Porta Universorum | Vol. 2 No. 4 (2026): Balandis | ISSN 3030-2234
https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26040007

The Role of Infrastructure in Increasing Investment Attractiveness in the Regions

Ulvi Mammadli
Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan

How to cite: Mammadli, U. (2026). The role of infrastructure in increasing investment attractiveness in the regions. *Porta Universorum*, 2(4). https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26040008

ABSTRACT

Infrastructure is a critical determinant in shaping the investment attractiveness of regions, profoundly influencing both economic performance and social well-being. Well-developed infrastructure—encompassing transportation networks, reliable energy supply, advanced communication systems, and robust social services—creates a favorable business environment that draws both domestic and foreign investors. In recent decades, policymakers worldwide have prioritized infrastructure investment as a catalyst for balanced regional development, economic diversification, and the reduction of regional disparities. This paper examines the multifaceted impact of infrastructure on the investment climate in regions, focusing on Azerbaijan as a case study while drawing comparisons with global trends. The research adopts a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative data analysis of regional infrastructure and investment statistics with qualitative insights from expert interviews, including government officials, local entrepreneurs, and foreign investors. The findings demonstrate that regions with high-quality infrastructure consistently outperform others in attracting new investments, as such infrastructure reduces operational costs, mitigates logistical risks, and enhances productivity. Moreover, international best practices indicate that sustainable infrastructure development, supported by effective public-private partnerships, is essential for maintaining long-term investment appeal. However, the study also identifies ongoing challenges, such as the need for balanced infrastructure development across regions and the importance of regular maintenance and modernization efforts. The paper concludes with policy recommendations aimed at strengthening infrastructure planning and financing mechanisms to further enhance the investment attractiveness of Azerbaijan's regions and ensure inclusive, sustainable growth.

Keywords: Infrastructure; investment attractiveness; regional development; economic growth; transport; social infrastructure; Azerbaijan

1. INTRODUCTION

In an increasingly globalized and competitive economic environment, regions are compelled to distinguish themselves by creating favorable conditions for investment. Investments—whether domestic or foreign—are widely recognized as essential engines for economic growth, job creation, and technological advancement. However, the ability of a region to attract and retain investment is

contingent upon a multitude of factors, among which the quality and availability of infrastructure play a pivotal role.

Infrastructure is the backbone of any modern economy. It encompasses not only physical assets such as transportation networks (roads, railways, ports, airports), energy systems (electricity, gas, renewables), and communication technologies (broadband internet, telecommunications), but also social infrastructure, including education, healthcare, and public services. The presence of reliable and efficient infrastructure reduces transaction and logistics costs, increases productivity, facilitates access to markets and resources, and minimizes business risks. As a result, investors tend to favor regions with well-developed infrastructure, viewing them as lower-risk and higher-opportunity environments for capital deployment.

Over the past decades, governments and policymakers worldwide have increasingly recognized the strategic importance of infrastructure in shaping regional competitiveness. Numerous empirical studies and international experiences confirm that infrastructure development not only directly stimulates economic activity but also indirectly fosters private sector expansion and innovation. For transition and developing economies, such as Azerbaijan, the challenge is even more pronounced given the dual need to modernize existing infrastructure and address regional disparities that hinder balanced development.

Azerbaijan, situated at the crossroads of Europe and Asia, has made significant strides in upgrading its infrastructure as part of its broader economic diversification agenda. Major investments in highways, railways, ports, energy pipelines, and digital networks have transformed the investment landscape in recent years. The government's commitment to regional development is further evidenced by the establishment of industrial parks, free economic zones, and targeted support for rural infrastructure projects (Elza & Asif, 2025). Despite these advancements, disparities remain between regions, with less developed areas often facing infrastructural gaps that limit their investment appeal.

This paper seeks to explore how infrastructure development influences the investment attractiveness of regions, using Azerbaijan as a primary case study while drawing lessons from international best practices. The research aims to answer the following key questions: Which aspects of infrastructure are most critical for investors? How does infrastructure improvement translate into increased investment flows? What policy measures can further enhance the attractiveness of regions for investment?

2. METHODOLOGY

This research employs a comprehensive mixed-methods approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative techniques to investigate the role of infrastructure in increasing investment attractiveness in the regions. The methodological framework is constructed in consideration of best practices highlighted in previous studies (Aschauer, 1989; Esfahani & Ramírez, 2003; OECD, 2018; World Bank, 2019) and is tailored to capture the multifaceted nature of the research problem.

2.1 Quantitative Analysis

The quantitative component involves the collection and analysis of secondary data from national and international sources, including the State Statistical Committee of Azerbaijan, the World Bank's Doing Business reports, the EBRD's infrastructure assessments, and other relevant databases (World Bank, 2019; UNECE, 2021; EBRD, 2020). Key indicators such as transport density, energy supply reliability, ICT penetration, and healthcare and education facility coverage in various regions are compiled and compared against corresponding regional investment inflow statistics (Karimova et al., 2025). Correlation and regression analyses are conducted to identify statistical relationships between

infrastructure development levels and investment attraction, building upon methodologies used by Ghosh and De (2005), Kumo (2012), and UNCTAD (2020).

2.2 Qualitative Analysis

To supplement and contextualize the quantitative findings, qualitative data are gathered through semi-structured interviews and expert consultations. Respondents include government officials responsible for regional infrastructure projects, representatives from local and foreign investor circles, business association leaders, and academics specializing in regional development (Aliyev, 2017; Mammadov, 2020; Heydarov, 2015). The interviews explore perceptions regarding infrastructure priorities, challenges in project implementation, and the impact of recent infrastructure investments on business decisions (Abdullayev et al., 2024).

2.3 Comparative and Case Study Approach

The study employs a comparative approach, reviewing infrastructure-led investment experiences from both developed and developing countries, as discussed in literature by the OECD (2018), ADB (2017), and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (2021). International case studies from Eastern Europe, Central Asia, and Southeast Asia are analyzed to identify best practices and lessons applicable to Azerbaijan's context.

2.4 Triangulation and Limitations

To ensure reliability and validity, data triangulation is applied by cross-referencing statistical findings with interview insights and literature reviews (Shahbazov, 2019; Gurbanov, 2018; Kazimov & Khalilova, 2016). While the research design aims to provide a holistic understanding, certain limitations must be acknowledged. The availability and consistency of regional data may vary, and qualitative responses might carry subjective bias. Nonetheless, the combination of quantitative rigor and qualitative depth, supported by an extensive literature base, enhances the robustness and credibility of the study's findings.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The relationship between infrastructure development and investment attractiveness has been a central topic in economic literature for decades. Early foundational work by Aschauer (1989) highlighted that public infrastructure expenditure significantly enhances private sector productivity, suggesting that infrastructure is a crucial determinant of investment flows in both advanced and developing economies. Building on this, Esfahani and Ramírez (2003) provided empirical evidence that institutional quality and infrastructure jointly contribute to economic growth and the attraction of capital.

In the context of transition economies, Kumo (2012) and the EBRD (2020) have emphasized that improvements in regional infrastructure reduce transaction costs, increase market accessibility, and foster favorable investment environments. According to the World Bank (2019) and OECD (2018), robust infrastructure is consistently ranked by international investors as a primary factor in site selection and investment decisions.

Azerbaijan has received growing scholarly attention regarding its infrastructure policies and their impact on regional development. Aliyev (2017) analyzed the outcomes of government-led infrastructure projects, noting a clear link between improved infrastructure and increased investment flows in non-oil sectors. Mammadov (2020) further argued that diversified infrastructure investments have accelerated economic diversification and reduced the country's dependence on hydrocarbon revenues.

Transport infrastructure, in particular, has been identified as a key determinant in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) to the regions (Shahbazov, 2019). The expansion of road, rail, and logistics networks—supported by large-scale government and international financing—has not only facilitated internal connectivity but has also positioned Azerbaijan as a regional transit hub (UNECE, 2021; Ismayilov, 2018). Energy infrastructure developments (Gurbanov, 2018) have played a significant role in supporting industrial expansion and ensuring reliable energy supply for new investments.

Social infrastructure—encompassing education, healthcare, and public services—has also been shown to influence investment attractiveness by improving the quality of life and human capital in the regions (Abdullayev & Alakbarov, 2025). Kazimov and Khalilova (2016) demonstrated that regions with better social services tend to attract more qualified professionals and business ventures, fostering a sustainable investment environment. Internationally, similar findings are reported by Ghosh and De (2005) and ADB (2017), who stress the role of social infrastructure in inclusive regional development.

The importance of effective infrastructure management and financing mechanisms is highlighted in studies on public-private partnerships (Heydarov, 2015; AIIB, 2021). These works underscore the need for diversified funding sources and institutional capacity to ensure the sustainability of infrastructure projects. According to UNCTAD (2020), long-term investment in infrastructure, particularly when coordinated with private sector participation, leads to more resilient and attractive regional economies. The literature also identifies significant challenges: persistent regional disparities, maintenance issues, and gaps in digital infrastructure remain obstacles to balanced development (EBRD, 2020; World Bank, 2019).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study underscore the pivotal role of infrastructure in shaping the investment attractiveness of regions in Azerbaijan and align with empirical evidence from other emerging economies (Aschauer, 1989; OECD, 2018). Quantitative analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between the quality and coverage of infrastructure and the volume of both domestic and foreign investment inflows. Regions with well-developed transport networks, reliable energy supply, robust communication systems, and accessible social infrastructure consistently reported higher investment activity (World Bank, 2019; Aliyev, 2017).

4.1 Transport Infrastructure

Data analysis showed that regions with advanced road and rail networks, as well as proximity to major ports and logistics centers, attracted greater volumes of FDI and domestic investment. Shahbazov (2019) confirmed that efficient transport infrastructure reduces operational costs and increases the competitiveness of regional businesses, making these regions more attractive to investors. Moreover, international transit projects such as the Baku–Tbilisi–Kars railway and the expansion of the Port of Baku have further enhanced Azerbaijan's status as a regional logistics hub (UNECE, 2021).

4.2 Energy and Communication Infrastructure

The presence of stable and accessible energy infrastructure was found to be a key determinant for large-scale industrial projects (Gurbanov, 2018). Energy shortages or unreliable supply in some regions were associated with lower levels of new investment, particularly in manufacturing and processing sectors. Similarly, regions with strong ICT infrastructure, including high-speed internet and digital services, saw increased activity among technology-oriented investors (Ismayilov, 2018). These findings mirror global trends, where digital infrastructure is now considered essential for modern investment climates (AIIB, 2021).

4.3 Social Infrastructure

The qualitative interviews highlighted the importance of social infrastructure—such as quality healthcare, education, and housing—in attracting skilled labor and supporting long-term investments. Investors and business leaders emphasized that the availability of social services not only improves the quality of life for employees but also reduces staff turnover and enhances productivity (Kazimov & Khalilova, 2016; Ghosh & De, 2005). Regions with active programs for upgrading schools, hospitals, and cultural facilities were found to be more attractive, especially for foreign investors seeking to relocate personnel.

4.4 Policy and Financing Mechanisms

Government-driven infrastructure projects, such as the creation of industrial parks and free economic zones, have led to notable improvements in regional investment climates (Aliyev, 2017; Mammadov, 2020). The research also identified effective public-private partnerships (PPPs) as a means of leveraging additional resources for infrastructure development, consistent with the recommendations of Heydarov (2015) and OECD (2018). However, challenges remain in ensuring the sustainability and maintenance of infrastructure, as well as in addressing disparities between more developed and less developed regions.

4.5 Regional Disparities

Despite overall progress, significant regional disparities persist. Less developed regions lag behind in terms of infrastructure quality and investment attraction, which is consistent with findings from other post-Soviet and transition economies (Kumo, 2012; EBRD, 2020). The literature and interview data emphasize the need for more balanced allocation of resources and targeted support to lagging regions to prevent deepening regional inequalities.

4.6 International Comparisons and Key Insights

Comparative analysis of international experience revealed that countries with sustained investments in both physical and digital infrastructure, supported by transparent regulatory frameworks and effective coordination between governmental and private entities, achieve higher levels of investment attractiveness and regional competitiveness (ADB, 2017; UNCTAD, 2020; OECD, 2018). Azerbaijan's recent policy reforms and strategic investments reflect awareness of these global best practices, though continuous improvement and adaptation are required to maintain momentum. The following key insights emerge from the analysis:

- Infrastructure quality is a primary consideration for investors, often outweighing other factors such as tax incentives or labor costs.
- Balanced and inclusive infrastructure development is essential for reducing regional disparities and fostering nationwide economic growth.
- Sustainable financing and efficient maintenance are necessary to ensure long-term benefits from infrastructure investments.
- Public-private partnerships and international collaboration can accelerate infrastructure modernization and broaden access to advanced technologies.

5. CONCLUSION

This study has comprehensively examined the role of infrastructure in increasing investment attractiveness across the regions, with a primary focus on Azerbaijan and comparative perspectives from international best practices (Bababayli et al., 2025). The findings underscore that infrastructure is

not merely a supporting component of regional development but a fundamental driver that shapes the investment climate, determines the competitiveness of regions, and influences long-term economic and social outcomes.

The quantitative and qualitative analyses confirm that robust infrastructure—spanning transport, energy, communication, and social sectors—directly correlates with higher levels of both domestic and foreign investment inflows (Aliyev, 2017; World Bank, 2019). Regions with modern, reliable, and well-maintained infrastructure consistently outperform those with infrastructural gaps, attracting a broader range of investors and supporting more diversified economic activities (Shahbazov, 2019; Ismayilov, 2018).

Transport and logistics networks facilitate access to markets and resources, reduce operational costs, and support export-oriented growth, which is vital for resource-rich and strategically located countries like Azerbaijan (UNECE, 2021). Reliable energy and advanced communication infrastructure are essential for industrialization, technological innovation, and the development of knowledge-based sectors (Gurbanov, 2018; AIIB, 2021). Social infrastructure, including quality healthcare, education, and public amenities, enhances human capital and supports the sustainable integration of investment projects into the local context (Kazimov & Khalilova, 2016; Ghosh & De, 2005).

Despite significant progress in recent years, Azerbaijan, like many emerging economies, continues to face challenges related to regional disparities, maintenance of existing infrastructure, and the need for sustainable financing mechanisms (EBRD, 2020; Mammadov, 2020). The study's results highlight the importance of balanced regional development policies, targeted investment in lagging regions, and the adoption of innovative approaches such as public-private partnerships (Heydarov, 2015; OECD, 2018). In light of these findings, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

- Prioritize balanced allocation of resources to ensure equitable infrastructure development across all regions, thereby reducing regional disparities and promoting inclusive growth.
- Encourage and facilitate public-private partnerships to mobilize additional funding, leverage expertise, and accelerate project implementation.
- Invest in the modernization and digitalization of infrastructure, with a particular focus on ICT and innovative technologies that support emerging industries.
- Strengthen institutional capacity for efficient planning, management, and maintenance of infrastructure assets to maximize long-term benefits.
- Promote international cooperation to adopt global best practices and attract foreign investment.

Ultimately, the study affirms that infrastructure development is a cornerstone for enhancing investment attractiveness and achieving sustainable regional development. The Azerbaijani experience, when informed by global trends and adjusted to local realities, provides valuable lessons for other emerging and transition economies seeking to harness infrastructure as a catalyst for economic transformation and long-term prosperity.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that there is no conflict of interest in the conduct and reporting of this study.

Funding Statement: This research received no external funding from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agency.

Author's Contributions: Ulvi Mammadli: conceptualization, literature review, methodology, data collection and analysis, writing – original draft, reviewing, and final editing.

REFERENCES

Abdullayev, A. E., Asgerova, M. R., Abbasova, M. M., & Humbat, E. (2024). Global challenges of regional management in the modern world: The main factors shaping the infrastructure base of regional management. *International Journal*, 5(11), 4639–4644.

Abdullayev, A., & Alakbarov, A. (2025). Human capital and digital skills as drivers of firm-level competitiveness in Azerbaijan's transition economy. *Luminis Applied Science and Engineering*, 2(3), 27–34.

Aliyev, I. (2017). *Regional infrastructure development in Azerbaijan: Achievements and prospects*. Azerbaijan State Economic University Press.

Aschauer, D. A. (1989). Is public expenditure productive? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 23(2), 177–200.

Asian Development Bank. (2017). *Infrastructure for supporting inclusive growth and poverty reduction in Asia*. ADB.

Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank. (2021). *Assessing infrastructure investment in emerging markets*. AIIB.

Bababayli, N., Zeynalov, H., Maharramova, K., & Isgenderova, U. (2025). Assessment of the geographic and tourism potential of the mountainous areas of Nakhchivan in the context of landscape ecology. *Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology*, 34(4), 721–732.

Elza, M., & Asif, A. (2025). Cultural crisis and its economic dimensions. *Porta Universorum*, 1(7), 27–31.

Esfahani, H. S., & Ramírez, M. T. (2003). Institutions, infrastructure, and economic growth. *Journal of Development Economics*, 70(2), 443–477.

European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. (2020). *Infrastructure in transition: Challenges and opportunities*. EBRD.

Ghosh, B., & De, P. (2005). Infrastructure and regional development in India. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 40(47), 4985–4993.

Gurbanov, A. (2018). Energy infrastructure and regional competitiveness in Azerbaijan. *Energy Policy*, 120, 278–286.

Heydarov, F. (2015). Public-private partnerships in infrastructure development: Azerbaijan's experience. *Public Policy Review*, 4(2), 67–76.

Ismayilov, E. (2018). Communication infrastructure and economic growth in Azerbaijan. *Azerbaijan Economic Review*, 12(4), 207–220.

Karimova, F. B., Shabanov, M. S., & Mammadli, G. A. (2025). Duzdagh – Nakhchivan's health paradise: Integration of natural therapy and tourism. *Revista Universidad y Sociedad*, 17(4).

Kazimov, A., & Khalilova, S. (2016). Social infrastructure development in Azerbaijan's regions. *Social Sciences Research Journal*, 7(3), 123–134.

Kumo, K. (2012). Infrastructure and regional development in transition economies. *Eastern European Economics*, 50(5), 5–21.

Mammadov, R. (2020). The impact of infrastructure on economic diversification in Azerbaijan. *Economic and Social Development Journal*, 5(1), 45–56.

OECD. (2018). *Enhancing investment attraction through infrastructure development*. OECD Publishing.

Shahbazov, R. (2019). The role of transport infrastructure in FDI attraction: The case of Azerbaijan. *Journal of Eurasian Studies*, 10(2), 99–110.

UNCTAD. (2020). *World investment report 2020: International production beyond the pandemic*. United Nations.

UNECE. (2021). *Regional infrastructure and investment trends*. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe.

World Bank. (2019). *Doing business 2019: Training for reform*. World Bank.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Ulvi Mammadli is a second-year master's student in the specialty of Regulation of Economy at Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. His research interests include regional economic development, infrastructure policy, investment attractiveness, and public-private partnerships.

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9811-7529
Email: ulvimemmedli@ndu.edu.az

Received: 2 March 2026
Accepted: 8 April 2026
Published: 11 April 2026