culture as the foundation of effective human resource management; and increasing investments in ongoing employee development through continuous training, as well as active involvement in innovation and information processes.

An important instrument for enhancing the development of human resources and strengthening organizational competitiveness remains the effective design and implementation of personnel strategies. The main advantage of such strategies lies in their ability to ensure flexibility between the so-called "hard" and "soft" elements of the organization. From the perspective of value creation, the contribution of soft elements—knowledge, skills, and competencies—continues to grow relative to material and technical factors of production (Amrahov et al., 2022).

The strengthening of the systematic link between strategies aimed at activating human resources and employee stimulation is supported by the expansion of non-wage forms of remuneration. Their classification allows organizations to structure incentive mechanisms more effectively and achieve mutual benefits for both employees and employers. Nevertheless, further refinement of these forms remains necessary, as employees often demonstrate limited awareness of available incentive opportunities.

Personnel control also plays a significant role in the human resource management process. Its application contributes to professional growth and career advancement of employees within the organization (Amrahov et al., 2025). In particular, personnel control simplifies employee evaluation procedures, enables more accurate identification of opportunities and threats in the labor market, and ensures closer alignment of adaptation, training, and promotion processes with the stages of the human resource life cycle.

The formation of human resource management as both a scientific concept and a system of practical measures is determined by the socio-economic development of society and has evolved through several stages, each contributing to overall socio-economic progress. Unlike technical resources, human resources possess the ability to develop, improve productivity, and enhance the efficiency of other production factors. This capacity creates a synergy effect, the achievement of which requires a specialized approach to managing human resources and labor activity (Yermekova et al., 2024).

The contribution of human resources to production efficiency is realized through specific factors that directly represent the human element, as opposed to technical factors that have an indirect impact. These direct manifestations include labor effort, managerial competence, and entrepreneurial skills (Mirzazada & Camalov, 2025).

The impact on human resources extends beyond economic interactions and encompasses social and value-based dimensions. As a social actor, the individual not only transforms the environment through products and services but also shapes new social relations. By changing the objects of labor, individuals simultaneously transform themselves, which underscores the importance of continuously improving personnel management approaches (Amrahov, 2023).

Contemporary trends, such as the integration of resource-based approaches with social and personnel management and the evolution of individuals as subjects of labor relations, form the basis for distinguishing human resource management as an independent system. Its core function lies in coordinating the objectives of management subjects and objects from both economic and social

perspectives by combining methods that enhance employees' productive capacity while recognizing their social value (Mirzazada, 2025).

At present, human resource management constitutes the foundation of governance in any economic system, influencing interactions from the macroeconomic to the enterprise level. At the national scale, it focuses on regulating employment and social relations, while at the organizational level it aims to create conditions for maximizing labor efficiency and developing personnel potential in line with current and future production needs. Ultimately, to achieve a balance between economic and social efficiency, human resource management must evolve not only as a coordination mechanism but also as a strategic objective focused on continuous personnel development and improvement of workforce quality (Mirzazadeh & Zeynalli, 2024).

References

Abbasova, B., Hasanova, M., Guliyeva, G., Huseynov, A., & Mirzazadeh, N. (2025). Assessment of risk management systems in enterprises. *Porta Universorum*, 1(10), 70–78.

Amrahov, V. T. (2014). Improvement of the economic mechanism of the structural policy of the state in the agricultural market. *Theoretical & Applied Science*, 11(10, Special Issue), 471–476.

Amrahov, V. T. (2015). The institutional aspects of the formation of agricultural market. *Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10(30), 72–74.

Amrakhov, V. T. (2022). Risk assessment in agrarian entrepreneurship. *Mitteilungen Klosterneuburg*, 72(6), 99–107.

Amrahov, V. T. (2023). The influence of climatic factors on agricultural production in dryland areas of Azerbaijan. *Tec Empresarial*, 18(2), 2206–2218.

Amrahov, V., Rahimli, F., Mirzazadeh, N., Ibrahimli, G., & Valizadeh, H. (2023). Satisfying consumer demand for agricultural products: Possibilities and prediction. *Scientific Horizons*, 26(7), 160–170.

Amrahov, V. T., Mirzazadeh, N. G., & Guliyeva, K. N., Gazanfarova, J. V. (2024). Economic effectiveness and forecasting of scientific activity in Azerbaijan. *International Journal of Religion*, 5(7), 422–430.

Amrahov, V. T., Mirzazadeh, N. G., Taghiyev, M. Z., Muradov, R. J., Hamidov, A. V., & Karimova, M. H. (2023). Modeling and forecasting of agricultural production. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(7).

Amrahov, V., Narimanov, N., Hajiyeva, S., Mirzazadeh, N., Ismayilova, T., & Osmanova, G. (2025). Development of effective financial strategies for long-term economic viability. *International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies*, 12(SI-2), 1–9.

Amrakhov, V. T., Karimov, F. J., & Karimova, M. H. (2022). Development opportunities of material and technical resource potential in the agricultural sector. *European Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*, 1, 62–68.

Amrahov, V., Mahmudov, E., Aliyev, S., & Hajiyeva, S. (2022). Econometric analysis and assessment of factors affecting the efficiency of agricultural production. *Scientific Papers Series: Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development*, 22(3), 23–34.

Amrahov, V. T., Hajiyeva, S. I., Mirzazadeh, N. G., Taghiyeva, N. I., Karimova, M. H., & Karimov, F. J. (2023). Efficiency of using human resources in the agricultural field: Main criteria and priorities. *Tec Empresarial*, 18(2), 2023–2039.

Ariabod, A., Moghaddasi, R., Zeraatkish, Y., & Mohammadi Nejad, A. (2019). Governance and agricultural growth: Evidence from selected developing countries. *Economic Journal of Emerging Markets*, 11(1), 73–80.

Ashenfelter, O., Levine, P. B., & Zimmerman, D. J. (2006). *Statistics and econometrics: Methods and applications*. Wiley.

Bulatova, Y. I. (2010). Financial potential of the region: Content and structure. *News of the St. Petersburg State University of Economics*, 5, 94–97.

Mustafiyanti, M., Putri, M. P., Muyassaroh, M., Noviani, D., & Dylan, M. (2023). A form of independent curriculum: An overview of independent learning at State Elementary School 05 Gelumbang Muaraenim. *Pengabdian: Jurnal Abdimas*, 1(2), 82–96.

Mirzazada, N. (2025). The state of organization of the management system and its characteristics. *Global Spectrum of Research and Humanities*, 2(4), 107–113.

Mirzazadeh, N., & Zeynalli, M. (2024). Improvement of information provision of small business in the agricultural sphere. *Journal of Economics*, 1(1), 23–27.

Mirzazada, N., & Camalov, T. (2025). Mechanism for regulating business relations. *Porta Universorum*, 1(8), 26–36.

Mirzazada, N. (2025). Stages of planning and forecasting in the management system. *Porta Universorum*, 1(10), 110–123.

Vodyasov, P. V. (2016). Assessing the physical availability of food for the population of the region (the case of the Altai Territory). *Economics and Entrepreneurship*, 69(4-2), 227–230.

Yermekova, Z., Romanenko, S., Zhanibekova, G., Aitzhanova, B., & Apakhayev, N. (2024). Integration of digital technologies to improve the efficiency of small and medium-sized agricultural enterprises. *Scientific Horizons*, 27(12), 142–152.

Zubareva, Y. V., & Pilipenko, L. M. (2016). Problems of running agribusiness and directions for their solution. *Fundamental Research*, 12(4), 858–862.