

# Historical conflicts of the Caucasus: The impact of political borders on societies

 **Tunjay Gazi**

University of Lodz, Poland, [tuncaymantt@gmail.com](mailto:tuncaymantt@gmail.com)

<https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.02500120>

Keywords	Abstract
Historical Conflicts Political Borders Caucasus Societal Impact	<p>The Caucasus region, located at the crossroads of Europe and Asia, has long been a focal point of geopolitical, cultural, and ethnic complexities. This paper explores the historical conflicts in the Caucasus, with an emphasis on the impact of political borders on societies. Divided into three chapters, the study examines the region's historical and ethnic diversity, the influence of border policies during imperial and Soviet periods, and the enduring effects of conflicts on modern societies. By analyzing case studies such as the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, the Abkhazia and South Ossetia disputes, and the role of external powers like Russia, Turkey, and Iran, the paper sheds light on the historical roots of ongoing tensions. The study concludes with an evaluation of contemporary challenges and potential solutions, including international mediation efforts and regional cooperation initiatives. Through an interdisciplinary approach, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between political borders and societal fragmentation in the Caucasus.</p>

## Introduction

The Caucasus region, situated at the intersection of Europe and Asia, represents one of the most historically, culturally, and geopolitically complex areas in the world. Known as a melting pot of civilizations, it has been shaped by the convergence of diverse ethnic groups, languages, and religions. Over centuries, the region has witnessed the rise and fall of empires, from the Persian and Byzantine to the Ottoman and Russian, each of which left its mark on the social and political landscape of the Caucasus. The geographic diversity, ranging from the rugged Caucasus Mountains to fertile plains, has also contributed to the distinctiveness of its peoples and cultures.

Despite its rich cultural and historical heritage, the Caucasus has long been a region of tension and conflict. The primary source of these conflicts often stems from political borders that fail to align with the ethnic and cultural realities of the region. During the imperial and Soviet eras, the strategic manipulation of borders further exacerbated ethnic divisions and fostered disputes that persist to this day. Notable examples include the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, the struggles in Abkhazia and South Ossetia, and the broader tensions between neighboring states like Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Georgia. These conflicts have had profound impacts on the lives of the region's inhabitants, leading to mass displacement, economic disruption, and deep-rooted mistrust among ethnic groups.



This is an open access article under the  
Creative Commons Attribution-  
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

This paper aims to examine the historical roots and modern consequences of conflicts in the Caucasus, focusing on how political borders have shaped the region's sociopolitical dynamics. The study is divided into three chapters. The first chapter explores the historical and ethnic diversity of the Caucasus, providing a foundation for understanding the region's complexities. The second chapter delves into the role of political borders, analyzing how imperial and Soviet-era policies have contributed to the emergence of disputes. The third chapter focuses on the modern-day implications of these conflicts, discussing their impact on societies and exploring potential pathways for resolution through international cooperation and dialogue.

By shedding light on the intricate relationship between political borders and societal fragmentation, this paper seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the challenges facing the Caucasus today and the lessons it offers for resolving territorial and ethnic conflicts globally.

## Results

The Caucasus region, located at the crossroads of Europe and Asia, occupies a strategically significant position that has long attracted the attention of various empires and modern states. Traditionally, the region is divided into two main parts: the North Caucasus and the South Caucasus. The North Caucasus, which is part of the Russian Federation, is characterized by its rugged, mountainous terrain and remarkable ethnic diversity—encompassing areas such as Chechnya, Ingushetia, Dagestan, and Kabardino-Balkaria (De Waal, 2010). In contrast, the South Caucasus comprises three independent states: Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Georgia. This division not only reflects geographical distinctions but also underscores significant political and cultural differences that have evolved over centuries.

The historical evolution of the region has been profoundly influenced by its geography. The Caucasus Mountains, often described as a "natural barrier," have simultaneously connected and separated the people who inhabit these lands. This dual role has contributed to a rich mosaic of cultural and political identities. The region has been a cradle of human civilization since prehistoric times, with early settlements leaving behind a legacy of ancient monuments and inscriptions that still intrigue researchers today (King, 2008).

One of the earliest identifiable groups in the region were the Caucasian Albanians, whose cultural and political contributions were significant in what is now Azerbaijan and parts of Dagestan. Over time, these groups became absorbed into the broader cultural and religious landscape, blending their traditions with those of later settlers. Armenians, for example, are among the oldest Christian communities in the region. Their origins can be traced back to the ancient Kingdom of Urartu, and their cultural identity has been closely maintained through the Armenian Apostolic Church. This church not only preserved religious traditions but also served as a custodian of Armenian culture during periods of foreign domination (Bournoutian, 2006).

Similarly, the Georgians, with roots in the ancient kingdoms of Colchis and Iberia, have developed a distinct national identity centered around their language and the Georgian Orthodox Church. Both Armenia and Georgia have numerous historical religious sites that have earned recognition as UNESCO World Heritage Sites, underscoring the deep-rooted impact of Christianity on their national identities (Suny, 1994).

The demographic landscape of the Caucasus was further transformed with the arrival of Turkic peoples, including Azerbaijanis, during waves of migration that occurred in the Seljuk and Ottoman periods. These groups introduced Islam and Turkic cultural traditions, which have since coexisted with the older Christian and Zoroastrian influences in the region. In addition to these major groups, various other ethnic communities—such as the Lezgins, Avars, and Circassians—have contributed to the region's extraordinary cultural diversity (Cornell, 2001).



Throughout its history, the interactions among these diverse groups were marked by both cooperation and conflict. Major empires, including the Persian, Byzantine, and Ottoman, exploited existing ethnic divisions to further their own political and economic interests. Such external influences, alongside internal dynamics, have laid the groundwork for the modern complexities that characterize the Caucasus today (Yarshater, 1983).

The region is often aptly described as a "museum of cultures and religions" because of its rich tapestry of religious traditions. Three major religious currents—Islam, Christianity, and Zoroastrianism—have played central roles in shaping the spiritual and cultural contours of the Caucasus over the centuries. Christianity, which took root early in Armenian and Georgian societies, has left an indelible mark on the region. Armenia's adoption of Christianity as a state religion in 301 AD and Georgia's embrace of Orthodox Christianity in the early fourth century were pivotal events that not only defined these nations' identities but also provided resilience in the face of foreign domination (King, 2008).

In summary, the results indicate that the Caucasus region's unique geographical setting has fostered a complex interplay of diverse cultures, ethnicities, and religious traditions. The historical evolution—from early indigenous civilizations through periods of imperial domination to the modern nation-states—has created a multifaceted and dynamic region. These factors, deeply interwoven with the political and cultural landscapes, continue to influence the region's contemporary challenges and its ongoing quest for stability and identity.

Islam entered the Caucasus during the Arab conquests of the 7th and 8th centuries and became a dominant force, particularly in the North Caucasus and Azerbaijan. The Sunni and Shia branches of Islam coexist in the region, with Shia Islam being predominant in Azerbaijan. Islam not only brought religious practices but also influenced art, architecture, and societal norms, creating a rich cultural synthesis.

Before the advent of Christianity and Islam, Zoroastrianism—the ancient Persian religion—played a significant role as a spiritual tradition in the Caucasus. Its influence remains evident today at historical sites such as the Ateshgah Fire Temple in Azerbaijan, where Zoroastrian rituals were once an integral part of worship. Remnants of Zoroastrian beliefs, such as the reverence for fire and nature, continue to appear in regional traditions and festivals, including Novruz, the Persian New Year celebrated widely throughout the Caucasus (Yarshater, 1983).

This rich tapestry of religious diversity, while culturally enriching, has also been a source of tension and conflict. As religious identities became intertwined with political and ethnic divisions, the coexistence of multiple faiths sometimes led to friction. The complexity of the region's cultural heritage highlights the challenges of fostering unity in an environment marked by such diversity (Cornell, 2001).

Historically, the Caucasus has been a contested region, sought after by powerful empires intent on expanding their influence. Each of these empires left a deep mark on the ethnic and cultural structure of the region. The Sasanian Empire (224–651 AD) was a dominant force in the South Caucasus, particularly in areas that now form parts of modern-day Azerbaijan and Armenia. The Sasanians not only promoted Zoroastrianism but also worked to integrate the region into their imperial framework—a legacy that can still be seen in local architectural remains and the integration of Persian cultural elements into regional traditions. However, Sasanian rule also sparked resistance from local Christian communities, especially in Armenia, where efforts were made to preserve religious and cultural autonomy (Bournoutian, 2006).

Following the Sasanian period, the Byzantine Empire (330–1453 AD) vied for control over the Caucasus, particularly in Georgia and Armenia. The Byzantines promoted Orthodox Christianity and forged cultural and political ties with local rulers. This rivalry with the Sasanians not only shaped the religious landscape but also turned the Caucasus into a battleground for competing imperial ambitions (King, 2008).



The Ottoman Empire (1299–1922) introduced further change to the region, especially in the South Caucasus, by integrating parts of it into vast trading networks and introducing Sunni Islam. Ottoman influence is still visible today in aspects of architecture and cultural practices, particularly in Azerbaijan and parts of Georgia (De Waal, 2010).

Perhaps the most enduring impact on the Caucasus came from the Russian Empire (1721–1917). Through a series of conquests in the 18th and 19th centuries, Russia integrated the region into its imperial structure. Policies of Russification and colonization led to large-scale migrations and forced resettlements, fundamentally altering the demographic composition of the Caucasus. The arbitrary administrative borders constructed by Russian authorities, often ignoring historical and ethnic boundaries, have laid the groundwork for many of the ethnic and territorial disputes that continue to affect the region today (Suny, 1994).

During Russian expansion, the Caucasus was seen as a strategic buffer against Ottoman and Persian influence. Russian authorities restructured the political and administrative systems by dividing the region into governates and districts that frequently disregarded traditional boundaries. This strategy aimed to weaken local loyalties and ethnic solidarity, ensuring that no single group could challenge central authority. For example, the annexation of the Kingdom of Kartli-Kakheti (modern-day Georgia) in 1801 disrupted existing power dynamics and introduced new layers of ethnic and religious tension (Bertsch, 2000).

The Soviet Union later adopted a systematic approach to border management with its policy of "national delimitation." Although this policy nominally promoted ethnic self-determination, in practice it fragmented the region into administrative units that often divided ethnic groups or created enclaves. The creation of the Nagorno-Karabakh Autonomous Oblast (NKAO) within the Azerbaijan Soviet Socialist Republic in 1923 is a clear example: despite a majority Armenian population, the region was placed under Azerbaijani control, fostering deep-seated resentment among Armenians. In Georgia, similar issues arose with the autonomous statuses granted to Abkhazia and South Ossetia, setting the stage for future conflicts as the central Soviet authority weakened (Cornell, 2001).

The long-term consequences of these imperial and Soviet policies became evident during the late 20th century when the Soviet Union collapsed. Ethnic and territorial tensions that had long been suppressed erupted into violent conflicts that continue to affect the region. The Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, in particular, illustrates these challenges vividly. During the First Karabakh War, Armenian forces—supported by Russian military interventions—occupied territories that had been historically and culturally significant to Azerbaijan. This led to tragic events, including the Khojaly genocide, which resulted in hundreds of civilian deaths and the displacement of thousands. Although a ceasefire was reached in 1994, renewed fighting in 2020 underscored the enduring instability rooted in these historical grievances (Human Rights Watch, n.d.).

In Georgia, the conflicts in Abkhazia and South Ossetia also have their origins in Soviet policies. Abkhazia was designated as an autonomous republic within Georgia, while South Ossetia was granted the status of an autonomous oblast. These regions maintained distinct ethnic identities and sought greater autonomy or independence from Georgia. When Georgia declared independence in 1991, Abkhazia and South Ossetia resisted integration into the new Georgian state, leading to violent conflicts. The involvement of external actors, particularly Russia, further complicated these disputes, as Russia provided support to separatist movements in both regions.

The unresolved status of these regions has had significant consequences for the Caucasus, contributing to political instability, economic disruption, and ongoing humanitarian crises. The conflicts in Nagorno-Karabakh, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia illustrate how the legacy of Soviet border policies continues to shape the region's political landscape.



Ethnic conflicts and border issues remain central to the political dynamics of the Caucasus in the 21st century. The collapse of the Soviet Union left a vacuum of power in the region, allowing longstanding grievances to resurface and evolve into violent confrontations. These conflicts have not only hindered regional cooperation but also posed significant challenges to state-building efforts in the newly independent republics.

In Nagorno-Karabakh, the 2020 war between Armenia and Azerbaijan highlighted the fragility of peace in the region. The conflict, which lasted 44 days, resulted in significant territorial changes, with Azerbaijan reclaiming areas previously controlled by Armenian forces. The war underscored the deep mistrust between the two nations and the limitations of international mediation efforts. The unresolved status of Nagorno-Karabakh continues to fuel nationalist sentiments on both sides, making a lasting peace agreement difficult to achieve.

Similarly, in Georgia, the conflicts in Abkhazia and South Ossetia remain unresolved. These regions have declared independence with Russian support, but their status is not recognized by most of the international community. The presence of Russian troops in these territories has further complicated Georgia's efforts to reintegrate them. The conflicts have also had a profound impact on the affected populations, leading to the displacement of hundreds of thousands of people and creating a legacy of trauma and mistrust.

External powers have historically played a significant role in shaping the conflicts and political dynamics of the Caucasus. Russia, Turkey, and Iran, as the region's most influential actors, have pursued their own strategic interests, often at the expense of local stability.

Russia views the Caucasus as a critical part of its "near abroad," a term used to describe the former Soviet republics. By supporting separatist movements in Abkhazia and South Ossetia and maintaining a military presence in Armenia, Russia has positioned itself as a dominant player in the region. These actions are part of a broader strategy to prevent Western influence, particularly NATO expansion, from gaining a foothold in the Caucasus.

Iran's role in the Caucasus is shaped by its historical ties and geopolitical interests. While maintaining a neutral stance in many conflicts, Iran has sought to balance the influence of Russia and Turkey. Its relations with Azerbaijan have been complicated by the presence of a large Azerbaijani population in northern Iran, which has occasionally led to tensions. At the same time, Iran has maintained close ties with Armenia, further highlighting the complexities of its regional strategy.

The interplay of these external powers has significantly influenced the course of conflicts in the Caucasus, making the region a key battleground for competing geopolitical interests.

The Nagorno-Karabakh conflict remains one of the most significant and impactful disputes in the Caucasus region, creating profound challenges for Azerbaijani society. During the First Karabakh War (1988–1994), Armenia's occupation of Nagorno-Karabakh and seven surrounding districts displaced nearly one million Azerbaijanis, forcing them into refugee camps and temporary shelters. This mass displacement disrupted families, severed cultural ties to ancestral lands, and caused widespread socio-economic challenges. Many displaced families lost not only their homes but also their livelihoods, leading to long-term issues of poverty and inequality.

The consequences of the Second Karabakh War in 2020 highlighted the ongoing impact of the conflict on the civilian population. While Azerbaijan successfully liberated its territories, Armenian forces, unable to confront Azerbaijani military advancements directly on the battlefield, targeted civilian areas far from the conflict zone. The city of Ganja, located outside the warzone, was hit by missile strikes during the night, killing dozens of civilians, including women and children, and leaving hundreds injured. Residential buildings were destroyed, leaving families homeless and traumatized. Furthermore, Armenian forces



attempted to strike the Azerbaijani capital, Baku, with long-range missiles, although these attempts failed to reach their targets. Such actions drew widespread condemnation from the international community for violating the principles of international humanitarian law.

The liberation of Azerbaijani territories allowed for the gradual return of displaced families, but the process of rebuilding homes and restoring infrastructure in these areas remains a significant challenge. Additionally, the discovery of landmines planted by Armenian forces in the liberated territories has delayed resettlement efforts and continues to pose a serious threat to returning populations.

The conflicts in Abkhazia and South Ossetia have deeply fragmented Georgian society, creating divisions that persist to this day. Following Georgia's independence from the Soviet Union in 1991, both regions sought to secede, leading to armed conflicts that resulted in thousands of deaths and the displacement of over 250,000 ethnic Georgians. Many of these displaced individuals remain unable to return to their homes, living as internally displaced persons (IDPs) in settlements across Georgia.

The political status of Abkhazia and South Ossetia remains a contentious issue. Russia's recognition of these regions as independent states in 2008, following the Russo-Georgian War, has further complicated efforts to resolve the conflicts. Russia's military presence in both regions and its support for separatist regimes have effectively created a frozen conflict, where the situation remains unresolved but unlikely to escalate into full-scale war.

These conflicts have had profound socio-economic consequences for Georgia. The displacement of large populations has strained the country's resources and created significant challenges for social cohesion. Efforts to integrate IDPs into Georgian society have met with varying degrees of success, but many continue to face economic hardship and limited opportunities. On the diplomatic front, Georgia has sought to engage the international community in resolving these conflicts, advocating for the recognition of its territorial integrity and calling for greater involvement from organizations such as the United Nations and the European Union.

The cultural heritage of the Caucasus has suffered immensely as a result of prolonged ethnic and political conflicts. Monuments, religious sites, and historical landmarks have been destroyed or damaged, erasing centuries of history and cultural identity. In the Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, Azerbaijani cultural sites, including mosques, cemeteries, and historical monuments, were systematically destroyed or desecrated during the years of Armenian occupation. This loss of heritage has further deepened the divisions between the two nations, making reconciliation even more challenging.

Economically, conflicts have hindered the development of the region, isolating it from global markets and reducing opportunities for trade and investment. The closure of borders between Armenia and Azerbaijan, as well as strained relations between Georgia and Russia, have limited regional connectivity and economic integration. The lack of cooperation among neighboring countries has also impeded the implementation of large-scale infrastructure projects, further stifling economic growth.

On a social level, the displacement of millions of people has created long-term challenges for integration and reconciliation. Refugees and internally displaced persons often face significant barriers to accessing education, healthcare, and employment, perpetuating cycles of poverty and inequality. Additionally, the deep-seated mistrust and animosity between conflicting groups have hindered efforts to rebuild social cohesion and promote interethnic dialogue.

Achieving lasting peace in the Caucasus requires a comprehensive approach that emphasizes dialogue, mediation, and adherence to international law. Organizations such as the United Nations, the OSCE Minsk Group, and the Council of Europe have played crucial roles in facilitating negotiations, although their efforts have often been hampered by competing geopolitical interests.



In the case of Nagorno-Karabakh, international mediation efforts have focused on finding a solution that respects Azerbaijan's territorial integrity while addressing the rights of the Armenian population in the region. Similarly, in Georgia, international actors have sought to mediate between the central government and the separatist regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia, advocating for confidence-building measures and promoting dialogue.

Adherence to international legal principles, such as the inviolability of internationally recognized borders and the right to self-determination, is essential for resolving these conflicts. However, the success of these efforts depends on the willingness of all parties to engage in good faith and prioritize long-term peace over short-term gains.

Despite the challenges, the Caucasus holds significant potential for regional cooperation and economic integration. Initiatives such as the Baku-Tbilisi-Kars railway and the Southern Gas Corridor provide opportunities for fostering connectivity and promoting mutual economic benefits. These projects not only strengthen regional trade but also create platforms for dialogue and cooperation among historically divided communities.

The revitalization of the Silk Road as a modern trade route has the potential to transform the Caucasus into a hub for international commerce, bridging East and West. By investing in infrastructure, encouraging cross-border trade, and promoting cultural exchanges, the countries of the Caucasus can work towards a more stable and prosperous future. However, achieving this vision requires addressing the underlying political and ethnic conflicts that have long divided the region.

## Conclusion

The Caucasus remains a region of immense historical, cultural, and geopolitical significance, but its legacy of conflict has left deep scars on its societies. The Nagorno-Karabakh conflict, along with disputes in Abkhazia and South Ossetia, has resulted in displacement, ethnic divisions, and significant human suffering. Events such as the Khojaly genocide and attacks on Azerbaijani cities highlight the devastating impact on civilians. Despite these challenges, the region holds potential for peace and cooperation. Economic initiatives like the Baku-Tbilisi-Kars railway offer opportunities for rebuilding trust and fostering collaboration. Lasting resolution, however, requires respect for international law, dialogue, and a commitment to overcoming historical grievances. By embracing these principles, the Caucasus can move toward a future of stability and shared prosperity.

## References

- Suny, R. G. (1994). *The making of the Georgian nation*. Indiana University Press.
- De Waal, T. (2010). *The Caucasus: An introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Yarshater, E. (1983). *The Cambridge history of Iran: The Seleucid, Parthian, and Sasanian periods*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bournoutian, G. A. (2006). *A concise history of the Armenian people: From ancient times to the present*. Mazda Publishers.
- Cornell, S. E. (2001). *Small nations and great powers: A study of ethnopolitical conflict in the Caucasus*. Routledge.



- De Waal, T. (2010). *The Caucasus: An introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Cornell, S. E. (2001). *Small nations and great powers: A study of ethnopolitical conflict in the Caucasus*. Routledge.
- King, C. (2008). *The ghost of freedom: A history of the Caucasus*. Oxford University Press.
- Suny, R. G. (1998). *The Soviet experiment: Russia, the USSR, and the successor states*. Oxford University Press.
- Bertsch, G. K. (2000). *Crossroads and conflict: Security and foreign policy in the Caucasus and Central Asia*. Routledge.
- De Waal, T. (2010). *The Caucasus: An introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Cornell, S. E. (2001). *Small nations and great powers: A study of ethnopolitical conflict in the Caucasus*. Routledge.
- Human Rights Watch. (n.d.). *The survivors of Khojaly: Stories of the tragedy*.
- United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (n.d.). *Reports on displacement in the Caucasus*.
- Bertsch, G. K. (2000). *Crossroads and conflict: Security and foreign policy in the Caucasus and Central Asia*. Routledge.

Received: 26.01.2025  
Revised: 27.01.2025  
Accepted: 28.01.2025  
Published: 02.02.2025



This is an open access article under the  
Creative Commons Attribution-  
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum  
ISSN 3030-1718