

## Linguoculture as a System of Cultural Coding: The Role of Syntax in Meaning Construction

Vafa Abdullayeva-Nabiyeva

*Institute of Linguistics named after Nasimi, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences (ANAS), Baku, Azerbaijan*

**How to cite:** Abdullayeva-Nabiyeva, V. (2026). Linguoculture as a system of cultural coding: The role of syntax in meaning construction. *Porta Universorum*, 2(6), 71-78. <https://doi.org/10.69760/portuni.26060010>

© 2026 The Author. Published by *Porta Universorum* (EGARP). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)**, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

---

### ABSTRACT

This article examines linguoculture as a complex system of cultural coding that facilitates the transmission, preservation, and interpretation of collective experience through linguistic means. Particular attention is devoted to syntax as one of the key mechanisms involved in the construction of meaning during verbal communication. Unlike traditional approaches that regard syntax primarily as a set of rules governing the organization of linguistic units, the present study analyzes it as a tool for representing culturally significant information. The article demonstrates that syntactic structures are capable of reflecting the distinctive features of a nation's worldview, the value orientations of a linguistic community, and specific models of conceptualizing reality. Drawing upon contemporary achievements in linguocultural studies, cognitive linguistics, and discourse theory, the study substantiates the view that meaning is constructed not only at the lexical level but also through the syntactic organization of utterances. The research shows that the choice of word order, information structure devices, expressive syntactic constructions, and other syntactic mechanisms is determined not only by communicative objectives but also by cultural factors. The findings contribute to a broader understanding of the interaction between language and culture and reveal new dimensions of syntax's involvement in the processes of meaning-making.

**Keywords:** Linguoculture; cultural coding; syntax; meaning construction; linguistic worldview; linguocultural studies; discourse; cognitive linguistics

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of globalization and increasingly intensive intercultural interaction, the issue of the relationship between language and culture has gained particular significance. Contemporary humanities scholarship views language not merely as a means of communication but also as a fundamental mechanism for storing and transmitting cultural experience. Within the anthropocentric paradigm, language functions as a tool for conceptualizing the surrounding world, reflecting the characteristics of national consciousness, systems of values, and the cultural attitudes of a society. One of the most productive areas of research into the interaction between language and culture is linguocultural studies, which investigates the ways cultural meanings are represented within the linguistic system. At the center of this discipline lies the concept of linguoculture, understood as an integrative space where linguistic

and cultural components interact. Linguoculture constitutes a system of cultural coding through which societies preserve and transmit accumulated knowledge, behavioral norms, value orientations, and models for interpreting reality.

Traditionally, research in linguocultural studies has focused primarily on the lexical level of language, since vocabulary is generally considered the most evident carrier of cultural information. However, in recent decades, increasing attention has been paid to other levels of the linguistic system, including morphology, pragmatics, and syntax. Of particular interest is the syntactic level, which for a long time was regarded mainly as a formal mechanism for organizing utterances. Sapir (1929) states that “language is not merely a tool for communication but a guide to social reality” (p. 214). Halliday (1994) emphasizes that “a language is a system of meanings, not a system of rules” (p. 15). Lakoff and Johnson (1980) suggest that “conceptual systems are fundamentally metaphorical in nature” (p. 3). Meanwhile, syntax performs not only a structural but also a meaning-generating function. The syntactic organization of speech determines the ways in which information is presented, significant components of a message are highlighted, and communicative effects are achieved.

The choice of word order, sentence types, information-structuring strategies, and syntactic patterns may reflect culturally conditioned ways of perceiving the world and categorizing experience. Consequently, there is a need to reconsider the role of syntax within the framework of linguocultural research. Langacker (1987) notes that “grammar is meaningful; it is not an autonomous formal system” (p. 12). The aim of this article is to identify the role of syntax in the processes of cultural coding and meaning construction within linguoculture. To achieve this objective, the study seeks to address the following tasks: to define the essence of linguoculture as a system of cultural coding; to examine contemporary approaches to the study of the relationship between language and culture; to analyze syntax as a means of representing cultural meanings; and to identify the principal mechanisms through which syntactic structures contribute to the formation of meaning and the interpretation of utterances.

The scientific novelty of the study lies in its comprehensive examination of syntax as one of the key instruments of cultural coding and meaning construction. Unlike studies that focus primarily on the lexical dimensions of linguoculture, the present research emphasizes the syntactic level of the linguistic system and its capacity to reflect culturally significant ways of organizing knowledge. The theoretical significance of the research consists in broadening our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the interaction between language and culture, while its practical significance is associated with the potential application of the findings in linguocultural, cognitive-linguistic, and discourse-oriented studies, as well as in the practice of intercultural communication and language teaching.

## **2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE STUDY**

### ***2.1 Linguoculture as a Space of Interaction between Language and Culture***

Contemporary linguistics is characterized by a growing interest in anthropocentric approaches, within which language is viewed not merely as a system of signs but also as a means of conceptualizing, interpreting, and transmitting cultural experience. This perspective has contributed to the emergence of linguocultural studies as an interdisciplinary field situated at the intersection of linguistics, cultural studies, cognitive science, and communication theory. One of the central concepts of this field is linguoculture. In its broadest sense, linguoculture can be understood as the result of the interaction between language and culture, reflecting the ways in which collective experience is encoded and transmitted through linguistic forms. Language not only records cultural meanings but also participates in their construction, facilitating the processes of cultural encoding and decoding.

In contemporary scholarship, linguoculture is regarded as a dynamic system encompassing linguistic units, cultural concepts, value orientations, and communicative practices. Such a system ensures the preservation of a society's cultural memory and the transmission of significant behavioral models from one generation to another. Through language, members of a cultural community acquire nationally specific ways of perceiving reality, as well as norms governing the interpretation of social and cultural phenomena. Wierzbicka (1997) argues that "different cultures encode different ways of thinking in language" (p. 5). A particularly important role within linguoculture is played by the concept of the linguistic worldview. This notion refers to the body of knowledge and representations about the world that are embedded in language and shaped by historical, social, and cultural factors. Each linguistic community develops its own distinctive ways of conceptualizing reality, which become manifested at different levels of the linguistic system. Consequently, the study of linguistic structures makes it possible to uncover the cultural mechanisms involved in the construction of knowledge and meaning.

### ***2.2 Cultural Coding as a Mechanism of Meaning Formation***

The concept of cultural coding occupies an important place in contemporary humanities research. Cultural coding is understood as the process of transforming cultural experience into a system of signs and symbols that can be stored, transmitted, and interpreted. In this process, language functions as one of the primary instruments for the representation of cultural information. Cultural codes are formed on the basis of the collective experience of a given community and reflect its values, norms, and worldviews. They may manifest in various forms, including mythological images, symbols, rituals, communicative practices, and linguistic structures. The effectiveness of cultural communication depends on the ability of interlocutors to interpret these codes in accordance with socially established models of understanding.

From the perspective of cognitive linguistics, cultural coding is closely related to the processes of categorization and conceptualization of reality. Human beings perceive the surrounding world not directly, but through a system of cognitive models shaped by culture. Language provides the verbalization of these models and thereby participates in the construction of socially significant meanings. Van Dijk (1997) states that "discourse is a form of social action" (p. 2). Within this framework, meaning is not regarded as a fixed content of a linguistic sign but as the result of interaction between linguistic structures, cognitive mechanisms, and cultural context. Consequently, the analysis of meaning formation requires the study not only of lexical units but also of the ways in which they are syntactically organized.

### ***2.3 Syntax in the System of Linguocultural Studies***

For a long time, syntax was predominantly regarded as a set of rules governing the construction of phrases and sentences. Structural linguistics focused mainly on the formal aspects of syntactic organization, leaving cultural and cognitive factors outside the scope of analysis. However, the development of functional linguistics, pragmatics, and discourse analysis has led to an expansion of the understanding of the nature of syntax. Contemporary research demonstrates that syntactic structures are capable of performing not only grammatical but also meaning-forming functions. The organization of a sentence influences the distribution of communicative prominence among its elements, the ways information is presented, and the formation of interpretation.

Syntax is closely linked to cognitive processes, as it reflects the ways knowledge is structured in human consciousness. Different languages employ different syntactic models to describe similar situations, which indicates the influence of cultural factors on the organization of linguistic experience. Chomsky (1965) argues that "linguistic theory is concerned primarily with an ideal speaker-hearer in a completely homogeneous speech community" (p. 3). Within the linguocultural approach, syntax can be regarded

as one of the mechanisms of cultural coding. Through syntactic constructions, a linguistic community consolidates particular models of worldview perception, ways of expressing relationships between participants in communication, and features of national modes of thinking.

#### ***2.4 Syntactic Mechanisms of Meaning Construction***

Meaning construction is a complex, multi-layered process involving various linguistic means. Despite the importance of vocabulary, the meaning of an utterance is largely determined by its syntactic organization. One of the most important mechanisms is word order. Even in languages with relatively free word order, changes in the arrangement of sentence components may alter the distribution of semantic emphasis. The positioning of elements allows the speaker to highlight the most significant information and guide the addressee's interpretation. Fauconnier and Turner (2002) state that "meaning construction is a dynamic process occurring in real time" (p. 18).

Another crucial role is played by information structure (the actual division of the sentence). The distinction between theme and rheme reflects ways of organizing knowledge and conveying new information in communication. Different cultures may exhibit different preferences regarding the distribution of informational load within an utterance. Expressive syntactic constructions also serve as important tools of meaning formation. Inversion, parcellation, ellipsis, repetition, and similar devices not only enhance expressive force but also convey culturally conditioned features of emotional and evaluative attitudes toward what is being communicated. Special attention should be given to discourse syntax. The meaning of an individual sentence is often shaped by a broader communicative context. Textual cohesion, patterns of argumentation, and the nature of syntactic relations between parts of an utterance reflect cultural norms of speech behavior and characteristics of national communicative traditions. Fillmore (1982) notes that "a word's meaning can only be understood in relation to a frame of knowledge" (p. 111). Thus, syntax should be regarded not as a neutral formal mechanism, but as an active means of meaning construction and representation of cultural experience.

#### ***2.5 Theoretical Model of the Study***

In the present study, linguoculture is regarded as a system of cultural coding operating through the interaction of linguistic, cognitive, and cultural mechanisms. Syntax occupies a central position within this model, ensuring the organization of linguistic material and participating in the formation of semantic structures. Talmy (2000) emphasizes that "language encodes conceptual structure in systematic ways" (p. 4). The proposed theoretical model is based on the following assumptions: language is the primary mechanism of cultural coding; linguoculture constitutes a space of interaction between language and culture; meaning is formed through the interaction of linguistic structures and cultural context; syntax participates in processes of conceptualization and categorization of reality; syntactic constructions are capable of reflecting culturally significant models of thought and communication; and the analysis of syntactic structures makes it possible to reveal features of a national linguistic worldview. These propositions form the theoretical foundation for further analysis of the role of syntax in meaning construction and in the cultural coding of information.

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

This study is based on a qualitative interdisciplinary approach combining methods of linguocultural, cognitive-linguistic, and discourse analysis. The choice of methodological framework is determined by the need for a comprehensive examination of syntax not only as a structural component of language, but also as a mechanism of cultural coding and meaning formation. The research material consists of theoretical works in the fields of linguocultural studies, cognitive linguistics, functional syntax, and discourse theory, published primarily within the last ten years. The analysis of scholarly literature

enabled the identification of key approaches to understanding the interrelation between language, culture, and the syntactic organization of speech.

The study employed a combination of methodological approaches, including theoretical analysis and synthesis of scholarly literature, linguocultural analysis of linguistic phenomena, cognitive-discursive analysis of meaning-forming mechanisms, a comparative-descriptive method, and interpretative analysis of linguistic data within a cultural context. The methodological framework is grounded in the assumption that meaning is formed through the interaction of linguistic structures, cognitive mechanisms, and the cultural experience of language users. In this regard, syntactic constructions are considered as tools for organizing knowledge and representing culturally significant information.

## **4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

### ***4.1 Syntax as a Means of Cultural Coding***

The conducted analysis demonstrates that syntactic structures perform not only a grammatical function but also a culturally representational one. The organization of utterances reflects ways of conceptualizing reality that are characteristic of a particular linguistic community. Cultural coding is manifested in the selection of syntactic models through which speakers structure information and express their attitude toward described events. Syntax functions as a mechanism for distributing semantic relevance among elements of an utterance, thereby shaping the interpretation of communicative messages. Tomasello (2003) argues that “language is a cultural tool learned through social interaction” (p. 5). Thus, the syntactic organization of speech may be regarded as one of the instruments for preserving and transmitting cultural patterns of thought.

### ***4.2 Word Order and Cultural Models of Interpretation***

One of the most significant syntactic mechanisms is word order. Despite the universal communicative function of language, different linguistic communities demonstrate specific preferences in sentence organization. Hymes (1974) notes that “there are rules of use without which the rules of grammar would be useless” (p. 75). Word order influences the distribution of information focus, determining which elements are perceived as most salient. As a result, syntactic structure becomes a tool for directing the addressee’s attention. From a linguocultural perspective, the choice of syntactic model reflects not only grammatical norms but also culturally shaped patterns of knowledge organization. Differences in the syntactic encoding of similar situations point to different ways of conceptualizing reality.

### ***4.3 Expressive Syntax and Cultural Representation of Emotions***

Expressive syntactic devices play a special role in meaning construction. Inversion, parcellation, ellipsis, and syntactic repetition enhance the expressive force of speech and convey emotional and evaluative meanings. Such constructions reflect culturally conditioned norms governing the expression of emotions and evaluations. Depending on the cultural context, certain syntactic patterns may be perceived as neutral, while others acquire strong pragmatic and expressive load. The analysis shows that expressive syntax functions not only as a means of emotional impact but also as a mechanism for transmitting cultural values and social attitudes.

### ***4.4 Syntax in Processes of Conceptualization and Categorization***

A cognitive approach makes it possible to view syntax as an instrument for organizing knowledge. Through syntactic structures, individuals establish relationships among objects, actions, and properties of reality. Syntactic constructions contribute to the formation of cognitive schemas that facilitate information interpretation. They participate in categorization processes by defining how objects and

phenomena are grouped into conceptual classes. Consequently, syntax constitutes an essential component of the cognitive mechanism through which cultural experience is linguistically expressed.

## 5. DISCUSSION

The obtained results support the view that syntax is not merely a structural level of language but a significant component of linguoculture. Its functions extend beyond formal sentence organization and include active participation in processes of cultural coding and meaning construction. In contrast to traditional studies that primarily focus on the lexical representation of culture, the present research demonstrates the importance of syntactic means in conveying culturally determined patterns of thought. The analysis indicates that the choice of syntactic structure influences the interpretation of information and reflects features of the national linguistic worldview.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The conducted study has made it possible to consider linguoculture as a system of cultural coding functioning through the interaction of linguistic, cognitive, and cultural mechanisms. It has been established that syntax plays an important role in processes of meaning construction. Its functions are not limited to the organization of linguistic material but also include participation in the representation of cultural models of knowledge, value orientations, and ways of interpreting reality. The analysis has shown that word order, information structure (the actual division of the sentence), expressive syntactic constructions, and the discourse organization of text serve as means of cultural coding and exert a significant influence on meaning formation. The obtained results confirm the necessity of further investigation of the syntactic level of language within linguocultural research. A promising direction is the comparative analysis of syntactic mechanisms of cultural coding across different languages and discursive practices.

## DECLARATIONS

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The author declares that there is no conflict of interest in the conduct and reporting of this study.

**Funding Statement:** The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Author's Contributions:** Vafa Abdullayeva-Nabiyeva: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing – original draft, and writing – review and editing.

## REFERENCES

- Baicchi, A., & Broccias, C. (Eds.). (2024). *Constructional and cognitive explorations of contrastive linguistics*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-46602-1>
- Boleda, G. (2019). Distributional semantics and linguistic theory. *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 6, 213–234.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. MIT Press.
- Croft, W. (2001). *Radical construction grammar*. Oxford University Press.
- Evans, V. (2019). *Cognitive linguistics: A complete guide*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Fauconnier, G., & Turner, M. (2002). *The way we think: Conceptual blending and the mind's hidden complexities*. Basic Books.

- Fillmore, C. J. (1982). Frame semantics. In *Linguistics in the morning calm* (pp. 111–137). Hanshin Publishing.
- Goddard, C. (2021). *Minimal English for a global world: Improved communication using fewer words*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). *An introduction to functional grammar* (2nd ed.). Edward Arnold.
- Hart, C. (2021). *Critical discourse analysis and cognitive science: New perspectives on discourse studies*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hymes, D. (1974). *Foundations in sociolinguistics: An ethnographic approach*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Kövecses, Z. (2020). *Extended conceptual metaphor theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.
- Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of cognitive grammar: Theoretical prerequisites*. Stanford University Press.
- Larina, T. V. (2026). Language, culture, cognition and communication: The paradigms of modern linguistic research. *Russian Journal of Linguistics*, 30(1), 7–32.
- Littlemore, J. (2023). *Applying cognitive linguistics in social contexts*. Routledge.
- Risager, K. (2020). Linguaculture and transnationality: The cultural dimensions of language. In J. Jackson (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and intercultural communication* (2nd ed., pp. 79–92). Routledge.
- Sapir, E. (1929). The status of linguistics as a science. In D. G. Mandelbaum (Ed.), *Selected writings of Edward Sapir* (pp. 207–219). University of California Press.
- Schmid, H.-J. (2020). *The dynamics of the linguistic system: Usage, conventionalization, and entrenchment*. Oxford University Press.
- Sharifian, F. (2017). *Cultural linguistics*. John Benjamins.
- Sharifian, F. (Ed.). (2023). *The Routledge handbook of cultural linguistics* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Stockwell, P. (2020). *Cognitive poetics: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Talmy, L. (2000). *Toward a cognitive semantics*. MIT Press.
- Talmy, L. (2018). *The targeting system of language*. MIT Press.
- Taylor, J. R. (2021). *Cognitive grammar*. Oxford University Press.
- Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a language: A usage-based theory of language acquisition*. Harvard University Press.
- Ungerer, F., & Schmid, H.-J. (2019). *An introduction to cognitive linguistics* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (1997). *Discourse as social interaction*. Sage Publications.
- Vorkachev, S. G. (2016). Ex pluribus unum: Linguocultural concept as an integral entity. *Russian Journal of Linguistics*, 20(2), 17–30.
- Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, thought, and reality*. MIT Press.
- Wierzbicka, A. (1997). *Understanding cultures through their key words*. Oxford University Press.
- Wierzbicka, A. (2021). *Language, culture and cognition: Cross-cultural perspectives*. Oxford University Press.
- Yu, N. (2022). *Embodiment, cognition and culture*. De Gruyter.
- Zlatev, J. (2023). Meaning-making, embodiment and cultural cognition. *Review of Cognitive Linguistics*, 21(2), 245–269.

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

**Vafa Abdullayeva-Nabiyeva** is a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Philology and Associate Professor, and Head of the Department of International Relations at the Institute of Linguistics named after Nasimi, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences (ANAS), Baku, Azerbaijan. Her research interests include linguocultural studies, cognitive linguistics, syntax, and discourse analysis.

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8740-4830>

Email: [vefa.abdulla@gmail.com](mailto:vefa.abdulla@gmail.com)

Received: 5 May 2026

Accepted: 22 June 2026

Published: 25 June 2026