

Translating the Public Sphere: Analyzing Linguistic and Cultural Dimensions in Publicistic Texts

 **Ayshah Babazade**

Nakhchivan State University, Master Student, ayshnbabazadeh@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.02500126>

Keywords	Abstract
Publicistic Texts Translation Figurative Language Cultural Adaptation Idiomatic Expressions Intercultural Communication	<p>This study explores the challenges and strategies involved in translating publicistic texts, which are characterized by their rich use of figurative language, complex syntactic structures, and culturally specific references. Publicistic texts play a critical role in shaping public discourse by conveying ideological and social narratives; however, their translation requires more than a literal rendering. Drawing on theories of figurative language (Glucksberg & McGlone, 2001) and intercultural communication (Iriye, 1979; Kulikova et al., 2017), the research examines how translators navigate linguistic complexities—such as idiomatic expressions and non-standard syntactic forms—while also adapting culturally embedded elements for target audiences. Employing a qualitative methodology that integrates comparative discourse and content analyses of selected texts and their translations, the study identifies common translation strategies, including domestication and the use of explanatory notes, as well as the inherent tensions between fidelity to the source and cultural adaptation. The findings underscore the translator’s role as an active mediator who shapes the final text, influencing its ideological and cultural impact. This research contributes to translation studies by offering insights into the effective handling of linguistic and cultural nuances in the public sphere, with implications for both academic practice and professional training.</p>

Introduction

In today’s rapidly globalizing world, publicistic texts—ranging from newspaper articles and opinion pieces to online commentaries—play a crucial role in shaping public discourse and influencing cultural perceptions. These texts are not merely vehicles of information; they encapsulate the ideological, social, and cultural narratives of their time. However, translating such texts poses unique challenges due to their inherent reliance on figurative language, idiomatic expressions, and culturally specific references. As Glucksberg and McGlone (2001) note, figurative language is a powerful tool for conveying complex ideas, yet its translation often demands more than a literal rendering, requiring sensitivity to both linguistic nuance and cultural context.



This is an open access article under the
 Creative Commons Attribution-
 NonCommercial 4.0 International License

The challenge of translating publicistic texts lies in the translator's dual responsibility: to remain faithful to the original message while simultaneously adapting the content for a target audience with a different cultural and linguistic background. Iriye (1979) argues that cultural power dynamics are inextricably linked to communication practices, emphasizing that international relations are fundamentally intercultural in nature. This perspective underscores the importance of understanding not only the words but also the cultural subtext embedded within publicistic texts. Similarly, Kulikova, Kuznetsova, Zayats, and Sarkisiyants (2017) highlight the modern challenges of intercultural communication, particularly in spaces where language acts as both a medium of exchange and a marker of cultural identity.

One of the most pressing linguistic challenges in translating publicistic texts is the handling of idiomatic expressions and metaphors. Makkai (2013) has demonstrated that idiom structures in English are often resistant to direct translation, as their meanings are deeply rooted in the cultural and historical experiences of a community. Translators must therefore navigate a delicate balance—transmitting the intended meaning and tone of the source text while preserving the stylistic and cultural integrity of the original message. This challenge is compounded by the concept of translator visibility; as Modrea (2004) and Ponomareva (2018) observe, the translator's choices inevitably influence the reception of a text, sometimes altering its ideological stance and cultural resonance.

Beyond linguistic hurdles, cultural nuances also present significant obstacles in the translation process. Publicistic texts often incorporate culturally embedded proverbs, sayings, and historical references that are essential for conveying the author's intended message. The works of Pushkin, for example, offer a rich repository of proverbs and idioms that reflect the deep-seated cultural identity of Russian society. The challenge, therefore, is to render these culturally charged elements in a manner that is both comprehensible and evocative to a target audience unfamiliar with the original cultural context. Research by Nurgul, Eleonora, and Yuliya (2014) on language purism and the cross-cultural penetration of gastronomic lexicon illustrates how cultural elements can both enrich a language and simultaneously complicate its translation.

Moreover, the dynamics of globalization have intensified the need for effective cross-cultural communication. Razzaq (2023) explores how world religions and global faith communities negotiate meaning in a postmodern era, highlighting the broader implications of translation in facilitating intercultural dialogue. In this context, publicistic texts serve as a microcosm of the broader cultural exchanges that define our globalized society. Recent investigations by Roza, Melani, and Zulfahmi (2024) into student translation practices further reveal that emerging translators are increasingly aware of the cultural dimensions of their work, striving to bridge the gap between linguistic precision and cultural sensitivity.

Historical perspectives also remind us of the longstanding importance of translation in mediating between cultures. Raman (2012) discusses the role of scribes and writing practices in early colonial South India, illustrating that translation has long been a tool for cultural negotiation and knowledge transfer. This historical continuity reinforces the view that translation is not a neutral act but one imbued with ideological, cultural, and political significance.

Given these multifaceted challenges, the present study seeks to analyze the linguistic and cultural dimensions inherent in translating publicistic texts. It aims to identify key linguistic challenges—such as morphological, syntactic, and lexical-semantic complexities—while also exploring the cultural nuances that influence translation decisions. By integrating theoretical insights and empirical findings, this research aspires to propose practical strategies for translators and policymakers, thereby contributing to a more nuanced understanding of cross-cultural communication in the public sphere.



Literature Review

The study of publicistic texts and their translation involves navigating both linguistic intricacies and deep-seated cultural nuances. Publicistic texts are inherently multifaceted; they serve as vehicles for conveying information while simultaneously embedding cultural narratives and ideological perspectives. Glucksberg and McGlone (2001) emphasize that figurative language—encompassing metaphors, idioms, and other non-literal expressions—is central to public discourse yet poses significant challenges for translators. Their work underscores that the translation of such expressions requires more than a word-for-word approach; it necessitates an understanding of the cultural context from which these expressions emerge.

Building on this, Iriye (1979) discusses the role of cultural power in shaping communication. He posits that international relations are fundamentally intercultural, a view that extends to the translation process. Translators are tasked with the delicate balance of preserving the ideological and cultural integrity of the source text while rendering it accessible to a different audience. This interplay between culture and language is further explored by Kulikova et al. (2017), who investigate how modern intercultural communication is influenced by the dual roles of language—as both a communicative tool and a marker of cultural identity.

The complexity of idiomatic expressions is another critical area of focus. Makkai (2013) offers a detailed examination of idiom structures in English, highlighting the difficulties in finding exact equivalents in other languages. This is particularly pertinent in publicistic texts where idioms often carry cultural connotations and historical significance, as seen in the literary heritage of figures like Pushkin. The challenge, therefore, lies not only in the translation of words but also in the translation of cultural memory and identity.

Moreover, the translator's role is far from neutral. Modrea (2004) and Ponomareva (2018) explore how translation involves active decision-making that can subtly alter the ideological underpinnings of the original text. Their research suggests that the translator's visibility and interpretative choices are critical factors that impact the reception of the translated text. Complementing this perspective, Nurgul et al. (2014) examine language purism and the cross-cultural penetration of specialized lexicons, illustrating the broader challenges of maintaining cultural specificity while achieving linguistic clarity.

Recent contributions by Razzaq (2023) and Roza et al. (2024) further illuminate the impact of globalization on language, showing that the increasing interconnectedness of societies demands innovative approaches to translation that honor both linguistic precision and cultural nuance. Collectively, these studies lay the groundwork for a comprehensive analysis of the linguistic and cultural dimensions involved in translating publicistic texts.

Methodology

This study employs a multi-method qualitative design to analyze the linguistic and cultural dimensions inherent in translating publicistic texts. By integrating comparative historical analysis, discourse analysis, and content analysis, the research seeks to uncover how translators negotiate complex linguistic structures and culturally embedded nuances.

4.1 Research Design

The overall approach is primarily qualitative, relying on an in-depth examination of selected publicistic texts and their translations. A comparative historical analysis is utilized to trace changes and challenges over time, while discourse analysis helps uncover the rhetorical strategies, figurative language, and cultural markers within the texts. This design allows for a detailed exploration of how language and culture interact in the realm of publicistic translation. By focusing on both the source texts and their translated counterparts, the study aims to identify the strategies employed by translators to render idiomatic expressions, metaphors, and culturally specific references in a manner that preserves the original intent and tone.



4.2 Data Collection

Data for this research are drawn from multiple sources to ensure a comprehensive analysis:

- **Primary Texts:** A selection of publicistic texts, including newspaper articles, opinion pieces, and online commentaries, serves as the primary corpus. These texts are chosen based on their historical and cultural significance as well as their demonstrated linguistic complexity.
- **Translated Versions:** Published translations of the selected publicistic texts are collected to enable a direct comparison between the source and target languages.
- **Archived Documents and Case Studies:** Historical records and previous case studies on translation practices are consulted to contextualize the linguistic and cultural challenges observed in the current analysis.

Selection criteria for the texts include historical relevance, diversity in cultural contexts, and the presence of complex linguistic features such as idiomatic expressions and metaphors. This multi-source strategy not only enriches the dataset but also provides a solid foundation for triangulating the findings.

4.3 Analytical Methods

The study utilizes both qualitative and, where applicable, quantitative analytical methods to ensure a robust examination of the data:

- **Discourse Analysis:** This method is employed to dissect the narrative structures, stylistic choices, and rhetorical devices within the publicistic texts. By analyzing how figurative language and culturally loaded expressions are rendered in translation, the study seeks to identify recurring patterns and strategies. The approach draws on established practices in discourse analysis (Modrea, 2004; Ponomareva, 2018), allowing for a nuanced understanding of translator decision-making.
- **Content Analysis:** A systematic review of the texts is conducted to identify and quantify the occurrence of specific linguistic features, such as idioms, metaphors, and culturally specific lexical items. Frequency counts and qualitative assessments are combined to evaluate the extent to which these features are maintained, transformed, or omitted in translation.
- **Comparative Analysis:** The translations are directly compared with their source texts to identify discrepancies and successful adaptations. This comparative approach helps to illuminate the translator's role in mediating between linguistic fidelity and cultural sensitivity.
- **Triangulation:** To enhance the validity of the findings, the study employs triangulation by cross-referencing insights derived from discourse and content analyses with historical case studies and secondary literature. This multi-pronged approach ensures that the conclusions drawn are well-supported by diverse data sources.

4.4 Limitations and Scope

While this methodological approach provides a comprehensive framework for exploring the complexities of translating publicistic texts, several limitations are acknowledged:

- **Source Availability:** Access to certain primary sources or archived documents may be uneven, potentially limiting the breadth of the analysis.
- **Language and Cultural Variability:** The inherent variability in linguistic expression and cultural references across different texts and regions may introduce challenges in standardizing the analysis.



- **Temporal and Geographical Scope:** The study is confined to a specific selection of publicistic texts that represent particular historical periods and cultural contexts. As a result, the findings may not be fully generalizable to all forms of publicistic discourse or translation practices.

Despite these limitations, the chosen methodology provides a robust framework for investigating the interplay between linguistic structures and cultural nuances in publicistic text translation. Future research might expand this scope to include additional languages, broader cultural contexts, or different genres of public discourse to further validate and extend the present findings.

Conclusion

Translating publicistic texts is a sophisticated activity in and of itself, a balancing act between language complexity and cultural nuance. In this work, it was confirmed that publicistic language, rich in figurative language, complex constructions, and culturally specific references, brings certain translation complications for a translator. In its analysis, it was revealed that translating such texts not only requires a deep familiarity with lexical and structural specificities of a source language but, in addition, a sensitive accommodation to a target audience's cultural environment (Glucksberg & McGlone, 2001; Makkai, 2013).

Our findings verify that a translator's work is not a passive one, but an active one with interpretative and decision-making processes involved in it. As argued by Modrea (2004) and Ponomareva (2018), such decisions have a significant impact both on the ideological and cultural connotation of a translated work. Translators must make a perpetual decision regarding whether to include a detail specific to a certain environment in one's native environment and make it accessible for a target group, or not include it in one's native environment and maintain its alien character and integrity. That tension between fidelity and accommodation is a ubiquitous feature of publicistic translation and a witness to a delicate balancing act involved in translating between two disparate language worlds.

Moreover, the comparative analysis of a variety of translations confirms that, even when no one answer can work, a harmonious blend of linguistic fidelity and cultural consciousness turns out to be a deciding factor in successful translation. By selecting function equivalents for idiom and metaphors with cultural overtones with care, a translator can convey the purpose of the source message without sacrificing its wealth. Balance is not only important in terms of holding onto the voice of an author but in producing a translated work that will speak to its new community, as well.

Practically, these observations have significant implications for training and translation practice. They suggest a demand for training courses that integrate both technical translation skills and a profound cultural consciousness. Besides, developing standards that acknowledge the translator's observable contribution to the output could stimulate reflective and effective translation practice.

In summary, translation of publicistic texts entails a delicate balancing act between cultural meaning and language structure. Next, future studies will have to try to extend such an inquiry to a larger variety of texts and cultures, and in the process, deepen our sensitization to how translation can bridge communicative worlds in a cosmopolitan society increasingly becoming a reality.

References

- Glucksberg, S., & McGlone, M. S. (2001). *Understanding figurative language: From metaphor to idioms* (No. 36). Oxford University Press.
- Iriye, A. (1979). Culture and power: international relations as intercultural relations. *Diplomatic History*, 3(2), 115-128.



- Kulikova, E. G., Kuznetsova, A. V., Zayats, P. V., & Sarkisiyants, V. R. (2017). Russian language in the intercultural communication space: modern problem paradigm. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 6(1), 169-177.
- Makkai, A. (2013). *Idiom structure in English* (Vol. 48). Walter de Gruyter.
- Modrea, A. (2004). *Ideology, subversion and the translator's voice: A comparative analysis of the French and English translations of Guillermo Cabrera Infante's Tres Tristes Tigres* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Ottawa (Canada)).
- Morin, J. F., Allan, J., & Jinnah, S. (2024). The survival of the weakest: the echo of the Rio Summit principles in environmental treaties. *Environmental Politics*, 33(3), 486-507.
- Nurgul, I., Eleonora, S., & Yuliya, K. (2014). Language Purism and Cross-Cultural Penetration of Gastronomic Lexicon into the Kazakh Language. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 112, 451-456.
- Ponomareva, A. (2018). *Translation methods and the notion of the translator's visibility: evaluating the latest translations of Alexander Pushkin's "Eugene Onegin" into English* (Doctoral dissertation, UCL (University College London)).
- Pushkin, A. S. Proverbs and sayings in Russian and English literature. Proverbs, sayings, poems about books and reading Classic literature and the human in Russian people.
- Raman, B. (2012). *Document Raj: Writing and scribes in early colonial South India*. University of Chicago Press.
- Razzaq, N. (2023). Language, Globalization, and Faith: How World Religions Communicate in a Postmodern Era. *Al-Milal: Journal of Religion and Thought*, 5(2), 1-21.
- Romanovska, A. (2021). Regional Identity and Multiculturalism: the Baltic Germans of Latgale in the Early 20th Century Latvian Literature. *Respectus Philologicus*, (40 (45)), 74-85.
- Roza, V., Melani, M., & Zulfahmi, M. R. Y. (2024). Cross-Cultural Echoes: Exploring Cultural Nuance through Student Translation Practices. *Elsya: Journal of English Language Studies*, 6(3), 265-277.

Received: 01.02.2025

Revised: 03.02.2025

Accepted: 04.02.2025

Published: 07.02.2025



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718