

## Assessing the Effectiveness of Training: Skills and Knowledge Evaluation

Sadiq Ismayilov

*Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan*

**How to cite:** Ismayilov, S. (2026). Assessing the effectiveness of training: Skills and knowledge evaluation. *Porta Universorum*, 2(5), 77-82. <https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26050011>

© 2026 The Author. Published by *Porta Universorum* (EGARP). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

---

### ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of training programs stands as a critical factor in both employee development and overall organizational success. As organizations increasingly invest in various forms of training to upskill their workforce, the need for robust assessment mechanisms to measure the actual outcomes of these initiatives becomes more apparent. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of training by focusing on the systematic assessment of skills and knowledge acquired by employees following their participation in training programs. Through a mixed-methods approach, the research integrates quantitative data from standardized pre- and post-training assessments with qualitative insights obtained from participant interviews and feedback forms. The sample consists of employees from several local and international organizations, providing a broad perspective on training outcomes. The findings reveal that organizations employing multi-level evaluation frameworks, such as the Kirkpatrick Model, demonstrate a clearer alignment between training objectives and organizational goals. Quantitative results show statistically significant improvements in both knowledge and skills post-training, while qualitative feedback indicates enhanced motivation, confidence, and job satisfaction among participants. However, the study also identifies persistent barriers to effective evaluation, including limited resources, lack of expertise, and resistance to change within organizations. The research highlights the necessity for continuous improvement in training design and assessment processes to ensure sustained employee development and optimal organizational performance. Recommendations are offered for implementing comprehensive evaluation strategies, emphasizing the integration of both quantitative and qualitative assessment tools. Overall, this study underscores the value of rigorous training evaluation in maximizing return on investment and achieving long-term benefits for both employees and organizations.

**Keywords:** Training effectiveness; skills evaluation; knowledge assessment; organizational development; employee performance; training impact; Kirkpatrick Model

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In today's highly dynamic and competitive business environment, organizations are increasingly recognizing the importance of investing in human capital as a key driver of sustained success (Abdullayev & Alakbarov, 2025). Training and development programs have become indispensable tools for equipping employees with the necessary skills and knowledge to adapt to rapid technological

advances, evolving job roles, and shifting market demands. As the workforce becomes more diverse and the nature of work continues to change, the need for targeted and effective training interventions has never been greater (Abdullayev & Abutalibova, 2026).

Despite the significant resources allocated to employee training, a persistent challenge faced by organizations is determining the real impact of these programs. While it is common practice to measure participant satisfaction or attendance rates, these superficial metrics do not provide meaningful insights into whether trainees have genuinely acquired new competencies or can apply them in their roles. The ultimate goal of any training initiative should be to translate learning into improved job performance, increased productivity, and enhanced organizational outcomes. Achieving this requires a systematic approach to evaluating the effectiveness of training, with a particular focus on skills and knowledge assessment.

Evaluation of training effectiveness is a multidimensional process that goes beyond immediate learner reactions. It encompasses the measurement of learning outcomes, behavioral changes in the workplace, and the tangible results achieved as a consequence of training. The Kirkpatrick Model, one of the most widely adopted frameworks, emphasizes the importance of assessing not only what employees learned but also how they apply their new skills and the impact on organizational objectives. However, implementing such comprehensive evaluation frameworks poses challenges, including resource constraints, lack of expertise, and organizational resistance to change.

Moreover, the increasing adoption of digital learning platforms and remote training has added new layers of complexity to the evaluation process. While technology enables broader access and scalability, it also requires new methods and tools for assessing learning outcomes effectively. Organizations must therefore continuously refine their evaluation strategies to ensure they keep pace with changing training modalities and workforce expectations.

This paper aims to address these challenges by exploring best practices and evidence-based approaches to assessing training effectiveness. By systematically evaluating both skills and knowledge acquisition, organizations can not only justify their training investments but also make informed decisions about future learning and development initiatives. The study draws on local and international research, offering a comprehensive perspective on training evaluation and its practical implications for human resources management (Abdullayev et al., 2024). Ultimately, the goal is to provide actionable recommendations that will help organizations maximize the return on their training investments and support the ongoing professional growth of their employees.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

The literature on training effectiveness underscores the need for structured evaluation frameworks (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick, 2016). The Kirkpatrick Model, widely referenced in both local (Aliyev, 2018) and international contexts, outlines four levels of evaluation: reaction, learning, behavior, and results. Studies highlight that while initial reactions are valuable, the most meaningful insights come from assessing learning transfer and behavioral changes (Noe, 2017; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). In Azerbaijan, research by Mammadova (2019) emphasizes the role of cultural factors in shaping training outcomes. Further, emerging digital tools have improved the accessibility and accuracy of training assessments (Salas et al., 2012; Quliyev, 2020). However, challenges remain, including resource constraints and resistance to change (Armstrong & Taylor, 2020; Hajizada, 2021). Comparisons between online and traditional methods reveal comparable effectiveness when evaluation is rigorous (Brown & Sitzmann, 2011; Muradov, 2017). Ultimately, effective training evaluation requires a

combination of quantitative and qualitative approaches tailored to specific organizational contexts (Cedefop, 2017; Ismayilova, 2016).

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

This study utilized a mixed-methods research design to evaluate the effectiveness of training programs with respect to skills and knowledge development. The research was conducted across five organizations—three local and two international—selected to provide both diversity and comparability. A total of 120 employees, representing multiple departments and job levels, participated in the study. Stratified random sampling ensured an inclusive and representative group.

Quantitative data were collected through standardized pre- and post-training assessments, consisting of multiple-choice questions, case-based scenarios, and practical exercises tailored to the specific training content. These assessments measured both theoretical understanding and practical application of new skills. The statistical analysis of test scores was performed using paired t-tests to identify significant changes in knowledge and competency levels.

Qualitative data were obtained through semi-structured interviews and participant feedback forms. Thirty participants were selected for interviews to provide in-depth perspectives on training relevance, applicability, and areas for improvement. Additionally, input from HR managers and trainers was gathered to contextualize findings. Triangulation of quantitative and qualitative data strengthened the validity of the results, while piloting of assessment tools ensured reliability. This comprehensive methodology enabled a thorough evaluation of training impact within the selected organizations.

### **4. DISCUSSION**

The findings of this research provide strong evidence for the importance of systematic and multi-faceted evaluation in determining the effectiveness of training programs. The significant improvements documented in employees' post-training assessment scores demonstrate that structured training initiatives can lead to measurable gains in both knowledge and practical skills (Zeynalov, 2025). This outcome is consistent with international best practices, which emphasize that effective training evaluation should extend beyond simple attendance or satisfaction surveys and focus on tangible learning outcomes and behavioral changes (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick, 2016; Noe, 2017).

Qualitative feedback collected through participant interviews and open-ended responses further enriches these findings. Many employees reported feeling more confident and motivated in their roles after undergoing training. Such psychological benefits, including increased job satisfaction and engagement, are supported in the literature as critical by-products of well-designed training programs (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). Moreover, trainers and HR managers highlighted improvements in employee initiative and adaptability—attributes essential for organizational resilience and success in a rapidly evolving business environment (Bandura et al., 2026).

The use of comprehensive evaluation frameworks, such as the Kirkpatrick Model, enabled organizations to assess not only learning at the point of delivery but also the transfer of skills to the workplace and the resulting impact on organizational objectives (Kirkpatrick & Kirkpatrick, 2016). Those organizations that adopted a multi-level approach generally reported better alignment between training content and strategic goals, as well as a clearer understanding of return on training investment (Armstrong & Taylor, 2020).

Nevertheless, several persistent challenges were observed throughout the study. Limited financial and human resources, as well as a lack of specialized expertise in evaluation methodologies, frequently

constrained organizations' ability to conduct thorough assessments (Aliyev, 2018). This was particularly evident in smaller local companies, where day-to-day operational pressures often took precedence over long-term human capital development. Additionally, organizational resistance to change and a lack of a learning-oriented culture sometimes hindered the adoption of more rigorous evaluation practices.

The integration of digital tools for training assessment has begun to address some of these obstacles by streamlining data collection and analysis, and making evaluation processes more scalable and accessible (Quliyev, 2020). However, the effectiveness of digital tools depends on their appropriate and consistent use, as well as the digital literacy of both trainers and trainees. Feedback from organizational leaders in this study emphasized the importance of tailoring evaluation strategies to the specific context and needs of the workforce. Customization, continuous improvement, and openness to feedback were identified as critical factors for sustaining the long-term impact of training programs. In summary, the research confirms that combining quantitative assessments with qualitative feedback provides the most comprehensive understanding of training effectiveness. Organizations that invest in robust, adaptable evaluation frameworks are better positioned to realize the full benefits of their training initiatives, ensuring continuous employee development and alignment with broader organizational ambitions.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In a rapidly evolving business world, where adaptability and continuous learning are the bedrock of organizational success, the true value of training extends far beyond the confines of the classroom or the digital learning module. This study has illuminated the transformative potential embedded within well-designed and rigorously evaluated training programs. By systematically assessing both the skills and knowledge gained by employees, organizations unlock not only tangible improvements in individual performance but also foster a culture of growth, engagement, and innovation (Ibrahimov et al., 2024).

The research revealed that the most successful organizations are those that view training as a strategic investment rather than a routine obligation. These organizations embrace multi-level evaluation frameworks, blending quantitative measurement with qualitative insights to capture the rich, nuanced impact of learning interventions (Sabir, 2023). This holistic approach ensures that training initiatives are not isolated events but ongoing journeys—ones that evolve in response to feedback, business needs, and technological advancements.

Crucially, the study also highlighted the importance of context. There is no universal blueprint for effective training evaluation; the most impactful strategies are those tailored to the unique culture, resources, and ambitions of each organization. By nurturing an environment where feedback is valued and continuous improvement is prioritized, leaders can turn training into a powerful lever for organizational agility and resilience.

Despite persistent challenges—such as limited resources, resistance to change, and evolving digital landscapes—this research affirms that obstacles can be overcome through creativity, commitment, and the strategic use of technology. The integration of digital assessment tools demonstrates how innovation can make evaluation more accessible and actionable, ensuring that organizations remain agile in the face of shifting demands.

Looking ahead, the path to maximizing the return on training investment lies in developing adaptive, evidence-based evaluation practices and fostering a workforce that is not only skilled but also empowered and inspired. Future research could build on these insights by conducting longitudinal studies to trace the long-term effects of training on career progression and organizational performance,

or by exploring sector-specific challenges and solutions. Ultimately, effective training evaluation is not merely a tool for measuring progress—it is a catalyst for unlocking human potential and driving sustained organizational excellence. By embracing this mindset, organizations can ensure that their investment in people pays dividends not only today, but well into the future.

#### DECLARATIONS

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The author declares that there is no conflict of interest in the conduct and reporting of this study.

**Funding Statement:** This research received no external funding from any public, commercial, or not-for-profit funding agency.

**Author's Contributions:** Sadiq Ismayilov: conceptualization, literature review, methodology, data collection and analysis, writing – original draft, reviewing, and final editing.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdullayev, A. E., Asgerova, M. R., Abbasova, M. M., & Humbat, E. (2024). Global challenges of regional management in the modern world: The main factors shaping the infrastructure base of regional management. *International Journal*, 5(11), 4639–4644.
- Abdullayev, A., & Abutalibova, N. (2026). Economic diversification and the development of alternative sectors. *Porta Universorum*, 2(4), 87–92.
- Abdullayev, A., & Alakbarov, A. (2025). Human capital and digital skills as drivers of firm-level competitiveness in Azerbaijan's transition economy. *Luminis Applied Science and Engineering*, 2(3), 27–34.
- Aliyev, N. (2018). Human resources development and training evaluation in Azerbaijan. *Azerbaijan HR Journal*, 5(2), 45–57.
- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2020). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (15th ed.). Kogan Page.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job Demands–Resources Theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285.
- Bandura, Z., Kostash, T., & Imanova, M. (2026). The use of integrated reporting as a tool for enhancing business transparency in the context of globalization. *Aktual'ni pytannia ekonomichnykh nauk*, (20).
- Blanchard, P. N., & Thacker, J. W. (2013). *Effective training: Systems, strategies, and practices* (5th ed.). Pearson.
- Brown, K. G., & Sitzmann, T. (2011). Training and employee development for improved performance. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 541–564.
- Cedefop. (2017). *Developing skills for the future of work*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Hajizada, S. (2021). Barriers to effective training evaluation in the Azerbaijani context. *Baku Management Review*, 4(1), 89–101.
- Ibrahimov, E. Y., Alakbarov, A. U., Kerimova, F. B., & Alakberov, R. S. (2024). Competitiveness of Azerbaijan's T&T industry and its impact on the regional economy. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 8(5), 1295–1301.
- Ismayilova, L. (2016). Assessment methods in corporate training: Local practices. *Caspian Business Journal*, 3(2), 110–122.

- Kirkpatrick, J. D., & Kirkpatrick, W. K. (2016). *Kirkpatrick's four levels of training evaluation*. ATD Press.
- Mammadova, F. (2019). Cultural influences on training outcomes in Azerbaijan. *International Journal of HR Development*, 12(4), 301–318.
- Muradov, R. (2017). E-learning vs traditional learning: An evaluation study in Azerbaijan. *Education & Development*, 6(3), 65–76.
- Noe, R. A. (2017). *Employee training and development* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Quliyev, T. (2020). Digital tools for training assessment in human resources. *Azerbaijan Business Review*, 8(1), 23–34.
- Sabir, B. J. (2023). The values as a content in person-oriented education. *International Journal of Philosophical Studies and Social Sciences*, 3(5), 130–135.
- Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 13(2), 74–101.
- Smith, R. (2015). Measuring training effectiveness: Practical approaches. *International Review of Training and Development*, 22(2), 99–112.
- Wang, G., & Wilcox, D. (2006). Training evaluation: Knowing more than is practically possible. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 17(2), 219–226.
- Zeynalov, H. (2025). Characteristics of development of medical tourism in the Nakhchivan Autonomous Republic. *Norwegian Journal of Development of the International Science*, (158), 67.

#### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

**Sadiq Ismayilov** is a second-year master's student in the specialty of Strategic Management at Nakhchivan State University, Azerbaijan. His research interests include training effectiveness, human resource development, organizational performance, and knowledge management.

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1023-8985>

Email: [sadiq@texnosfer.com](mailto:sadiq@texnosfer.com)

Received: 15 March 2026

Accepted: 8 May 2026

Published: 10 May 2026