

From Fiscal Extraction to Entrepreneurial Acceleration: A Next-Generation Theoretical Architecture for Tax Policy Formation in the Business Sphere

 Gulnara Guliyeva,  Mushfig Huseynov,  Anar Huseynov,  Brilyant Abbasova,  Nasimi Abbaszadeh

¹ GULIYEVA, G., Senior Lecturer, Department of Agribusiness, Management and Marketing, Azerbaijan State Agrarian University. Email: gulnara.quliyeva@adau.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1284-9999>

² HUSEYNOV, M., Senior Lecturer, Department of Agribusiness, Management and Marketing, Azerbaijan State Agrarian University. Email: canemi@mail.ru. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-9315-2854>

³ HUSEYNOV, A., Senior Lecturer, Department of Agribusiness, Management and Marketing, Azerbaijan State Agrarian University. Email: anar.huseynov@adau.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-3678-2083>

⁴ ABBASOVA, B., Senior Lecturer, Department of Agribusiness, Management and Marketing, Azerbaijan State Agrarian University. Email: brilyantabbas@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5459-4198>

⁵ ABBASZADEH, N., Senior Lecturer, Department of Agricultural Economics, Azerbaijan State Agrarian University. Email: nasimiabbaszadeh@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7832-9879>

<https://doi.org/10.69760/lumin.2026001005>

Abstract; In modern market economies, tax policy is simultaneously a fiscal backbone of the state and a decisive institutional signal shaping business behavior, investment horizons, and the quality of the entrepreneurial climate. Yet, in many transitioning systems, tax reforms frequently outpace the development of coherent theoretical frameworks, producing instability, compliance costs, and incentives for informality. This article systematizes the theoretical foundations of tax policy formation in the business sphere by integrating classical, neoclassical, Keynesian, and institutional perspectives and linking them to practical mechanisms of tax administration, special tax regimes, and incentive design. Using scientific abstraction, structural-functional analysis, theoretical generalization, and comparative analysis, the study clarifies how fiscal, regulatory, and stimulating models interact in real policy mixtures and how stability, transparency, and administrative simplicity condition business responses. The results propose an integrated conceptual architecture for “balanced tax policy” that aligns budget sustainability with entrepreneurial development through predictable rules, targeted incentives, and efficient administration. The discussion emphasizes institutional quality and risk-management logic as key determinants of compliance, competitiveness, and long-term growth.

Keywords: *tax policy; business environment; tax administration; special tax regimes*

1. INTRODUCTION

The performance of business entities is one of the strongest determinants of socio-economic progress in a market economy: it influences employment, productivity, investment, and budget revenues. Tax policy, therefore, cannot be treated as a purely technical instrument for collecting payments; it is a strategic mechanism of state influence on entrepreneurship, competitiveness, and capital allocation. When designed coherently, taxation encourages business activity, supports formalization, and creates predictable conditions for long-term planning. When designed inconsistently—through frequent revisions, unclear exemptions, and administratively complex procedures—it increases uncertainty and compliance costs, weakens investment incentives, and expands the informal economy.

A persistent concern in many developing and post-transition contexts is the mismatch between the speed of legislative reforms and the depth of scientific justification behind them. Theoretical research in

taxation often lags behind practice and thus becomes less influential in shaping policy directions. Historical features of tax system formation also matter. Early stages of market transformation frequently replaced direct tax relations with irregular profit redistribution, while indirect taxes served as components of price planning. Such path dependencies complicate the development of stable, business-friendly tax regimes.

For these reasons, the theoretical aspects of tax policy formation in the business sphere remain urgent both scientifically and practically. Taxes simultaneously perform a fiscal function (as a key source of state budget income) and a regulatory/stimulating function (affecting entrepreneurial decisions). The tax burden, rate structure, concessions, exemptions, and administration shape firm-level financial outcomes and strategic choices (Mirzazada, 2025). Accordingly, tax policy must balance state fiscal interests with the development needs of business (Amrahov et al., 2023).

Aim of the study: to systematize theoretical approaches to tax policy formation in the business sphere and to propose an integrated conceptual architecture for balanced tax policy that supports both budget sustainability and entrepreneurial development.

Objectives:

1. define the essence, functions, and principles of tax policy relevant to business;
2. compare classical, neoclassical, Keynesian, and institutional approaches;
3. analyze fiscal, regulatory, and stimulating policy models and their practical mixtures;
4. clarify the role of tax administration and special tax regimes in business formalization;
5. formulate an integrated theoretical architecture for balanced tax policy formation.

Scientific novelty: the article offers a structured “next-generation” conceptual architecture that integrates tax policy design (rates, bases, incentives) with institutional quality (stability, transparency, administrative simplicity) and enterprise-level tax planning stages.

2. CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF TAX POLICY

2.1. Economic nature, functions, and principles

Tax policy can be understood as a purposeful set of state decisions and instruments governing taxation in order to finance public needs and influence economic behavior. In the business sphere, its essence includes:

- determination of tax bases and rates affecting profitability;
- definition of incentives and exemptions shaping investment decisions;
- establishment of procedures, reporting rules, and enforcement mechanisms that affect compliance costs.

Two core roles are central. First, taxes provide public revenues to sustain government functions. Second, taxation influences business behavior by altering relative prices, expected returns, and risk. In this duality lies the fundamental policy dilemma: maximizing revenue without suppressing entrepreneurial dynamism.

The principles of effective tax policy include:

- **fairness** (equitable distribution of tax burdens);
- **efficiency** (minimizing distortions and deadweight losses);
- **neutrality** (avoiding unnecessary favoritism unless justified);
- **simplicity** (reducing compliance costs);
- **stability and predictability** (supporting planning and investment);
- **transparency** (strengthening trust and voluntary compliance).

Instability is particularly harmful: frequent changes in taxation rules violate the stability principle and increase risks for business entities.

2.2. Classical, neoclassical, Keynesian, and institutional views

Classical theory generally supports limited interference and cautions that heavy taxation can weaken incentives to invest and expand. Within this logic, the business sphere benefits from moderate taxation and predictable rules.

Neoclassical theory emphasizes efficiency and allocation. Tax policy is evaluated by how it influences resource distribution, market equilibria, and productivity. From this standpoint, the “quality” of tax instruments matters: broad bases and moderate rates often reduce distortions more effectively than narrow bases and high rates.

Keynesian approaches treat tax policy as an active macroeconomic tool to stabilize economic cycles. Taxation and incentives can be adjusted counter-cyclically to support demand, protect employment, and sustain investment during downturns. For business, this implies that tax policy must be coordinated with broader fiscal and developmental priorities.

Institutional theory places special focus on governance quality, legal certainty, administration, and enforcement. Here, the level of the rate is not the only determinant of business outcomes: stability, clarity, and administrative procedures can be even more influential. Risk-management logic becomes central—firms react not only to tax payments but to uncertainty and administrative friction. Research on risk management systems and enterprise governance supports the argument that institutional quality shapes economic performance (Abbasova et al., 2025; Amrakhov, 2022).

2.3. Optimal taxation and balance logic

Theoretical models of optimal taxation revolve around trade-offs: revenue sufficiency versus economic incentives; redistribution versus efficiency; simplicity versus targeted support. Business-oriented tax policy formation, therefore, must be framed as a balancing process rather than a one-dimensional pursuit of budget maximization.

A practical interpretation of optimality in the business sphere includes:

- maintaining a tax burden compatible with investment and working-capital needs;
- ensuring that incentives are targeted and time-consistent;

- preventing excessive complexity that pushes firms into informality.

3. TAX POLICY MODELS AND STATE INFLUENCE ON BUSINESS

3.1. Fiscal, regulatory, and stimulating models

In the literature, tax policy is commonly divided into three types: **fiscal**, **regulatory**, and **stimulating** (Amrahov et al., 2023).

1. **Fiscal policy** prioritizes maximum budget filling through taxes and payments. In the business sphere, this approach can be risky because excessive burdens reduce working capital and limit growth potential.
2. **Regulatory policy** seeks a balance of interests across society and redistributes tax burdens according to policy goals. It often uses differentiated rates and sectoral adjustments.
3. **Stimulating policy** reduces burdens or offers benefits to encourage development, particularly for weak or strategic sectors. It is used to boost entrepreneurship, innovation, and investment.

In practice, purely fiscal models are rarely sustainable for the business sector because the state is typically interested in developing entrepreneurship as a growth engine. Thus, mixed policy models emerge, combining fiscal needs with targeted incentives.

3.2. Tax burden, competitiveness, and investment behavior

Tax burden affects businesses through multiple channels:

- profitability and retained earnings;
- investment capacity and creditworthiness;
- competitiveness and pricing strategies;
- incentives for innovation and modernization.

Studies addressing economic effectiveness, forecasting, and financial viability underline that strategic policy frameworks must support long-term capacity building (Amrahov et al., 2024; Amrahov et al., 2025). Sectoral research (e.g., agriculture and dryland production) also illustrates that environmental and structural conditions influence economic resilience—tax policy must be flexible enough to account for such realities while remaining stable in its rules (Amrahov, 2014; Amrahov, 2023; Amrahov & colleagues, 2022).

3.3. Institutional stability, informality, and compliance

When businesses face unstable and complex tax legislation, compliance becomes costly and unpredictable. This increases the attractiveness of “shadow” strategies. Institutional theory therefore argues for simplicity, predictability, and transparency as preconditions for a healthy business environment. Risk assessment approaches in entrepreneurship reinforce this conclusion: the perceived instability of rules amplifies economic risk and discourages formal investment (Amrahov, 2022).

4. TAX ADMINISTRATION AND SPECIAL TAX REGIMES AS POLICY INFRASTRUCTURE

4.1. Tax administration as a determinant of business costs

Tax administration includes registration, reporting, processing, control over payments, inspections, and analysis. In practice, it shapes the “administrative tax burden” that can be as important as the nominal rate burden. Efficient administration should minimize obstacles for business while ensuring collection and fairness.

Modernization of administrative infrastructure increasingly relates to digital tools and secure systems. Although some references in the literature address blockchain applications in logistics and Industry 4.0 (Bamakan et al., 2021; Javaid et al., 2021; Saxena et al., 2021), their relevance to taxation lies in the broader theme of trustworthy, transparent, and automated systems. In principle, secure digital infrastructures can reduce compliance friction, improve reporting accuracy, and lower opportunities for manipulation—thus strengthening tax administration quality.

4.2. Special tax regimes: rationale and effects

Special tax regimes are applied as alternative procedures for calculating and paying taxes for defined categories of taxpayers and periods. They often replace parts of the general regime and aim to:

- support business entities, especially SMEs;
- simplify accounting and reporting;
- reduce the tax burden;
- increase formalization and, ultimately, revenue stability.

Research indicates that applying a general tax regime to small businesses may increase incentives to hide income or expand informality, while preferential regimes can help formalization and compliance (Amrahov, 2014). At the same time, special regimes must be designed carefully: excessive or poorly targeted benefits can weaken fiscal discipline, distort competition, and generate inefficiencies.

4.3. Incentives, equity, and long-term sustainability

The theoretical justification for incentives depends on whether they correct market failures, encourage investment, stimulate innovation, or protect vulnerable sectors. Yet incentives must be balanced against:

- revenue risks,
- equity concerns,
- administrative complexity,
- and potential misuse.

A sustainable model is one where benefits are:

- targeted to clear objectives,
- transparent and time-bound,
- simple to administer,
- and evaluated by measurable outcomes.

5. METHODOLOGY

The study applies a complex scientific-theoretical and methodological approach grounded in economic theory, tax theory, state economic policy, and business regulation.

Key methods include:

- **scientific abstraction** to define the essence, functions, principles, and goals of tax policy;
- **theoretical generalization** to systematize diverse theoretical positions on taxation (Abbasova et al., 2025);
- **systemic and structural-functional analysis** to examine tax policy as a mechanism of state–business interaction, connecting fiscal, regulatory, stimulating, and social functions with concrete elements (types of taxes, rates, benefits, administration) (Pamuji & Limei, 2023);
- **analysis and synthesis** to study components of tax policy and integrate them into a coherent model;
- **induction and deduction** to formulate general principles from specific theoretical observations;
- **comparative analysis** to compare classical, neoclassical, Keynesian, and institutional approaches and evaluate their strengths and limitations.

6. RESULTS: AN INTEGRATED ARCHITECTURE FOR BALANCED TAX POLICY FORMATION

6.1. Core result: a “balanced tax policy” architecture

The central result is the formulation of a conceptual architecture for tax policy formation in the business sphere based on three coordinated pillars:

Pillar 1 — Policy design (rates, bases, incentives):

- moderate and predictable tax burden;
- broad bases where possible to reduce distortions;
- targeted incentives for investment, innovation, and SMEs;
- equity principles to avoid unfair burden concentration.

Pillar 2 — Institutional quality (stability, transparency, trust):

- stable legal framework and clear interpretations;
- transparent rules and accessible guidance;
- predictable policy horizons enabling planning;
- governance logic supporting compliance culture (Abbasova et al., 2025; Mirzazada, 2025).

Pillar 3 — Administrative efficiency (simplicity, digitalization, proportional control):

- simplified reporting and accounting burdens;
- proportionate inspections and risk-based control;

- secure infrastructure supporting accuracy and minimizing manipulation (Bamakan et al., 2021; Javaid et al., 2021; Saxena et al., 2021).

This architecture treats tax policy not as isolated rates, but as a system linking incentives, institutions, and administration.

6.2. Enterprise-level tax policy: stages and planning logic

Tax policy formation at the enterprise level is strongly shaped by the state framework but also depends on internal planning. Tax planning is a component of financial planning aimed at optimizing payments through legal methods such as adjusting activity forms, selecting accounting policies, and using available benefits (Amrahov, 2023).

Consistent with the four-stage approach (Amrahov et al., 2024), enterprise tax policy formation can be structured as:

1. **Organizational preparation:** assigning responsibilities and establishing internal procedures.
2. **Research stage:** analyzing tax legislation, collecting data, and selecting indicators.
3. **Planning and development:** constructing tax calendars, modeling tax bases, and evaluating alternative strategies (Saxena et al., 2021).
4. **Main stage (implementation and control):** applying rules, monitoring deviations, and adjusting policy based on outcomes.

6.3. Expected macro-outcomes of balanced policy

From a theoretical standpoint, a balanced tax policy architecture should:

- reduce incentives for informality by lowering uncertainty and administrative friction;
- support investment and competitiveness through predictable burdens and targeted incentives;
- stabilize budget revenues by broadening formal participation and compliance quality;
- strengthen state–business trust and long-term growth potential.

7. DISCUSSION

7.1. The central dilemma: revenue maximization vs business development

The discussion confirms a foundational dilemma: policies that prioritize short-term budget maximization through high burdens can undermine long-term business development and reduce the taxable base. Conversely, excessive incentives can erode revenues and create distortions. Therefore, the theoretical priority is not maximizing rates, but optimizing the system for sustainable growth.

This logic aligns with broader economic perspectives on financial potential and strategic development—economic policy should strengthen long-term capacity rather than rely on short-term extraction (Amrahov, 2025; Bulatova, 2010).

7.2. Institutional quality as a stronger determinant than nominal rates

Institutional theory suggests that stability, clarity, and fairness can matter more than nominal rates. A relatively moderate tax system can still fail if it is unpredictable or administratively burdensome. Conversely, a stable framework with transparent procedures can improve compliance even without dramatic reductions in rates. Research on management systems and planning stages supports the idea that structured frameworks improve performance and reduce risk (Mirzazada, 2025; Mirzazada, 2025).

7.3. Special regimes: support mechanism or distortion?

Special tax regimes are theoretically justified as tools for SME support and formalization. However, their design must avoid:

- unfair advantages that distort competition,
- complexity that increases misuse,
- permanent “temporary” benefits that reduce fiscal discipline.

The most sustainable approach is to treat special regimes as targeted instruments with clear eligibility, transparent procedures, and periodic evaluation.

7.4. Administration and technology: toward smarter compliance

While some literature focuses on blockchain and secure digital systems in industrial contexts (Bamakan et al., 2021; Javaid et al., 2021), their conceptual relevance for taxation lies in the shared goals of transparency, traceability, and efficiency. In theory, modern administration can reduce compliance burdens, support accurate reporting, and improve trust—provided that reforms remain simple and predictable for business entities.

8. CONCLUSION

Theoretical analysis confirms that tax policy formation in the business sphere is a multidimensional task. Taxes are not only sources of budget revenue but also strategic instruments shaping the business climate, investment incentives, competitiveness, and compliance behavior. The article systematized classical, neoclassical, Keynesian, and institutional approaches and demonstrated that effective policy is typically a mixed model balancing fiscal, regulatory, and stimulating goals.

The key contribution is an integrated “next-generation” architecture for balanced tax policy based on three coordinated pillars: policy design (rates, bases, incentives), institutional quality (stability, transparency), and administrative efficiency (simplicity and proportionate control). This conceptual framework supports the view that stable rules and efficient administration can be as important as tax rate levels in shaping entrepreneurial responses.

Ultimately, theoretically grounded tax policy should pursue not only fiscal objectives but also long-term developmental goals: encouraging formalization, supporting investment, reducing risk, and strengthening trust between state and business. Future research may extend this framework by developing measurable indicators for institutional quality and evaluating the real effectiveness of incentives and special regimes through sectoral data.

REFERENCES

- Abbasova, B., Hasanova, M., Guliyeva, G., Huseynov A., Mirzazadeh, N., (2025). Assessment of Risk Management Systems in Enterprises. *Porta Universorum*. Vol. 1 No. 10, P. 70-78
- Amrahov, V.T. (2015). The institutional aspects of the formation of agricultural market. *Theoretical & Applied Science*. v.10. n.30. 72-74
- Amrahov, V. (2025). Assessment of the Possibilities for Improving the Financial Potential of the Azerbaijani Economy. *Journal of Economics*. Volume: 2, issue:4. P. 4-15
- Amrahov, V.T. (2014). Improvement of the economic mechanism of the structural policy of the state in the agricultural market. V.11. n. 10 SPEC. ISSUE. 471-476
- Amrahov, V.T. (2022). Risk Assessment In Agearian Entrepreneurship. *MITTEILUNGEN KLOSTERNEUBURG*. 6 (72). 99-107
- Amrahov V.T., (2023). THE INFLUENCE OF CLIMATIC FACTORS ON AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION IN DRYLAND AREAS OF AZERBAIJAN. *Tec Empresarial*. Vol 18. No 2. 2206-2218
- Amrahov V., Rahimli F., Mirzazadeh N., Ibrahimli G., Valizadeh H. (2023). Satisfying the consumer demand for agricultural products: Possibilities and its prediction. *Scientific Horizons*, 26. 7. P. 160-170
- Amrahov V.T., Mirzazadeh N.G., Guliyeva K.N., Gazanfarova J.V. (2024). Economic Effectiveness and Forecasting of Scientific Activity in Azerbaijan. *International Journal of Religion* 5 (7), 422–430
- Amrahov V.T., Mirzazadeh N.G., Taghiyev M.Z., Muradov R.J., Hamidov A.V., Karimova M.H. (2023). Modeling and forecasting of production an agricultural. *Journal of law and sustainable development* v.11, n.7.
- Amrahov, V., Narimanov, N., Hajiyeva, S., Mirzazadeh, N., Ismayilova, T. Osmanova, G. (2025). Development of Effective Financial Strategies for Long-Term Economic Viability. *International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies*. V.12. n. SI-2. 1-9
- Amrahov V.T., Karimov F.J., Karimova M.H. (2022). DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES OF MATERIAL AND TECHNICAL RESOURCE POTENTIAL IN THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR. *European Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*. 1, 62-68
- Amrahov, V., Mahmudov, E., Aliyev, S., Hajiyeva, S. (2022). Econometric analysis and assessment of factors affecting the efficiency of agricultural production. *Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development* Vol. 22, Issue 3. 23-34
- Amrahov, V.T., Hajiyeva, S.I., Mirzazadeh, N.G., Taghiyeva, N.I., Karimova, M.H., Karimov, F.J. (2023). Efficiency Of Using Human Resources In The Agricultural Field: Main Criterias And Priorities. *Tec Empresarial*. Vol 18. No 2. 2023-2039
- Ariabod, A., Moghaddasi, R., Zeraatkish, Y., & Mohammadi Nejad, A. (2019). Governance and agricultural growth: Evidence from selected developing countries. *Economic Journal of Emerging Markets*, 11(1), 73–80.

- Ashenfelter, O., Levine, P.B., Zimmerman, D.J. (2006). *Statistics and Econometrics: Methods and Applications*. Wiley, 320 p
- Bulatova, Yu.I. (2010). Financial potential of the region: content and structure. *News of the St. Petersburg State University of Economics*. 5, 94-97
- Bamakan, S.M.H., Moghaddam, S.G., Manshadi, S.D. (2021). Blockchain-enabled pharmaceutical cold chain: Applications, key challenges, and future trends. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 302, 127021.
- Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R.P., Khan, S., Suman, R. (2021). Blockchain technology applications for Industry 4.0: A literature-based review. *Blockchain: Research and Applications*, 2(4), 100027
- Pamuji, S., & Limei, S. (2023). The Managerial Competence Of The Madrasa Head In Improving Teacher Professionalism And Performance At Mi AlMaarif Bojongsari, Cilacap District. *Pengabdian: Jurnal Abdimas*, 1(2), 66-74.
- Mustafiyanti, M., Putri, M. P., Muyassaroh, M., Noviani, D., Dylan, M. (2023). A Form of Independent Curriculum, an Overview of Independent Learning at State Elementary School 05 Gelumbang Muaraenim. *Pengabdian: Jurnal Abdimas*, 1(2), 82- 96.
- Mirzazada N. (2025). The State of Organization of the Management System and its Characteristics. *Global Spectrum of Research and Humanities*. 2 (4). 107-113
- Mirzazadeh N., Zeynalli M. (2024). Improvement of information provision of small business in the agricultural sphere. *Journal of Economics*. Volume:1, issue:1. P. 23-27
- Mirzazada, N., Camalov, T. (2025). Mechanizm for Regulating Business Relations. *Porta Universorum*. Vol. 1 No. 8, P. 26-36
- Mirzazada, N., (2025). Stages of Planning and Forecasting in the Management System. *Porta Universorum*. Vol. 1 No. 10, P. 110-123
- Mirzazada N. (2026). Indicators of Quality Assessment of Services in the Business Sector. *Luminis Applied Science and Engineering*. Vol 3, No 1, 14-20
- Saxena, S., Bhushan, B., Ahad, M.A. (2021). Blockchain based solutions to secure IoT: Background, integration trends and a way forward. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 181, 103050
- Wang, Y., Jones, B.F., Wang, D. (2019). Earlycareer setback and future career impact. *Nature communications*, 10(1), 4331.

Received: 01.20.2025

Revised: 01.25.2025

Accepted: 02.10.2026

Published: 02.13.2026