

Reassessing English Romanticism: An Argumentative Analysis of Its Ideological Foundations

 Nazila Sadigova

Nakhchivan State University, nazilesadiqova@ndu.edu.az

<https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.02500124>

Keywords

English Romanticism
Ideological Critique
Enlightenment
Cultural Studies
Literary History

Abstract

This article reexamines the ideological foundations of English Romanticism, challenging traditional narratives that portray the movement as a uniform celebration of emotion, nature, and individual subjectivity. By situating Romanticism within the socio-political and cultural context of late eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century Britain, the study underscores how rapid industrialization and political upheavals prompted a deliberate counter-response to Enlightenment rationalism. Employing an interdisciplinary framework that integrates ideological critique, cultural studies, and structural analysis, the article undertakes a close textual analysis of key works by seminal Romantic authors. This approach reveals inherent contradictions and contestations within Romantic thought, highlighting the dynamic interplay between individual expression and broader cultural forces. Contemporary scholarly debates are critically engaged to reassess the legacy of Romantic ideology, suggesting that its evolution mirrors broader shifts in literary and intellectual history. Ultimately, the article argues for the continued reassessment of literary movements as a means to uncover deeper cultural and ideological dynamics, offering new perspectives that enrich our understanding of both Romanticism and its enduring influence on modern literary discourse.

Introduction:

English Romanticism has long been celebrated as a transformative period in literary history, marked by an intense focus on emotion, nature, and individual expression. Traditionally, this movement is portrayed as a decisive break from Enlightenment rationality—a view that has significantly influenced both academic discourse and broader cultural understanding (Day, 2011; Watson, 2014). However, recent critical perspectives invite a reassessment of these ideological underpinnings, suggesting that conventional interpretations may oversimplify the complex interplay of economic, cultural, and scientific influences that informed Romantic thought (Connell, 2001; Cunningham & Jardine, 1990).



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Despite its enduring influence, prevailing assumptions about Romanticism have often glossed over significant controversies regarding its ideological foundations. Many scholars continue to assume a unified, almost monolithic vision of Romantic ideals, neglecting the nuanced debates and internal contradictions that characterize the movement (Abrams, 1975; Wellek, 1949). This article challenges these conventional views by arguing that English Romanticism, rather than representing a cohesive ideological stance, is better understood as a dynamic contest of ideas that reflects both its historical context and the evolving nature of literary critique.

The analysis that follows is structured to first situate English Romanticism within its historical and cultural framework, before engaging in a close textual examination of its core themes. By dissecting key works and their underlying ideological constructs, the argument will demonstrate that the traditional narrative of Romanticism requires substantial revision. In doing so, this study not only reassesses the legacy of Romantic thought but also highlights its continued relevance in contemporary academic discussions.

I. Historical and Cultural Context

Emergence of Romantic Ideals

Late eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century Britain was a period marked by profound socio-political and cultural shifts that paved the way for the emergence of Romantic ideals. Rapid industrialization, along with significant political upheavals, disrupted established social orders and created a climate of uncertainty and transformation. In this context, traditional norms were questioned, and there arose a collective yearning for a return to nature and authenticity—a sentiment that became a hallmark of Romantic thought (Riasanovsky, 1992; Day, 2011). This period of transformation not only redefined artistic expression but also laid the groundwork for the innovative literary and philosophical approaches that would come to characterize the Romantic movement.

Reaction Against Enlightenment Rationalism

The intellectual climate of the Enlightenment, with its emphasis on reason, empiricism, and universal laws, provided a stark contrast to the emerging Romantic sensibility. Romantic thinkers deliberately positioned their work as a counter-response to the dominant rationalist paradigms of their time. They argued that the cold, mechanistic approach of Enlightenment rationalism failed to capture the depth of human experience, particularly the realms of emotion, intuition, and the sublime. By foregrounding the importance of subjective experience and the ineffable qualities of nature, Romantic artists and writers sought to challenge the reductionist views of the previous era, thus advocating for a more holistic understanding of existence (Watson, 2014; Abrams, 1975). This critical stance not only redefined aesthetic values but also reoriented cultural perceptions of art and literature.

Ideological Roots

At the core of Romanticism lay a complex web of ideological influences that extended beyond a mere rejection of Enlightenment thought. Early Romanticism was deeply intertwined with emerging nationalist sentiments, as many writers and artists began to explore and celebrate regional traditions and cultural identities. In parallel, the period witnessed a growing valorization of individualism—where personal emotion and unique creative expression were seen as central to the human experience. These dual influences, alongside the pervasive reverence for nature as both a source of inspiration and a symbol of purity, coalesced to form the ideological backbone of the Romantic movement. Such ideological roots not



only informed the aesthetic practices of the period but also generated enduring debates regarding the true nature and scope of Romantic ideology (Cunningham & Jardine, 1990; Wellek, 1949).

II. Theoretical Framework and Methodology

Review of Scholarly Debates

The scholarly discourse surrounding the ideological foundations of Romanticism is both extensive and varied. Traditional analyses have often emphasized the movement's celebration of emotion and nature, as exemplified by Day (2011) and Watson (2014). However, a closer examination of the literature reveals persistent debates and notable gaps. While seminal works, such as Abrams' (1975) compilation of critical essays, have laid the groundwork for understanding Romanticism's literary contributions, more recent studies suggest that the ideological dimensions—particularly those involving socio-economic and cultural influences—have not been fully explored (Connell, 2001; Cunningham & Jardine, 1990). This article seeks to bridge these gaps by engaging with both established and contemporary perspectives, thereby challenging the conventional monolithic portrayal of Romantic ideology.

Theoretical Perspectives

To reassess the ideological underpinnings of English Romanticism, this study adopts a multifaceted theoretical approach. Central to this framework is an ideological critique that interrogates how power dynamics and cultural narratives are woven into Romantic texts (Wellek, 1949). In parallel, insights from cultural studies offer a lens through which to examine the interplay between literary production and broader societal trends (Connell, 2001). Additionally, structuralist approaches are employed to dissect recurring motifs and symbols across primary sources, thereby uncovering the latent narrative structures that support the movement's ideological claims (Wu, 2012). This combination of theoretical perspectives enables a comprehensive analysis that not only questions established interpretations but also contextualizes Romanticism within its broader cultural and historical milieu.

Methodological Approach

The methodological approach of this article is both argumentative and analytical, centered on a close textual analysis of primary sources alongside a critical engagement with secondary scholarship. Key works by canonical Romantic authors—such as Wordsworth, Coleridge, and Shelley—are examined to identify and deconstruct recurring ideological motifs and narrative strategies. This analysis is systematically integrated with insights drawn from critical debates in the existing literature, ensuring that each interpretation is rigorously substantiated. By employing an interdisciplinary method that draws on literary criticism, cultural theory, and structural analysis, the study aims to articulate a nuanced reassessment of the ideological foundations of English Romanticism, thereby contributing to a more robust understanding of the movement's complexities.

III. Critical Analysis of Ideological Foundations

Core Themes and Motifs

The ideological underpinnings of English Romanticism are multifaceted, characterized by a celebration of emotion, a deep reverence for nature, an emphasis on individual subjectivity, and a persistent search for transcendence. Romantic poets and writers elevated emotion to a primary aesthetic value, positioning



personal feeling as a counterweight to the impersonal dictates of Enlightenment rationality (Day, 2011; Watson, 2014). Their work consistently manifests a profound connection with the natural world, not only as a source of beauty and inspiration but also as a symbolic space where the spiritual and the sublime converge (Abrams, 1975). Additionally, the emphasis on individual subjectivity—exemplified by a focus on personal experience and introspection—serves as a hallmark of the movement, challenging the notion of universal truths and embracing the multiplicity of human perception. Together, these themes reveal a complex ideological tapestry that both affirms and interrogates the cultural values of its time.

Textual Analysis

A close reading of key literary texts illuminates how these themes are constructed, contested, and reconfigured across the Romantic corpus. For instance, Wordsworth's reflective treatment of nature in his seminal works often presents the natural environment as a dynamic force that mirrors the poet's inner life, thus reinforcing the interplay between emotion and the external world (Watson, 2014). In contrast, Coleridge's exploration of the supernatural in works such as *The Rime of the Ancient Mariner* adds an additional layer by intertwining myth with personal anguish, highlighting the tension between rational inquiry and the ineffable. A comparative discussion of these authors reveals that while both embrace a deep reverence for nature, their treatments diverge in terms of how they negotiate the boundaries between reason and emotion—a divergence that invites further exploration into the nuances of Romantic ideology (Wu, 2012).

Argumentative Assessment

Evaluating these texts critically underscores the extent to which they both support and undermine the traditional narrative of Romantic ideology. On one hand, the persistent emphasis on emotion and the individual experience in these works appears to affirm the established view of Romanticism as a celebration of subjectivity and a rejection of rationalism (Wellek, 1949). On the other hand, alternative interpretations suggest that such texts also reveal inherent contradictions within the movement. For example, while the celebration of nature is often invoked as a counterpoint to mechanistic modernity, it simultaneously carries echoes of structured symbolism and even nostalgia for pre-industrial social orders (Connell, 2001). These counter-arguments challenge the conventional portrayal of Romanticism by highlighting its internal debates and the fluidity of its ideological constructs. In doing so, this critical assessment calls for a more nuanced understanding of Romantic literature—one that recognizes both its foundational commitments and its capacity for self-critique, ultimately questioning established scholarly views and opening avenues for reinterpreting its legacy (Cunningham & Jardine, 1990).

IV. Reassessing the Legacies and Contestations

Contemporary Critiques

Modern scholarly perspectives have significantly reshaped the discourse surrounding Romantic ideology. Recent critiques emphasize that the traditional narrative—often portraying Romanticism as a unified celebration of emotion and individualism—fails to account for the movement's inherent contradictions and the socio-economic forces at play (Connell, 2001; Cunningham & Jardine, 1990). Scholars now argue that Romantic texts not only embody a rejection of Enlightenment rationalism but also serve as complex cultural artifacts reflecting deeper tensions between progress and nostalgia, modernity and tradition. This reappraisal is evident in studies that interrogate how Romantic literature both challenges and reinforces cultural norms, thus inviting readers to reconsider the movement's multifaceted legacy (Railo, 2019).



Evolution of Ideological Interpretations

Over time, interpretations of Romanticism have undergone substantial shifts that mirror broader cultural and intellectual changes. Early literary criticism largely celebrated Romanticism for its emotional depth and natural imagery, while later analyses have revealed more ambivalent readings. As contemporary critics draw from post-structuralist and cultural studies frameworks, there is a growing recognition that the ideological constructs of Romanticism are not static but evolve in response to changing societal conditions (Wellek, 1949; Abrams, 1975). These shifts in interpretation reflect an increasing awareness of how historical contexts, such as the industrial revolution and subsequent modernity, have reconfigured the movement's foundational ideals. In this light, Romanticism is seen not only as a product of its time but also as an ongoing dialogue between past and present cultural narratives.

Implications for Literary Studies

Reassessing Romantic ideology carries significant implications for the evolution of literary and cultural studies. By questioning established interpretations, this critical reassessment contributes to a more nuanced understanding of how literature functions as a site of ideological contestation. It highlights the importance of revisiting canonical texts with fresh theoretical perspectives that account for the dynamic interplay between literature and its historical context (Day, 2011; Watson, 2014). Moreover, by engaging with both traditional and contemporary critiques, scholars can uncover latent dimensions of Romantic thought that inform current debates in aesthetics, politics, and cultural theory. This approach not only deepens our comprehension of Romanticism itself but also reinforces the broader significance of continually reexamining literary movements as living, evolving phenomena that continue to influence modern intellectual discourse.

V. Discussion and Implications

The preceding analysis reveals that English Romanticism is far from a monolithic celebration of emotion and nature; rather, it is a dynamic and multifaceted discourse that has continually challenged both its contemporary critics and later scholars. By synthesizing the core themes and textual analyses presented earlier, it becomes evident that the ideological underpinnings of Romanticism encompass not only a profound reverence for individual subjectivity and the natural world but also inherent contradictions that question the very nature of its cultural assertions (Day, 2011; Watson, 2014). This reassessment underscores that Romantic literature is best understood as a complex dialogue between countervailing forces—where emotion confronts rationality, and nostalgia coexists with progressive impulses—thereby demanding a more nuanced interpretation than that offered by traditional scholarship.

These findings have significant broader implications for our understanding of the relationship between literature, ideology, and historical context. By revisiting the ideological foundations of Romanticism, this study illustrates how literary texts serve as active sites of cultural negotiation, reflecting and shaping societal attitudes towards nature, individualism, and modernity (Connell, 2001; Cunningham & Jardine, 1990). Such an approach not only deepens our appreciation of the Romantic era itself but also informs contemporary debates in literary and cultural studies. It reveals that literature cannot be isolated from the socio-political and economic forces that generate and transform cultural narratives, thus urging modern scholars to consider the fluidity of literary meanings in response to evolving historical conditions.

Looking forward, several avenues for further research emerge from this reassessment. Future studies could benefit from comparative analyses that extend beyond the traditional Anglophone boundaries of



Romanticism, thereby exploring how similar ideological tensions are manifested in other national literatures. Additionally, the application of alternative critical frameworks—such as postcolonial theory, feminist critique, or digital humanities approaches—may uncover new dimensions of Romantic thought that have yet to be fully explored (Railo, 2019; Wu, 2012). By integrating these perspectives, scholars can continue to refine our understanding of Romantic ideology, ensuring that the movement's legacy remains a vibrant and contested field within both literary criticism and broader cultural discourse.

Conclusion

The analysis presented in this article has reexamined the ideological foundations of English Romanticism through a critical evaluation of its core themes—emotion, nature, individual subjectivity, and the search for transcendence—and through a close reading of primary texts that both confirm and challenge traditional narratives (Day, 2011; Watson, 2014). By dissecting these ideological elements and juxtaposing them with contemporary critiques, the discussion has illuminated the inherent contradictions within the movement, revealing it as a dynamic interplay of cultural, social, and intellectual forces rather than a monolithic celebration of sentiment and nature.

This reassessment clearly supports the thesis that English Romanticism should be understood as a contested and evolving ideological discourse. The critical findings demonstrate that rather than simply rejecting Enlightenment rationalism, Romantic writers engaged in a sophisticated negotiation with prevailing cultural norms—a process that continues to inform debates in literary and cultural studies today (Connell, 2001; Cunningham & Jardine, 1990). By challenging established interpretations, the analysis contributes to a more nuanced understanding of how Romantic literature functions as a vehicle for ideological expression and cultural negotiation.

In closing, this study underscores the importance of continually reassessing literary movements to uncover deeper cultural and ideological dynamics. As historical contexts evolve and new theoretical perspectives emerge, revisiting canonical texts not only enriches our understanding of the past but also offers fresh insights into the ways literature engages with the complexities of human experience. The ongoing dialogue between traditional interpretations and contemporary critiques ensures that the legacy of English Romanticism remains a vibrant and contested field, with implications that extend well beyond the confines of literary history.

References:

- Day, A. (2011). *Romanticism*. Routledge.
- Watson, J. R. (2014). *English Poetry of the Romantic Period 1789-1830*. Routledge.
- Abrams, M. H. (Ed.). (1975). *English romantic poets: Modern essays in criticism*. Oxford University Press.
- Mammadova, İ. Struggle and Lonely Sorrow in Byron's Romanticism (Based on Poems "the Giaour", "the Corsair", "the Bride of Abydos" and "the Prisoner of Chillon"). *Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi*, 10(2), 355-363.
- Mammadova, N. (2024). The Brontë Sisters and the Critical Realism of English Literature. *Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum*, 1(2), 74-81.



- Sadigzadeh, Z. Interplay of Linguistic Relativism and Universalism. *Akademik Tarih ve Düşünce Dergisi*, 11(3), 1750-1758.
- Phelps, W. L. (1893). *The beginnings of the English romantic movement: a study in eighteenth century literature*. Ginn.
- Fischer, M. (1982). Marxism and english romanticism: The persistence of the romantic movement. *Nineteenth Century Contexts*, 6(1), 27-46.
- Reid, I. (1996). Romantic ideologies, educational practices, and institutional formations of English. *Journal of educational Administration and History*, 28(1), 22-41.
- Höhn, S. (2025). The case of romantic relationships: analysis of the use of metaphorical frames with 'traditional family' and related terms in political Telegram posts in three countries and three languages. *Lodz Papers in Pragmatics*, (0).
- Railo, E. (2019). *The Haunted Castle: A Study of the Elements of English Romanticism*. Routledge.
- Wellek, R. (1949). The Concept of " Romanticism" in Literary History. I. The Term " Romantic" and Its Derivatives. *Comparative Literature*, 1(1), 1-23.
- Wu, D. (Ed.). (2012). *Romanticism: an anthology*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Riasanovsky, N. V. (1992). *The emergence of romanticism*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Connell, P. (2001). *Romanticism, Economics and the Question of 'culture'*. OUP Oxford.
- Cunningham, A., & Jardine, N. (Eds.). (1990). *Romanticism and the Sciences*. CUP Archive.
- Watson, J. R. (2014). *English Poetry of the Romantic Period 1789-1830*. Routledge.

Received: 26.01.2025

Revised: 28.01.2025

Accepted: 03.02.2025

Published: 06.02.2025



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718