Porta Universorum | Vol. 2 No. 4 (2026): Balandis | ISSN 3030-2234
https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26040004

Export Diversification and Industrial Clustering in Free Economic Zones

Sariyya Mammadova
Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan

How to cite: Mammadova, S. (2026). Export diversification and industrial clustering in free economic zones. *Porta Universorum*, 2(4). https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26040004

© 2026 The Author. Published by *Porta Universorum* (EGARP). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

This study explores the relationship between export diversification and industrial clustering within Free Economic Zones (FEZs), focusing on their combined effects on regional economic development and competitiveness. Utilizing a comparative case study methodology, the research analyzes global examples from Asia, the Middle East, and Eastern Europe to identify key mechanisms and policy approaches that foster export diversification and successful cluster formation in FEZs. Secondary data sources, including academic literature, international organization reports, and official statistics, are employed to assess the effectiveness of various FEZ models.

The findings indicate that export diversification and industrial clustering are mutually reinforcing processes: clusters stimulate innovation, value chain integration, and knowledge spillovers, while diversified exports reduce vulnerability to external shocks and open new growth trajectories. Successful FEZs—such as those in Shenzhen, Dubai, and Katowice—demonstrate the importance of strategic planning, high-quality infrastructure, targeted fiscal incentives, and strong public-private partnerships in achieving these outcomes. However, the research also highlights persistent challenges, including institutional constraints, human capital gaps, and environmental concerns.

The paper concludes with policy recommendations for governments and zone administrators seeking to leverage FEZs as engines of sustainable economic growth. Emphasis is placed on the need for adaptive legal frameworks, ongoing investment in infrastructure and skills, robust monitoring systems, and inclusive development strategies that engage local communities and ensure social and environmental responsibility.

Keywords: Free economic zones; export diversification; industrial clustering; economic development; innovation; regional competitiveness

1. INTRODUCTION

Free Economic Zones (FEZs) have become integral components of economic development strategies worldwide, serving as catalysts for trade, investment, and industrial modernization. By offering preferential regulatory environments, fiscal incentives, and specialized infrastructure, FEZs aim to attract both domestic and foreign investors, stimulate export-led growth, and facilitate the transfer of technology and know-how. In an increasingly interconnected global economy, the ability of regions to

diversify their export base and develop dynamic industrial clusters has emerged as a critical determinant of sustainable and inclusive growth.

Export diversification—expanding the range of products and markets for a region's exports—is widely recognized as a strategy for reducing economic vulnerability and enhancing resilience to external shocks. It enables economies to move beyond reliance on a limited set of commodities, tap into new value chains, and foster innovation-driven sectors. Industrial clustering, on the other hand, refers to the geographic concentration of interrelated firms, suppliers, and institutions within a specific area, resulting in collective efficiencies, knowledge spillovers, and competitive advantages. The intersection of these two phenomena within FEZs can create powerful synergies, accelerating structural transformation and economic upgrading.

Despite the growing prominence of FEZs, significant variation exists in their outcomes, particularly regarding their ability to foster both export diversification and strong industrial clusters. While some zones have achieved remarkable success—contributing to rapid economic growth, job creation, and technological advancement—others have struggled with limited spillover effects, enclave-type development, or environmental and social challenges (Abdullayev et al., 2024). Understanding the mechanisms and policy frameworks that underpin successful export diversification and clustering in FEZs is thus of critical importance for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars.

This study aims to examine how export diversification and industrial clustering are promoted and interact within FEZs, drawing on global case studies and comparative analysis. The research addresses the following questions: What are the key drivers and barriers to export diversification and cluster formation in FEZs? How do legal, institutional, and infrastructural factors influence these processes? What policy lessons can be adapted for regions seeking to maximize the economic and social benefits of FEZs?

By synthesizing insights from theory and practice, this paper seeks to contribute to ongoing debates about the design and management of FEZs as engines of sustainable, diversified, and inclusive economic growth.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The academic and policy literature on Free Economic Zones (FEZs) highlights their pivotal role in catalyzing economic transformation, particularly through export diversification and industrial clustering. Over the past decades, FEZs have evolved from simple export processing zones to complex economic ecosystems that integrate multiple industries and foster innovation, knowledge transfer, and inclusive development (Akinci & Crittle, 2008; Farole, 2011).

2.1 Export Diversification in FEZs

Export diversification is considered vital for reducing dependency on a narrow range of products and markets, thereby minimizing vulnerability to external shocks and fostering long-term economic stability (UNCTAD, 2019). Scholars argue that FEZs, by offering tax incentives, simplified regulations, and infrastructure advantages, can attract diverse industries and facilitate entry into new global value chains (Johansson & Nilsson, 1997; Kusago & Tzannatos, 1998). Empirical studies show that successful FEZs such as Shenzhen have played a key role in expanding the range of exported products and boosting export volumes (Wang, 2013; Zeng, 2015). However, some researchers caution that the benefits of export diversification are not automatic; they depend on the zone's linkages with the domestic economy, proactive government policies, and the presence of capable institutions (Farole & Akinci, 2011; Moran, 2011).

2.2 Industrial Clustering in FEZs

The concept of industrial clustering—defined as the geographic concentration of interconnected firms and supporting institutions—has been widely studied as a source of collective efficiency, innovation, and competitiveness (Porter, 1998; Schmitz, 1995). FEZs often serve as fertile ground for cluster development due to their high-density industrial environments and supportive policy frameworks (Gawlikowska-Hueckel & Uminski, 2017). Clustering fosters value chain integration, knowledge spillovers, and the emergence of specialized suppliers, which in turn reinforce innovation and productivity (Humphrey & Schmitz, 2002; Belderbos et al., 2001). Case studies from Poland, Dubai, and China illustrate how FEZs can nurture industrial clusters that drive regional upgrading and export competitiveness (Farole, 2011; Zeng, 2015).

2.3 Synergies and Challenges

A growing body of literature explores the synergies between export diversification and clustering, emphasizing that industrial clusters can accelerate diversification by lowering barriers to entry, sharing resources, and fostering rapid diffusion of new technologies (Zisman, 2018; Humphrey & Schmitz, 2002). At the same time, achieving these outcomes requires more than favorable policy: institutional quality, human capital, infrastructure, and effective public-private collaboration are crucial (Farole & Akinci, 2011; UNCTAD, 2019).

Despite their potential, FEZs are not a panacea. Critics highlight challenges such as enclave effects, weak backward linkages, regulatory capture, and environmental concerns (Farole, 2011; Moran, 2011). Moreover, the success of FEZs in driving export diversification and clustering often depends on their ability to adapt to changing global trends, embrace innovation, and maintain a balance between economic growth and social or environmental sustainability (Zeng, 2015; UNCTAD, 2019).

In summary, the literature underscores the importance of a holistic and adaptive approach in leveraging FEZs for export diversification and industrial clustering. Successful experiences point to the need for robust legal and institutional frameworks, high-quality infrastructure, skilled human capital, and ongoing evaluation and policy adaptation (Abdullayev & Alakbarov, 2025; Ibrahimov et al., 2024).

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative, comparative case study approach to analyze the drivers, mechanisms, and outcomes of export diversification and industrial clustering in Free Economic Zones (FEZs). The methodology is designed to provide a nuanced understanding of how different FEZs around the world foster these two interrelated processes, as well as to identify best practices and persistent challenges relevant for policy and academic debate.

3.1 Research Design

A comparative case study design was chosen to facilitate in-depth analysis of multiple FEZs that are recognized for their achievements in export diversification and industrial cluster development. This approach enables systematic comparison of diverse institutional settings, policy frameworks, and economic outcomes, and facilitates the identification of common patterns as well as context-specific factors.

3.2 Case Selection Criteria

Case studies were selected based on the following criteria:

- International recognition of the FEZ's success in export diversification and/or industrial clustering (e.g., Shenzhen in China, Jebel Ali Free Zone in Dubai, Katowice Special Economic Zone in Poland).
- Availability of reliable secondary data, official reports, and scholarly analyses.
- Geographic diversity to encompass experiences from Asia, the Middle East, and Eastern Europe.

3.3 Data Collection

The research relies primarily on secondary data sources, including:

- Academic literature and peer-reviewed articles on FEZs, export diversification, and clustering.
- Official reports from international organizations such as the World Bank, UNCTAD, and OECD.
- Government statistics and publicly available documents from selected FEZs.
- Comparative studies, policy evaluations, and case-based research.

3.4 Data Analysis

Collected data were subjected to thematic analysis, focusing on: the policy instruments and incentives employed to foster export diversification and cluster formation; institutional, infrastructural, and human capital factors influencing outcomes; linkages and synergies between diversification and clustering, as well as barriers encountered; and comparative insights and lessons learned from the selected cases.

3.5 Limitations

The study acknowledges certain limitations inherent in qualitative, secondary-data-based research. The findings are shaped by the quality and availability of existing literature and reports, and specific contextual factors may limit generalizability. Nevertheless, the comparative approach allows for rich insights and practical recommendations relevant for policymakers and practitioners.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Export Diversification in Free Economic Zones

Export diversification is widely recognized as a critical pathway to economic resilience and sustainable growth, especially for developing and transition economies that are often reliant on a narrow range of exports. Free Economic Zones (FEZs) have emerged as policy tools specifically designed to promote export diversification by providing a unique environment that attracts investment, encourages the development of new industries, and facilitates integration into global value chains (Akinci & Crittle, 2008; Farole & Akinci, 2011).

FEZs utilize a range of mechanisms to stimulate diversification. These include fiscal incentives such as tax exemptions and reduced customs duties, streamlined administrative procedures, modern infrastructure, and regulatory flexibility that lowers the barriers to entry for a wide array of industries (Akinci & Crittle, 2008; UNCTAD, 2019). For example, the Shenzhen Special Economic Zone in China successfully used such incentives to attract investment in electronics, textiles, and machinery, thereby moving beyond traditional low-value exports (Wang, 2013; Zeng, 2015). Another key mechanism is the facilitation of technology transfer and knowledge spillovers, which enables local firms within FEZs to upgrade their production capabilities and diversify their product offerings (Zeng, 2015; Porter, 1998).

4.2 Case Studies: Successful FEZ Examples

- **Shenzhen, China:** The transformation of Shenzhen from a small fishing town to a major global manufacturing and export hub is often cited as a prime example of export diversification facilitated by an FEZ (Wang, 2013; Zeng, 2015).
- **Jebel Ali Free Zone, Dubai:** JAFZA is another notable case, where a combination of world-class infrastructure, liberal trade policies, and targeted incentives catalyzed the emergence of diverse export sectors, ranging from logistics to high-value manufacturing (UNCTAD, 2019; Farole, 2011).
- **Katowice Special Economic Zone, Poland:** In Eastern Europe, the Katowice SEZ has played a key role in Poland's export diversification strategy by attracting investment in automotive, electronics, and machinery sectors (Gawlikowska-Hueckel & Uminski, 2017).

4.3 Factors Influencing Export Diversification in FEZs

- **Institutional Quality:** Effective zone management, legal predictability, and transparent governance are crucial for attracting a diverse set of investors (Farole & Akinci, 2011; UNCTAD, 2019).
- **Infrastructure:** High-quality physical infrastructure, including transport, energy, and telecommunications, supports efficient production and export processes (Akinci & Crittle, 2008; World Bank, 2020).
- **Human Capital:** The availability of skilled labor and ongoing workforce development programs are necessary for supporting the growth of new industries (Zeng, 2015; Schmitz, 1995).
- **Linkages with the Domestic Economy:** FEZs are most effective when they are integrated with the broader national economy, fostering spillovers and backward linkages to domestic suppliers (Farole, 2011; Belderbos et al., 2001).

Despite their potential, FEZs do not guarantee successful export diversification in all contexts. Challenges such as enclave-type development, weak integration with the domestic economy, and insufficient institutional capacity can limit the broader impact of FEZs (Farole, 2011; UNCTAD, 2019). Furthermore, the effectiveness of fiscal incentives and regulatory reforms may be undermined if not accompanied by strong policy coordination and supportive macroeconomic conditions (Moran, 2011; Kusago & Tzannatos, 1998).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Industrial Clustering in Free Economic Zones

Industrial clustering refers to the geographic concentration of interconnected firms, suppliers, service providers, and associated institutions within a specific area, leading to collective efficiencies, knowledge spillovers, and innovation (Porter, 1998; Schmitz, 1995). Free Economic Zones (FEZs) often provide the optimal environment for the development of such clusters due to their high-density industrial environments, targeted policy incentives, and supportive infrastructure (Farole, 2011; Gawlikowska-Hueckel & Uminski, 2017).

Clusters in FEZs typically emerge through a combination of government strategy, private investment, and the natural agglomeration of related industries (Karimova et al., 2025). Governments facilitate clustering by targeting specific sectors, providing fiscal incentives, and developing sector-specific infrastructure (Farole & Akinci, 2011). For example, in Shenzhen, the government actively promoted electronics and high-tech manufacturing, which led to the emergence of globally competitive clusters

(Wang, 2013; Zeng, 2015). A key feature of industrial clustering in FEZs is the presence of strong supplier networks and supporting service providers, which create efficient value chains and facilitate rapid diffusion of new technologies (Humphrey & Schmitz, 2002; Belderbos et al., 2001).

5.2 Advantages of Industrial Clustering

- **Knowledge Spillovers:** Clusters encourage the exchange of ideas and expertise among firms, leading to collective learning and innovation (Schmitz, 1995; Porter, 1998).
- **Value Chain Integration:** Close linkages between manufacturers, suppliers, and service providers within a cluster facilitate efficient production, cost savings, and rapid response to market demands (Humphrey & Schmitz, 2002; Belderbos et al., 2001).
- **Labor Market Pooling:** Clusters attract skilled labor and foster specialized training programs, helping firms recruit employees with the right skills and experience (Zeng, 2015; Schmitz, 1995).
- **Competitive Advantages:** The presence of multiple competing and cooperating firms in close proximity spurs innovation and efficiency, making clusters more competitive globally (Porter, 1998).

5.3 Linkages between Export Diversification and Industrial Clustering

The relationship between export diversification and industrial clustering in Free Economic Zones (FEZs) is both dynamic and mutually reinforcing. A growing body of research highlights that the geographic concentration of firms (clusters) and the expansion of export product lines are not independent phenomena; rather, they interact in multiple ways to accelerate economic upgrading, foster innovation, and enhance regional competitiveness (Porter, 1998; Zisman, 2018).

Industrial clusters in FEZs create fertile ground for firms to explore new products and markets. The proximity of related and supporting industries allows for rapid adaptation to changing demand and market conditions, facilitating experimentation with new export lines (Porter, 1998; Schmitz, 1995). Empirical evidence from China's Shenzhen SEZ and Poland's Katowice SEZ demonstrates that zones with robust industrial clusters are more likely to achieve export diversification. In Shenzhen, electronics and ICT clusters enabled the city to move beyond traditional manufacturing to high-value exports, while in Katowice, automotive and machinery clusters contributed to the diversification of Poland's export structure (Wang, 2013; Gawlikowska-Hueckel & Uminski, 2017).

Conversely, the pursuit of export diversification often leads to the deepening and sophistication of industrial clusters. As firms within FEZs expand their product portfolios and enter new markets, they attract additional suppliers, talent, and service providers, which further strengthens the cluster's ecosystem (Zeng, 2015; Farole, 2011). The interaction between clustering and diversification generates significant synergistic effects. Clusters facilitate rapid knowledge diffusion and technology transfer, supporting the creation of new export products (Porter, 1998; Humphrey & Schmitz, 2002). The integration of firms into global value chains is easier within dense clusters, which provide access to information, infrastructure, and skilled labor (Farole & Akinci, 2011; UNCTAD, 2019).

For policymakers and FEZ administrators, recognizing the reciprocal relationship between export diversification and clustering is vital for designing effective zone strategies. Policy instruments that encourage sector-specific clusters—such as investment in industry associations, business incubators, and R&D centers—can simultaneously promote diversification by lowering barriers to entry and fostering innovation (Farole & Akinci, 2011; Zeng, 2015). Empirical studies underscore that the most successful FEZs are those that combine a strong clustering strategy with targeted export diversification

efforts. The experience of Dubai's Jebel Ali Free Zone and China's Shenzhen SEZ affirms that the two processes—when managed in tandem—lead to greater economic upgrading and resilience (UNCTAD, 2019; Wang, 2013).

6. CONCLUSION

This study has examined the interdependent dynamics of export diversification and industrial clustering within Free Economic Zones (FEZs), drawing on comparative evidence from leading global cases. The analysis shows that FEZs, when strategically designed and effectively managed, serve as powerful vehicles for broadening export portfolios and fostering the development of dynamic industrial clusters. The core finding is that export diversification and industrial clustering are mutually reinforcing: clusters enhance the capacity for innovation, facilitate value chain integration, and create enabling environments for new export-oriented industries, while diversified export bases attract further investment and talent, deepening cluster ecosystems.

Key factors underpinning success include robust legal and institutional frameworks, high-quality infrastructure, targeted fiscal and regulatory incentives, proactive investment in human capital, and strong public-private collaboration. The experiences of Shenzhen, Dubai, and Katowice highlight the importance of aligning export promotion with cluster development strategies, ensuring that firms benefit from collective efficiencies and knowledge spillovers. Nevertheless, persistent challenges remain, such as avoiding enclave-type development, strengthening linkages with the domestic economy, addressing institutional bottlenecks, and ensuring environmental and social sustainability.

Theoretically, this study advances the understanding of how FEZs function as platforms for integrated economic upgrading, rather than isolated policy instruments. Practically, the findings underscore the value of adaptive policy frameworks, continuous infrastructure and skills development, and the cultivation of inclusive and sustainable business ecosystems.

For policymakers and zone administrators, integrated cluster and export diversification strategies—supported by ongoing monitoring, stakeholder engagement, and policy adaptation—are essential for maximizing the economic and social impact of FEZs. Investment in education, R&D, and local supplier development can ensure that the benefits of zones extend beyond their boundaries. Suggestions for further research include empirical studies assessing the impact of specific policy interventions on export diversification and clustering trajectories within FEZs, cross-country comparative analyses, and longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of cluster dynamics and export structures over time.

In summary, FEZs hold significant promise for countries seeking to diversify their exports and foster competitive industrial ecosystems. Realizing this potential requires not only sound policy design but also an ongoing commitment to learning, adaptation, and inclusive development.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that there is no conflict of interest in the conduct and reporting of this study.

Funding Statement: This research received no external funding from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agency.

Author's Contributions: Sariyya Mammadova: conceptualization, literature review, methodology, data collection and analysis, writing – original draft, reviewing, and final editing.

REFERENCES

Abdullayev, A., & Alakbarov, A. (2025). Human capital and digital skills as drivers of firm-level competitiveness in Azerbaijan's transition economy. *Luminis Applied Science and Engineering*, 2(3), 27–34.

Abdullayev, A. E., Asgerova, M. R., Abbasova, M. M., & Humbat, E. (2024). Global challenges of regional management in the modern world: The main factors shaping the infrastructure base of regional management. *International Journal*, 5(11), 4639–4644.

Akinci, G., & Crittle, J. (2008). *Special economic zones: Performance, lessons learned, and implications for zone development*. World Bank.

Bah, E., Brada, J. C., & Yigit, T. M. (2011). With a little help from our friends: The effect of USAID assistance on growth in transition economies. *Emerging Markets Review*, 12(1), 42–56.

Belderbos, R., Capannelli, G., & Fukao, K. (2001). Backward vertical linkages of foreign manufacturing affiliates: Evidence from Japanese multinationals. *World Development*, 29(1), 189–208.

Farole, T. (2011). *Special economic zones in Africa: Comparing performance and learning from global experiences*. World Bank.

Farole, T., & Akinci, G. (Eds.). (2011). *Special economic zones: Progress, emerging challenges, and future directions*. World Bank.

Gawlikowska-Hueckel, K., & Uminski, S. (2017). *Special economic zones in Poland: Investment attractiveness and results*. European Financial Congress.

Humphrey, J., & Schmitz, H. (2002). How does insertion in global value chains affect upgrading in industrial clusters? *Regional Studies*, 36(9), 1017–1027.

Ibrahimov, E. Y., Alakbarov, A. U., Kerimova, F. B., & Alakberov, R. S. (2024). Competitiveness of Azerbaijan's T&T industry and its impact on the regional economy. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 8(5), 1295–1301.

Johansson, H., & Nilsson, L. (1997). Export processing zones as catalysts. *World Development*, 25(12), 2115–2128.

Karimova, F. B., Shabanov, M. S., & Mammadli, G. A. (2025). Duzdagh – Nakhchivan's health paradise: Integration of natural therapy and tourism. *Revista Universidad y Sociedad*, 17(4).

Kusago, T., & Tzannatos, Z. (1998). *Export processing zones: A review in need of update* (Social Protection Discussion Paper No. 9802). World Bank.

Mammadova, E., & Abdullayev, A. (2025). Impact of theatre and cinema culture on economy. *Luminis Applied Science and Engineering*, 2(3), 88–97.

Moran, T. H. (2011). Foreign direct investment and development: Launching a second generation of policy research. In T. Farole & G. Akinci (Eds.), *Special economic zones: Progress, emerging challenges, and future directions* (pp. 45–64). World Bank.

Porter, M. E. (1998). Clusters and the new economics of competition. *Harvard Business Review*, 76(6), 77–90.

Schmitz, H. (1995). Collective efficiency: Growth path for small-scale industry. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 31(4), 529–566.

UNCTAD. (2019). *World investment report 2019: Special economic zones*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

Wang, J. (2013). The economic impact of special economic zones: Evidence from Chinese municipalities. *Journal of Development Economics*, 101, 133–147.

World Bank. (2020). *Doing business 2020: Comparing business regulation in 190 economies*. World Bank Publications.

Zeng, D. Z. (2015). *Global experiences with special economic zones—with a focus on China and Africa*. World Bank.

Zisman, A. (2018). Export diversification, industrial policy and the role of clusters: Evidence from emerging economies. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform*, 21(4), 334–353.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Sariyya Mammadova is a second-year student in the specialty of Organization and Management of Business (Healthcare Management) at Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. Her research interests include free economic zones, export diversification, industrial clustering, and regional economic competitiveness.

https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5271-7061
Email: memmedovaseris22@gmail.com

Received: 15 March 2026
Accepted: 5 April 2026
Published: 7 April 2026