Luminis Applied Science and Engineering
ISSN: 3030-1831
Vol 1, No 2
2024

Knowledge Representation in Expert Systems: Structure, Classification, and Applications

Ali Sabzaliyev **Annagi Asgarov**
Nakhchivan State University, ali_zmh@mail.ru, askerov197@gmail.ruu
https://doi.org/10.69760/lumin.202400005

Abstract: This study explores the foundational principles, classification, and applications of expert systems, focusing on knowledge representation, inference mechanisms, and the challenges of dynamic adaptability. It highlights future trends, including integration with machine learning, user-friendly interfaces, and real-time scalability, emphasizing the transformative potential of expert systems in solving complex real-world problems.

***Keywords**: Expert systems, knowledge representation, inference mechanism, dynamic adaptability, machine learning integration*

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition of Expert Systems and Their Role in Artificial Intelligence

Expert systems, a pivotal branch of artificial intelligence (AI), are computational systems designed to emulate the decision-making abilities of human experts within a specific domain. These systems integrate specialized knowledge and reasoning mechanisms to solve problems, often surpassing the speed and precision of human counterparts (Puppe, 2012). Unlike general-purpose AI, expert systems focus on highly specific tasks, such as diagnostics, planning, and prediction, by leveraging structured knowledge bases and logical inference. Their capacity to codify and utilize expert knowledge enables organizations to automate complex decision-making processes, reduce reliance on human expertise, and maintain consistency in problem-solving (Reichgelt & Van Harmelen, 1984).

Importance of Representing Expert Knowledge in Computer Systems

Knowledge representation is the cornerstone of expert systems, bridging the gap between human cognition and machine logic. This process entails structuring domain-specific information into a formal, computationally accessible format, enabling systems to reason and provide expert-level solutions (Michalski & Baskin, 1983). Effective representation not only ensures the accuracy of inferences but also accommodates the dynamic nature of real-world problems. Recent advancements, such as hybrid knowledge representations combining symbolic and statistical approaches, have enhanced the adaptability and scalability of expert systems (Sahin et al., 2012). Furthermore, knowledge representation methodologies must address uncertainty and incomplete information, which are inherent to many practical domains (Zhu et al., 2011).

Overview of the Current State and Applications of Expert Systems

Since their inception, expert systems have been deployed across diverse fields, achieving notable success in both academic and industrial applications. In agriculture, expert systems optimize resource allocation and crop management by analyzing environmental data (Rafea, 1998). In engineering, these systems aid in the preliminary design of water-retaining structures, ensuring safety and cost-efficiency (Chau & Albermani, 2002). Moreover, expert systems are instrumental in process control, where they model complex systems and predict operational outcomes in real-time (Böhme & Wieland, 1990). The integration of advanced computational techniques, such as genetic algorithms and information retrieval methods, has further extended their applicability to areas like chemical reactor design and laboratory research (Hanratty et al., 1992; Chiu et al., 2009).

Despite their advancements, expert systems continue to evolve, focusing on enhancing user interaction, handling complex data structures, and integrating with emerging technologies like machine learning. Modern hybrid systems are redefining traditional paradigms by incorporating optimization algorithms and neural networks to improve decision accuracy and processing efficiency (Sahin et al., 2012).

Objectives of the Study

This study aims to explore the foundational principles and methodologies underpinning expert systems, with a particular emphasis on knowledge representation. By analyzing the structure, classification, and applications of these systems, the research seeks to:

1. Highlight the role of knowledge representation in enabling accurate and efficient decision-making.
2. Examine the challenges associated with static and dynamic expert systems in various domains.
3. Propose insights for advancing the integration of knowledge representation techniques with emerging AI technologies.

This comprehensive analysis aspires to contribute to the development of robust, adaptive expert systems capable of addressing increasingly complex and uncertain problem spaces.

2. CORE COMPONENTS OF EXPERT SYSTEMS

Knowledge Base

Definition and Significance

The knowledge base is the foundational element of any expert system, serving as the repository for domain-specific information, facts, and rules. It encapsulates the expertise needed to solve problems within a targeted area, ensuring that the system can emulate human decision-making processes. A robust knowledge base enables consistency, accuracy, and scalability in solving complex problems, making it indispensable for applications ranging from diagnostics to predictive modeling (Puppe, 2012).

Methods of Knowledge Acquisition and Structuring

1. *Knowledge Acquisition:*
    - **Manual Input by Experts**: Domain experts provide structured knowledge based on their experience and understanding of the field.
    - **Automated Techniques**: Tools such as natural language processing (NLP) and data mining are used to extract and formalize knowledge from unstructured data sources like texts or databases (Gomez & Segami, 1990; Chiu et al., 2009).
    - **Collaborative Approaches**: Expert systems increasingly integrate feedback from multiple experts to refine and validate the knowledge base.
2. **Knowledge Structuring**:

- **Declarative Knowledge**: Facts and relationships, often represented as "IF-THEN" rules, ensure straightforward and interpretable logic (Reichgelt & Van Harmelen, 1984).
- **Procedural Knowledge**: Encodes sequences of actions or algorithms to address specific problem-solving tasks, enhancing the system's capability to handle dynamic scenarios.
- **Hybrid Models**: Combine symbolic and statistical methods to capture both explicit and implicit knowledge, allowing for greater flexibility and accuracy in reasoning processes (Sahin et al., 2012).

Inference Mechanism

Logical Reasoning Processes

The inference mechanism is the engine of an expert system, enabling it to draw conclusions by applying reasoning algorithms to the knowledge base. It mirrors human cognitive processes by examining available data and deducing actionable insights. Key approaches include:

- **Deductive Reasoning**: Applying general rules to specific cases to reach conclusions.
- **Inductive Reasoning**: Deriving general principles from specific observations or data.
- **Uncertainty Handling**: Leveraging probabilistic and fuzzy logic to accommodate incomplete or ambiguous information (Zhu et al., 2011).

Explanation of Forward and Backward Chaining

- **Forward Chaining**:
    - Starts with available facts and applies rules sequentially to derive conclusions.
    - Best suited for diagnostic systems where the goal is to identify outcomes based on given conditions.
    - Example: In a medical expert system, symptoms (facts) lead to a diagnosis (conclusion).
    - Process: *IF symptom X AND symptom Y THEN diagnosis Z.*
- **Backward Chaining**:
    - Begins with a hypothesis or goal and works backward to verify if conditions or facts support it.
    - Common in systems focused on hypothesis testing or planning.
    - Example: To confirm a hypothesis (e.g., an engineering fault), the system identifies preconditions that validate it.
    - Process: *Is diagnosis Z supported by symptom X AND symptom Y?*

The choice between forward and backward chaining depends on the problem's nature and the required reasoning flow (Puppe, 2012).

Other Subsystems

Memory (Working Memory and Database)

Working memory (WM) stores dynamic information pertinent to the ongoing reasoning process, such as input data, intermediate results, and context-specific facts. It interacts closely with the knowledge base, allowing real-time updates and facilitating adaptive problem-solving.

- Example: In industrial control systems, WM continuously updates sensor readings to inform decision-making (Böhme & Wieland, 1990).

Dialogue System and Interaction with Users

The dialogue system ensures a user-friendly interface, enabling effective communication between the system and its users.

- **Input**: Users provide queries or data.
- **Output**: The system delivers explanations, recommendations, or predictions.
- Advanced dialogue systems integrate natural language processing to enhance accessibility and usability (Gomez & Segami, 1990).

Explanation Subsystem and Its Importance

The explanation subsystem justifies the system's conclusions and actions, providing transparency in decision-making. This feature is critical for building user trust and confidence, particularly in high-stakes applications like healthcare or finance.

- **Benefits**:
    - Enhances user understanding of the system's logic.
    - Assists in troubleshooting and refining system operations.
    - Facilitates learning by providing insights into domain-specific reasoning.
- Example: An agricultural expert system explains why specific fertilizer recommendations are suitable based on soil conditions (Rafea, 1998).

The core components of an expert system—knowledge base, inference mechanism, and supporting subsystems—work in tandem to emulate expert-level decision-making. The knowledge base ensures depth and accuracy, the inference mechanism drives logical reasoning, and the additional subsystems enhance usability and adaptability. These elements collectively enable expert systems to deliver reliable, efficient, and transparent solutions across diverse domains.

3. CLASSIFICATION OF EXPERT SYSTEMS

By Purpose

Diagnostics and Monitoring Systems

- These systems analyze data to identify faults, issues, or anomalies in a given domain.
- **Example**: Medical diagnostic systems that determine diseases based on patient symptoms.
- **Monitoring Functionality**: Continuous tracking of real-time data for detecting deviations from expected behavior, such as in industrial process controls.

Predictive Modeling Systems

- Predictive systems use historical and current data to forecast future trends or behaviors.
- **Example**: Weather prediction systems that analyze environmental data to forecast climatic conditions.
- These systems are invaluable in fields such as finance, energy, and supply chain management.

Planning and Management Systems

- Focused on creating efficient strategies for organizing resources and actions.
- **Example**: Urban planning systems that assist in infrastructure development by simulating traffic patterns and resource allocation.
- These systems are commonly used in logistics, project management, and organizational decision-making.

Instructional and Training Systems

- Provide users with domain-specific training or guidance.
- **Example**: Flight simulation systems for pilot training.
- These systems combine interactive elements to enhance learning and improve skill acquisition.

By Knowledge Representation

Traditional Systems (Rule-Based)

- Represent knowledge in the form of "IF-THEN" rules, providing straightforward and interpretable logic.
- **Example**: Expert systems for diagnosing electrical circuit faults using predefined rules like *IF voltage is low THEN check wiring*.
- Best suited for domains with well-defined rules and deterministic logic.

Hybrid Systems (With Optimization and Database Integration)

- Combine rule-based reasoning with advanced computational methods, such as optimization algorithms or machine learning.
- **Example**: Agricultural expert systems integrating weather predictions with crop management rules.
- These systems offer greater flexibility and adaptability in complex or dynamic domains.

By Complexity

Shallow Expert Systems ("ƏGƏR-ONDA" Rules)

- Utilize basic rules to address well-defined problems with limited scope.
- **Example**: Tax calculation systems that determine applicable rates based on income levels.

- Pros: Simple, fast, and effective for routine decision-making.
- Cons: Limited ability to handle complex or ambiguous scenarios.

Deep Expert Systems (Meta-Knowledge and Problem-Solving Adaptability)

- Incorporate meta-knowledge to reason about their own problem-solving processes.
- **Example**: Advanced medical systems that suggest alternative diagnoses when initial attempts fail.
- Pros: Capable of handling complex problems and adapting to new situations.
- Cons: Require significant computational resources and sophisticated knowledge engineering.

By Dynamism

Static Systems (Unchanging Knowledge Domains)

- Operate within fixed knowledge domains where input parameters and rules remain constant.
- **Example**: Mineral classification systems that analyze geological data using predefined criteria.
- Pros: Reliable and straightforward for domains with stable conditions.
- Cons: Limited applicability in dynamic environments.

Dynamic Systems (Adaptable to Changing Environments)

- Continuously update their knowledge base and adapt to new information or conditions.
- **Example**: Financial trading systems that adjust strategies based on market fluctuations.
- These systems often include components for external environment modeling and real-time interaction.
- **Architecture Enhancements**: Integration with sensors, data streams, and feedback loops to dynamically refine decision-making processes.

The classification of expert systems by purpose, knowledge representation, complexity, and dynamism highlights the diversity and versatility of these systems. Each type serves specific functions and is optimized for particular use cases. Understanding these classifications allows researchers and developers to design expert systems tailored to meet the unique demands of their intended applications.

4. STRUCTURE OF EXPERT SYSTEMS

Canonical Structure of Dynamic Expert Systems

Dynamic expert systems are designed to adapt to changing environments by integrating advanced components that facilitate real-time updates, interaction, and decision-making. Their canonical structure comprises the following key elements:

1. Translator/Solver (Logical Inference Mechanism)

- **Role**: The translator or solver is the system's core reasoning engine. It applies logical rules and algorithms to the knowledge base and working memory to derive new facts or conclusions.
- **Functionality**:
    - Performs forward or backward chaining to resolve queries.
    - Handles uncertainty through probabilistic reasoning or fuzzy logic.
    - Continuously evaluates incoming data and adjusts its reasoning dynamically.
- **Example**: In a medical diagnostic system, the solver determines a disease by analyzing symptoms and applying diagnostic rules.

2. Working Memory (WM)

- **Definition**: A temporary storage area for the dynamic facts and intermediate results generated during the inference process.
- **Functionality**:
    - Stores the current state of the problem being solved.
    - Facilitates real-time interaction by updating as new data is introduced.
    - Represents facts with confidence levels, enabling nuanced reasoning.
- **Example**: In an industrial monitoring system, WM stores live sensor readings and contextual information for ongoing analysis.

3. Knowledge Base (KB)

- **Definition**: A repository of domain-specific information, including declarative facts and procedural rules.
- **Components**:
    - **Declarative Knowledge**: Static facts and relationships, often encoded as "IF-THEN" rules.
    - **Procedural Knowledge**: Instructions or algorithms for performing specific tasks, such as optimization or computation.
- **Importance**:
    - Serves as the foundation for the system's reasoning and decision-making processes.
    - Must be consistently updated to reflect changes in the domain.
- **Example**: A financial expert system's KB might include market trends, regulatory rules, and investment strategies.

4. Subsystems

- *Knowledge Acquisition and Updating*
    - Automates the addition of new information to the knowledge base.

    - Includes interfaces for experts to input new facts or modify existing rules.
    - Enhances adaptability by integrating machine learning or data mining techniques to refine knowledge dynamically.
    - **Example**: A weather forecasting system continuously updates its KB with real-time meteorological data.
- *Interaction with External Environments*
    - Interfaces with sensors, controllers, or external data sources to gather input or enact decisions.
    - Includes modules for modeling the external environment and adjusting decisions accordingly.
    - **Example**: A smart home system interacts with sensors to adjust lighting or temperature based on user preferences.
- *Explanatory Mechanisms*
    - Justify the system's reasoning and outputs by providing detailed explanations of how conclusions were reached.
    - Boosts user confidence and aids in system debugging or refinement.
    - **Example**: In a legal expert system, the explanation module clarifies which laws and precedents led to a suggested ruling.

Illustration and Explanation of the Structure

A dynamic expert system's structure can be visualized as an interconnected network of components:

```
+----------------------+      +---------------------+
| Knowledge Base (KB) |<----->| Inference Mechanism |
+----------------------+      +---------------------+
        ^                        ^
        |                        |
        |                        |
+-------------------+        +-------------------+
|  Working Memory  |<-------->| External Inputs   |
|     (WM)        |         | (Sensors/Users)   |
+-------------------+        +-------------------+
        ^
```

```
        |
        |
+-------------------+
| Explanatory       |
| Subsystems        |
+-------------------+
```

Diagram Analysis:

- **Knowledge Base (KB)**: Interacts bi-directionally with the inference mechanism, providing rules and receiving updates.
- **Inference Mechanism**: Acts as the logical processing core, mediating between the KB, WM, and external inputs.
- **Working Memory (WM)**: Temporarily holds facts and intermediate results for ongoing reasoning processes.
- **External Inputs**: Provide dynamic data from sensors or user inputs, enabling real-time adaptability.
- **Explanatory Subsystems**: Offer clarity and transparency by explaining decisions and processes to users.

The structure of dynamic expert systems reflects their adaptability and versatility, with each component contributing to the system's overall functionality. The interplay between the knowledge base, inference mechanism, and subsystems ensures these systems can address complex, real-world problems while maintaining transparency and reliability. This modular design also allows for scalability and integration with emerging technologies, making expert systems invaluable across various domains.

5. CHALLENGES IN KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION

Limitations of Static Systems in Dynamic Problem-Solving

- **Lack of Adaptability**: Static systems rely on predefined knowledge bases, which cannot accommodate changes in real-time or evolving environments. This restricts their usefulness in domains requiring continual updates, such as financial trading or disaster response.
- **Inflexibility**: The fixed nature of static knowledge representation leads to inefficiencies when dealing with ambiguous or incomplete data. Static systems struggle to adjust rules or incorporate new facts without extensive manual intervention (Puppe, 2012).
- **Example**: A static weather prediction system cannot adjust to unforeseen meteorological patterns without reprogramming, limiting its reliability.

Complexities in Designing Dynamic Systems

- **Real-Time Data Integration**: Dynamic systems require seamless integration with external data sources, such as sensors or live databases. This necessitates robust architectures capable of handling high-frequency updates.
- **Consistency and Accuracy**: Maintaining consistency in reasoning while continuously updating the knowledge base poses significant challenges, particularly in domains where incorrect conclusions can have severe consequences, such as healthcare or autonomous vehicles (Zhu et al., 2011).
- **Resource Intensity**: Dynamic systems demand significant computational resources and sophisticated algorithms, which can increase costs and complexity during development and deployment.
- **Example**: Dynamic traffic management systems must process data from thousands of sensors and cameras in real-time, requiring advanced algorithms to avoid delays and inaccuracies.

Balancing Declarative and Procedural Knowledge in Hybrid Systems

- **Declarative Knowledge**: Provides a clear, interpretable structure (e.g., "IF-THEN" rules) but can be rigid and less efficient for tasks requiring iterative computation or optimization.
- **Procedural Knowledge**: Allows systems to perform complex tasks through predefined algorithms but can lack transparency, making debugging and validation more difficult.
- **Hybrid Approach**: Integrating declarative and procedural knowledge balances interpretability and computational efficiency, but designing such systems requires careful structuring to avoid conflicts and redundancies (Reichgelt & Van Harmelen, 1984).
- **Example**: A hybrid medical diagnostic system uses declarative knowledge for symptom recognition and procedural algorithms for recommending treatments based on patient history.

6. APPLICATIONS OF EXPERT SYSTEMS

Key Fields of Application

Military:

- o Applications include strategic planning, equipment diagnostics, and real-time battlefield analysis.
- o Example: Expert systems for simulating combat scenarios and optimizing resource allocation.

Geology:

- o Assist in mineral exploration and environmental monitoring.
- o Example: Systems that predict seismic activity or identify resource-rich regions.

Engineering and Computer Science:

- o Used in fault diagnosis, system design, and software optimization.
- o Example: Fault detection systems in power grids or real-time debugging tools for software development.

Space Technology:

- Enhance mission planning and anomaly detection in spacecraft systems.
- Example: NASA's expert systems for monitoring satellite performance and predicting component failures.

Mathematics and Medicine:

- Solve complex mathematical models or assist in disease diagnostics.
- Example: Medical expert systems that suggest treatments for rare diseases based on case histories.

Agriculture and Industry:

- Optimize crop management, resource allocation, and manufacturing processes.
- Example: Systems that recommend irrigation schedules based on soil and weather conditions (Rafea, 1998).

Physics and Law:

- Model complex physical phenomena or assist in legal decision-making.
- Example: Legal expert systems that analyze case law to predict verdicts.

Case Studies or Notable Implementations

MYCIN (Medical Diagnosis):

- Developed in the 1970s, MYCIN diagnosed bacterial infections and suggested treatments.
- Significance: Demonstrated the potential of rule-based expert systems in healthcare.

DENDRAL (Geology and Chemistry):

- Used for chemical analysis and molecular structure determination.
- Significance: One of the earliest systems to apply AI in scientific research.

XCON (Computer Science):

- An expert system used by Digital Equipment Corporation to configure VAX computer systems.
- Significance: Reduced configuration errors and significantly improved efficiency.

AGRICOLA (Agriculture):

- Assists farmers in pest management and soil fertility enhancement.
- Significance: Combines environmental data with expert rules to optimize agricultural productivity.

FALCON (Military):

- A defense expert system for mission planning and threat assessment.

    - Significance: Integrates real-time data to support decision-making under high-stakes conditions.

The challenges in knowledge representation highlight the evolving complexities of expert systems, particularly in transitioning from static to dynamic frameworks. The diverse applications across fields like medicine, agriculture, and military emphasize the versatility and transformative potential of expert systems in solving real-world problems. These systems continue to redefine decision-making processes, bridging the gap between human expertise and computational efficiency.

7. FUTURE TRENDS IN EXPERT SYSTEMS

Integration with Machine Learning and Data Mining

The future of expert systems lies in their ability to incorporate machine learning (ML) and data mining technologies. These advancements enhance the adaptability, efficiency, and scalability of expert systems by:

- **Automating Knowledge Acquisition**: ML algorithms can analyze large datasets to extract patterns and rules, reducing reliance on manual knowledge input.
    - **Example**: In healthcare, ML-driven expert systems analyze patient records to refine diagnostic accuracy and suggest personalized treatment plans.
- **Enabling Predictive Analytics**: Data mining enhances expert systems by uncovering trends and insights, enabling systems to forecast outcomes and recommend proactive solutions.
    - **Example**: Financial expert systems that analyze market data to predict investment opportunities.
- **Improving System Accuracy**: The integration of ML models enables expert systems to learn from past errors, continuously improving their decision-making processes over time.

Development of More Intuitive and User-Friendly Dialogue Systems

The usability of expert systems depends heavily on their ability to interact seamlessly with users. Future developments in dialogue systems focus on:

- **Natural Language Processing (NLP)**: Advanced NLP techniques allow expert systems to understand and respond to user queries in natural language, making them more accessible.
    - **Example**: Virtual legal advisors capable of answering complex legal questions in plain language.
- **Multimodal Interfaces**: Combining voice, text, and graphical interfaces to provide a richer user experience.
    - **Example**: Agricultural expert systems that use visual dashboards alongside voice-guided instructions.
- **Personalization**: Enhancing user interaction through adaptive interfaces that cater to individual preferences and skill levels.
    - **Example**: Educational expert systems that adjust their tone and explanations based on the learner's expertise.

Enhancements in Real-Time Adaptability

Dynamic expert systems are evolving to become more responsive to real-time changes in their environments:

- **Integration with IoT Devices**: Sensors and Internet of Things (IoT) devices feed real-time data into expert systems, enabling them to make immediate adjustments.
    - **Example**: Industrial systems that optimize energy usage based on real-time demand.
- **Adaptive Learning Mechanisms**: Expert systems will incorporate adaptive algorithms to modify their knowledge base and reasoning processes dynamically.
    - **Example**: Smart city management systems that adjust traffic signals based on real-time congestion data.
- **Scalability in Dynamic Domains**: Future systems will handle increasingly complex and fast-changing data streams, such as climate modeling or financial market analysis.

8. CONCLUSION

Summary of the Importance of Knowledge Representation in Expert Systems

Knowledge representation forms the backbone of expert systems, enabling them to emulate human expertise and solve domain-specific problems. Effective representation ensures consistency, accuracy, and adaptability, which are critical for real-world applications. Advances in hybrid models and dynamic knowledge representation have significantly expanded the scope and versatility of these systems.

The Potential of Dynamic Expert Systems to Solve Complex Real-World Problems

Dynamic expert systems, capable of real-time adaptability and continuous learning, are uniquely positioned to address the challenges of modern, fast-paced environments. From managing complex industrial processes to improving healthcare delivery, these systems demonstrate immense potential to transform industries by providing scalable, efficient, and accurate solutions.

Recommendations for Future Research

1. **Enhancing Integration with Emerging Technologies**: Future research should focus on deepening the integration of expert systems with machine learning, IoT, and big data analytics to enhance functionality and scalability.
2. **Improving Transparency and Interpretability**: Developing advanced explanatory mechanisms to ensure users understand system decisions and build trust in critical domains like law and medicine.
3. **Exploring Ethical and Social Implications**: Addressing the ethical challenges associated with autonomous decision-making, including bias in knowledge representation and accountability for system errors.
4. **Optimizing Computational Efficiency**: Investigating ways to reduce the resource demands of dynamic systems while maintaining accuracy and speed.

Expert systems remain at the forefront of AI innovation, and their evolution will continue to shape the future of intelligent decision-making across diverse fields.

Bibliography

Akbarov, S. D., & Ismailov, M. I. (2016). Dynamics of the oscillating moving load acting on the hydro-elastic system consisting of the elastic plate, compressible viscous fluid and rigid wall. *Structural Engineering and Mechanics, An Int'l Journal*, *59*(3), 403-430.

Akbarov, S. D., Ismailov, M. I., & Aliyev, S. A. (2017). The influence of the initial strains of the highly elastic plate on the forced vibration of the hydro-elastic system consisting of this plate, compressible viscous fluid, and rigid wall. *Coupled System Mechanics*, *6*(4), 287-316.

Böhme, B., & Wieland, R. (1990). Knowledge representation in expert systems for process control. *Decision Support Systems*, *6*(4), 307-314.

Chau, K. W., & Albermani, F. (2002). Expert system application on preliminary design of water retaining structures. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *22*(2), 169-178.

Chiu, D. Y., Pan, Y. C., & Yu, S. Y. (2009). An automated knowledge structure construction approach: Applying information retrieval and genetic algorithm to journal of Expert Systems with Applications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *36*(5), 9438-9447.

Diederich, J., Ruhmann, I., & May, M. (1987). KRITON: a knowledge-acquisition tool for expert systems. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, *26*(1), 29-40.

Gomez, F., & Segami, C. (1990). Knowledge acquisition from natural language for expert systems based on classification problem-solving methods. *Knowledge Acquisition*, *2*(2), 107-128.

Hanratty, P. J., Joseph, B., & Dudukovic, M. P. (1992). Knowledge representation and reasoning in the presence of uncertainty in an expert system for laboratory reactor selection. *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, *31*(1), 228-238.

Michalski, R. S., & Baskin, A. B. (1983, August). Integrating Multiple Knowledge Representations and Learning Capabilities in an Expert System: The ADVISE System. In *IJCAI* (pp. 256-258).

Ogu, E. C., & Adekunle, Y. A. (2013). Basic concepts of expert system shells and an efficient model for knowledge acquisition. *International Journal of Science and Research*, *2*(4), 554-559.

Petrik, M. A. R. E. K. (2004). Knowledge representation for expert systems. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for Undergraduate and Graduate Students of Applied Mathematics (ISCAM 2004), Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava*.

Puppe, F. (2012). *Systematic introduction to expert systems: Knowledge representations and problem-solving methods*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Rafea, A. (1998). Expert system applications: Agriculture. *Central Laboratory for Agricultural Expert Systems, PO Box*, *100*.

Reichgelt, H., & Van Harmelen, F. (1984). Criteria for choosing representation languages and control regimes for expert systems. *The knowledge engineering review*, *1*(4), 2-17.

Sahin, S., Tolun, M. R., & Hassanpour, R. (2012). Hybrid expert systems: A survey of current approaches and applications. *Expert systems with applications*, *39*(4), 4609-4617.

Zhu, L. L., Huang, X. K., & Dai, G. H. (2011, October). Research on uncertain knowledge representation and processing in the expert system. In *2011 Fourth International Symposium on Knowledge Acquisition and Modeling* (pp. 277-281). IEEE.

Zhuo, L., Lesnic, D., Ismailov, M. I., Tekin, İ., & Meng, S. (2019). Determination of the time-dependent reaction coefficient and the heat flux in a nonlinear inverse heat conduction problem. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, *96*(10), 2079-2099.