

Artificial Intelligence and the Design of Politics in the Modern World

 ¹ Kamran Valizada

<https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.026001001>

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence
 Politics
 Governance; Public
 Opinion
 Algorithmic Decision-Making
 Democratic Processes
 Policy Simulation

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly integrated into political decision-making, governance, and electoral processes, shifting politics from a human-centered, intuition-driven domain to one that is data-driven and algorithmically guided. AI technologies such as machine learning and big data analytics assist in policy planning and simulation, enabling policymakers to anticipate the social and economic consequences of decisions. AI-driven analytics can monitor public opinion, predict voter behavior, and enable micro-targeted campaigning, reshaping the dynamics of elections. Beyond domestic politics, AI models support crisis management and diplomacy by simulating scenarios to predict conflicts, assess risks, and inform negotiation strategies. Additionally, AI enhances cybersecurity and helps detect disinformation, protecting democratic processes against manipulation. However, the use of AI in politics raises significant ethical, social, and legal issues. Algorithmic decision-making may embed biases, reduce transparency, and concentrate power in the hands of those who control these systems. Concerns about data privacy, accountability, and equitable access further complicate AI's integration into public life. Thus, while AI can make governance more efficient, responsive, and participatory, its deployment must be balanced with rigorous ethical standards, transparency measures, and regulatory oversight to preserve democratic integrity. Ultimately, AI's rise represents a transformative shift in modern politics, offering both opportunities and challenges that society must carefully navigate.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force reshaping political structures, governance, and citizen engagement in the 21st century (Campbell-Verduyn & Fast, 2020). This paper examines how AI technologies influence policy-making, political communication, and

¹ VALIZADA, K. Dr., Nakhchivan State University. Email: mr.kamranvalizada@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8999-3388>



This is an open access article under the
 Creative Commons Attribution-
 NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
 ISSN 3030-1718

electoral dynamics, while addressing their ethical and democratic implications. Through a synthesis of contemporary literature and case studies, we analyze AI-driven tools such as algorithmic decision-making, predictive analytics, and automated governance platforms, following a critical approach to studying algorithms (Kitchin, 2017). The findings reveal that AI has the potential to enhance administrative efficiency, optimize policy outcomes, and strengthen civic participation. These benefits align with recent studies highlighting AI's promise in improving public sector decision-making (Ponce & Ortega, 2021). However, challenges including algorithmic bias, surveillance, misinformation, and power asymmetries pose significant risks to democratic institutions. This study argues that integrating AI in political processes requires transparent frameworks, regulatory oversight, and citizen-centered governance models to ensure ethical and equitable outcomes. This research contributes to the understanding of AI's role in modern political design and offers strategic directions for policymakers and scholars navigating the intersection of technology and governance. The rise of AI represents a paradigm shift in global politics. Traditionally, political design has been grounded in institutional frameworks, human decision-making, and normative principles of governance. Today, AI technologies—from machine learning algorithms to natural language processing—introduce new mechanisms through which political actors shape policies, communicate with constituents, and analyze complex societal challenges. These developments have even prompted calls to “build digital democracy” by leveraging technology to strengthen governance (Helbing & Pournaras, 2015). This study investigates the multidimensional impact of AI on modern political systems, with a focus on its potential benefits, the challenges it poses, and its ethical implications.

To guide this investigation, the following research questions are posed:

- **RQ1:** How does the integration of AI in politics alter policy-making, governance structures, and public administration?
- **RQ2:** What ethical, legal, and democratic challenges arise from the use of AI-driven decision-making and communication in political processes?
- **RQ3:** What regulatory and governance frameworks can ensure that AI is employed in politics in a transparent, accountable manner that aligns with democratic values and preserves citizen trust?

2. AI and Political Decision-Making

AI applications in politics range from predictive analytics for policy evaluation to automated tools for resource allocation. Governments are increasingly employing AI to forecast economic trends, optimize public service delivery, and enhance crisis response. For instance, machine-learning algorithms have been used to anticipate social unrest or to target public health interventions, allowing policymakers to design proactive measures. Such tools can improve administrative



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

accuracy and responsiveness, but they also carry the risk of reinforcing biases present in training data, potentially leading to unequal or discriminatory outcomes. In short, AI is becoming embedded in the mechanisms of political decision-making, fundamentally altering how policies are formulated, implemented, and evaluated. Whereas traditional decision-making relies on human judgment, expert analysis, and deliberation, AI introduces computational tools capable of processing vast data volumes, detecting patterns, and generating predictive insights that can support evidence-based governance.

2.1. Predictive Analytics and Policy Formulation

AI-driven predictive analytics enable governments to anticipate social, economic, and political trends with greater accuracy. Machine learning models can forecast indicators such as unemployment rates, disease outbreaks, or patterns of social unrest, thereby allowing policymakers to design proactive interventions. In public health, for example, AI models have been utilized to predict the spread of infectious diseases and to optimize vaccination campaign strategies. By simulating policy scenarios and outcomes, predictive analytics help decision-makers evaluate the potential impact of policies before implementation. The result is a more informed policy formulation process that can improve societal outcomes and reduce unintended consequences. These data-driven approaches exemplify the potential of AI to enhance strategic planning in governance.

2.2. Resource Allocation and Administrative Efficiency

AI systems support decision-making in resource allocation by optimizing how resources are distributed and services delivered. Smart algorithms can analyze complex datasets to determine where to allocate budgetary resources, which infrastructure projects to prioritize, or which regions are most in need of emergency aid. By detecting patterns and inefficiencies that humans might overlook, AI can help streamline government operations. For instance, some city administrations have piloted AI-assisted budgeting tools to forecast infrastructure maintenance needs based on demographic and economic data. Such AI-enabled administrative tools increase operational efficiency, reduce human error, and can enhance transparency in governance by basing decisions on objective data criteria. Early implementations in public sector management have demonstrated improved service delivery and cost savings (Wirtz et al., 2019). Overall, leveraging AI for resource allocation holds the promise of a more efficient and responsive public administration.

2.3. Algorithmic Decision-Making and Its Risks

Despite its advantages, AI-based decision-making carries inherent risks. Algorithms tend to reflect the biases present in their training data, which means they may inadvertently perpetuate or even exacerbate existing societal biases. A notable example is predictive policing tools: in several cases, these AI systems have been criticized for disproportionately targeting marginalized communities



due to biased historical crime data (O’Neil, 2016). Without careful oversight, algorithmic governance can lead to unequal or discriminatory policy outcomes, undermining fairness and justice. Furthermore, many AI models operate as “black boxes” – their internal logic is opaque and not easily interpretable to humans (Pasquale, 2015). This opacity can undermine accountability, as neither citizens nor officials may fully understand how an AI arrived at a given decision. If public policies are informed by inscrutable algorithms, it becomes difficult for democratic institutions to provide oversight or for the public to trust the outcomes. In short, uncritical reliance on algorithmic decision-making may erode transparency and accountability in governance, highlighting the need to address bias, interpretability, and oversight in any political AI system (Kroll et al., 2017).

2.4. Case Studies in AI-Governed Decision-Making

Several governments have begun integrating AI into their decision-making processes, yielding both successes and raising concerns:

- **Estonia:** The Estonian government has embedded AI into its advanced e-government platform, using predictive models in areas such as healthcare provision, taxation, and emergency services. Estonia’s experience demonstrates enhanced efficiency and personalized public services, while the government maintains transparency through open data initiatives to retain public trust.
- **China:** China employs AI extensively in governance and social management. From urban planning algorithms to nationwide surveillance and social credit systems, AI is used to predict traffic patterns, monitor public sentiment, and enhance public safety. However, China’s model raises ethical concerns about surveillance, privacy, and citizen autonomy under a highly centralized, state-controlled AI governance approach.
- **United States:** Various U.S. federal and local agencies have experimented with AI to optimize operations, such as algorithms for budget allocation, fraud detection in welfare programs, and policy simulations for economic planning. While these efforts show promise in efficiency gains, they have also spurred debates about fairness and accountability – for example, questioning whether automated decision systems in criminal justice or social services might reinforce biases. These cases highlight the importance of ethical oversight alongside innovation.

2.5. Balancing Efficiency and Ethics

Effectively integrating AI into political decision-making requires a careful balance between efficiency gains and ethical governance. Policymakers must implement mechanisms to audit and evaluate algorithms for fairness and accuracy, and to ensure transparency in how decisions are reached (Kroll et al., 2017). Incorporating human oversight in AI-driven processes – a “human-in-



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

the-loop” approach – is crucial for maintaining accountability and public trust (Rahwan, 2018). In practice, this means that algorithmic recommendations should be subject to review and approval by human officials, especially in high-stakes policy areas. Additionally, developing strong ethical frameworks for AI use in governance is critical to align technology with democratic values (Dignum, 2018; Floridi & Cowls, 2019). Such frameworks should address issues of bias mitigation, explainability, data privacy, and the right to appeal or redress algorithmic decisions. Interdisciplinary collaboration between technologists, policymakers, legal experts, and ethicists is necessary to design AI systems that are not only efficient but also fair and accountable. By proactively establishing transparency and oversight standards, governments can harness AI’s benefits for decision-making while safeguarding against its risks. In summary, AI can enhance political decision-making by providing predictive insights, improving resource allocation, and supporting data-driven policy design. However, its implementation must be guided by robust ethical standards, transparency measures, and continuous human oversight to prevent bias, protect citizens’ rights, and strengthen democratic governance.

3. Electoral Politics and Political Communication

AI is redefining electoral politics by transforming how political actors communicate with citizens, influence public opinion, and conduct campaigns. Traditional political communication relied on mass media broadcasts, public rallies, and printed materials that delivered one-size-fits-all messages. AI-driven tools, however, enable highly personalized and data-driven campaign strategies that target voters with unprecedented precision. This section examines how AI impacts campaign strategies, information flow, public opinion monitoring, and the ethical landscape of elections.

3.1. AI-Driven Campaign Strategies

Modern political campaigns leverage AI algorithms to analyze vast amounts of voter data – from demographics and voting history to social media behavior and consumer preferences. Machine learning models segment the electorate into fine-grained categories and predict individual voters’ preferences and concerns. Using these insights, campaigns can tailor their messages and outreach strategies to resonate with specific groups or even individuals. This micro-targeting allows political messaging to be far more persuasive and efficient than traditional mass communication. For example, AI can identify undecided or swing voters and determine what issues they care about most, enabling campaigns to craft personalized advertisements or social media content addressing those exact concerns. By optimizing resource allocation (such as where to focus canvassing or advertising budgets), AI-driven analytics help campaigns maximize impact. While these techniques can increase engagement by speaking to voters’ interests, they also raise questions about voter manipulation and privacy. Nevertheless, AI-powered precision targeting has undeniably become a central feature of contemporary electoral strategy in many democracies.



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

3.2. Social Media, Bots, and Information Flow

Social media platforms play a pivotal role in political communication today, and they heavily utilize AI algorithms to curate and prioritize content for users. Political actors take advantage of this algorithmic curation by employing AI-driven bots and automated accounts to amplify their messages, simulate grassroots support, and influence online discourse. These bots can flood social networks with supportive messages, respond to trending topics in real time, or attack political opponents, all with minimal human intervention. AI algorithms on platforms determine which political posts users see, creating feedback loops that can reinforce existing opinions. While such tools can help campaigns mobilize supporters and spread their narratives rapidly, they also contribute to the spread of misinformation and the formation of “echo chambers” where users are only exposed to like-minded views. Studies show that AI-mediated information flows on social media can significantly shape voter perceptions, often bypassing traditional journalistic gatekeepers (Margetts et al., 2016). This automation of information distribution can distort the public sphere by elevating sensational or misleading content. The prevalence of deepfake videos and AI-generated propaganda further exacerbates these challenges by making it harder for citizens to discern truth from falsehood. The net effect is that AI is altering not just the content of political communication, but the fundamental channels and gatekeeping functions that underpin democratic debate.

3.3. Sentiment Analysis and Public Opinion Monitoring

AI tools, particularly natural language processing and sentiment analysis, allow campaigns and governments to monitor public opinion in real time. By analyzing data from social media posts, blogs, online forums, and news articles, these tools can gauge the public’s sentiment on candidates, policies, or current events. Political strategists use sentiment analysis to identify emerging issues that resonate with citizens or to detect shifts in public mood. For instance, a spike in negative sentiment about a policy proposal on social media might prompt a campaign to address concerns or adjust its messaging quickly. Likewise, governments can use AI-driven analysis to understand citizen feedback on public services or to anticipate public reactions to policy changes. This real-time feedback loop makes political communication more responsive and adaptive than ever before. It enables what might be called a “data-driven dialogue” between policymakers and the public: leaders float ideas, measure the reaction through AI analytics, and refine their approach accordingly. While this can strengthen civic engagement by giving officials a clearer picture of public needs, it also means that political messaging can be continuously optimized for emotional impact. There is a risk that leaders could govern by chasing algorithmically detected public sentiment (“politics by analytics”) at the expense of principled decision-making or long-term planning. Nonetheless, as a tool, AI-based public opinion monitoring provides valuable insights that, if used responsibly, can help align policies more closely with citizen preferences.

3.4. Ethical and Democratic Considerations



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

The use of AI in electoral politics raises pressing ethical and democratic questions. Techniques like micro-targeting and algorithmic personalization, while effective, can lead to manipulation of voter behavior by showing individuals only the information that will influence their vote, often without their awareness. This personalized propaganda challenges the transparency of political campaigns – voters may not realize why they are seeing certain ads or messages, and public debate can become fragmented as different people receive vastly different campaign narratives. Additionally, the deployment of AI-driven disinformation, such as deepfake videos or automated “astroturf” campaigns (fake grassroots movements), threatens to undermine the integrity of democratic discourse. Such tactics can spread false information and erode voters’ ability to make informed decisions. These developments have alarmed observers who warn that AI could corrode democratic processes if left unchecked (Morozov, 2019). There is also the concern of privacy: political AI tools often rely on harvesting personal data to profile voters, raising questions about consent and data protection. To address these issues, robust regulatory frameworks and norms are needed. Some jurisdictions are moving toward stricter regulation of online political advertising and algorithmic transparency in campaigns. Platform accountability is equally important – social media companies are under pressure to reveal how their algorithms decide what content to show and to police malicious bot activity. Finally, fostering digital literacy among citizens is crucial so that voters can recognize and resist manipulative tactics. Balancing the efficiency and reach of AI-powered political communication with safeguards for truth, fairness, and privacy is an ongoing challenge for modern democracies.

3.5. Case Studies

Different countries and regions have experienced the impact of AI on electoral politics in distinct ways:

- **United States:** AI-powered voter targeting has been at the center of recent U.S. elections. Presidential campaigns now routinely use big data analytics and machine learning models to identify and micro-target voters, as seen in 2016 and 2020. These methods have improved campaign efficiency and message precision, but they also sparked controversy over misinformation and data privacy (e.g., the Cambridge Analytica scandal). The U.S. experience highlights both the electoral advantages of AI and the need for oversight to prevent abuses.
- **India:** In the world’s largest democracy, political parties have adopted AI-based analytics platforms to influence elections. By combining demographic data with voters’ social media and smartphone usage patterns, campaign strategists in India segment the electorate and deliver highly customized messages in multiple languages. AI tools were notably used in recent national and state elections for sentiment analysis and WhatsApp message targeting. While these tactics have increased voter outreach and engagement across India’s diverse



population, they have also raised concerns about the spread of fake news and the transparency of campaign practices in the digital realm.

- **European Union:** The EU has taken a more cautious and regulatory approach to AI in politics. Several European countries and the European Parliament have looked into regulating political micro-targeting and ensuring transparency in online political ads. The EU's focus has been on protecting voter data and preventing algorithmic discrimination. Notably, European authorities are formulating rules (under broader initiatives like the proposed AI Act) to govern high-risk AI applications, which would likely include those used in election contexts. This case emphasizes transparency, accountability, and the protection of democratic processes as core priorities in the face of AI-driven campaigns.

In each of these cases, AI's role in elections illustrates a double-edged sword: it offers innovative ways to engage and inform voters, yet it can also be misused to mislead or manipulate them. As a result, the debate over AI in electoral politics is not just about what the technology can do, but about what ethical boundaries and regulatory standards should be in place.

3.6. Balancing Innovation and Integrity in Campaigns

AI has unquestionably expanded the toolkit of political communication by enabling hyper-targeted outreach, real-time sentiment monitoring, and data-driven voter engagement. These innovations have the potential to make campaigns more efficient and responsive to the electorate. At the same time, they introduce serious challenges to transparency, fairness, and trust in the political process. Ensuring that AI enhances rather than undermines democratic participation will require a concerted effort by multiple stakeholders. Policymakers must update election laws to account for AI-driven tactics, requiring greater transparency in political advertising and data use. Technology companies should implement and enforce policies against automated disinformation and provide more openness about how their algorithms distribute political content. Civil society and the media also play a role in fact-checking and educating voters about new forms of manipulation. Ultimately, maintaining citizen trust in elections in the AI era is paramount. Democratic societies will need to strike a balance where technological innovation in campaigning is embraced, but always paired with ethical safeguards and accountability measures. By doing so, AI can be harnessed to improve political communication and engagement without compromising the integrity of electoral processes and the fundamental principles of democracy (Ananny & Crawford, 2018; Zuiderwijk et al., 2020).

4. Governance, Ethics, and Regulation

Integrating AI into governance necessitates careful ethical considerations and robust regulatory oversight. The deployment of algorithmic decision-making must balance efficiency gains with accountability, fairness, and respect for citizen autonomy (Binnis, 2018). On one hand, AI can



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

make governance more data-driven and proactive; on the other hand, if left unchecked, it could concentrate power in the hands of those who control the algorithms and datasets. Ensuring that AI-driven governance is transparent and accountable is essential to maintain public trust. Governments should establish clear guidelines for algorithmic transparency – citizens have a right to understand how important decisions (such as welfare allocation, law enforcement targeting, or immigration rulings) are made by AI systems. Moreover, there must be mechanisms for auditing algorithms and evaluating their impacts, ideally by independent bodies, to prevent and correct biases or errors in automated decisions. Issues of data privacy are also paramount: state use of AI often involves processing large quantities of personal data, so strong data protection laws and privacy safeguards need to be in place to prevent misuse or surveillance.

International approaches to AI governance in the public sphere illustrate differing priorities. For example, the European Union has proposed a comprehensive regulatory framework for AI (European Commission, 2021). The draft EU Artificial Intelligence Act adopts a risk-based approach, imposing strict requirements and compliance mechanisms for “high-risk” AI systems (which would likely include many governmental and political applications). This reflects a priority on human rights, safety, and transparency – AI tools that can affect people’s lives are subject to thorough oversight and documentation under the proposed rules. In contrast, China’s approach to AI governance is more centralized and state-driven. The Chinese government’s model emphasizes national strategic advantage and social stability; it heavily invests in AI for governance (such as surveillance and citizen scoring systems) while exercising tight control over data and AI platforms. Ethical and legal checks in China are primarily internal and geared toward ensuring AI serves government-defined social objectives, raising concerns from a liberal democratic perspective about privacy and civil liberties. These divergent approaches – the EU’s legalistic, compliance-focused regulations versus China’s centralized control – reflect how sociopolitical values shape AI governance. They highlight that there is no one-size-fits-all model: democratic societies may prioritize transparency, individual rights, and multi-stakeholder input, whereas more authoritarian contexts prioritize state control and rapid deployment. Regardless of the model, all governments face the challenge of maximizing AI’s benefits in governance while minimizing its risks. Going forward, crafting effective AI regulations will likely require international dialogue, as AI systems often cross borders (through tech firms or shared algorithms), and setting global norms could help prevent harmful uses. In summary, strong governance of AI – through laws, ethical guidelines, and institutional oversight – is critical to ensure that algorithmic power is wielded in alignment with societal values and does not undermine the rule of law or democratic accountability.

5. Challenges and Opportunities

AI presents a complex mix of opportunities and challenges for the design of modern political systems. On the opportunity side, AI has the potential to enable more data-driven policymaking, where decisions are informed by comprehensive analysis and evidence. This could lead to better-



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

targeted public policies and quicker responses to social issues. AI might also facilitate improved public service delivery – for example, chatbots assisting citizens with government services or intelligent systems optimizing traffic and utilities in smart cities. Additionally, there are prospects for more participatory governance models: AI could help process citizen input from e-participation platforms or simulate the outcomes of participatory budgeting, thereby strengthening the link between citizens and decision-makers. These innovations promise a government that is more efficient, responsive, and attuned to the needs of its people.

On the challenge side, several serious concerns accompany the rise of AI in politics. One major challenge is algorithmic bias: as discussed earlier, if AI systems learn from biased data, they can perpetuate discrimination and inequality (Tufekci, 2015; Mittelstadt et al., 2016). This is particularly troubling in sensitive areas like criminal justice, social services, or hiring for public jobs. Another concern is the expansion of surveillance and erosion of privacy. AI-enhanced surveillance tools (facial recognition, data mining, etc.) give governments powerful capabilities that, if misused, could infringe on civil liberties and create a “Big Brother” effect. The spread of disinformation is also exacerbated by AI, as seen with deepfakes and bot-driven propaganda that can mislead citizens and distort public discourse. Furthermore, AI’s growing role raises the issue of concentration of power. Advanced AI technologies are often in the hands of a few wealthy states or large corporations, potentially intensifying global and domestic power asymmetries. If a small group controls the most powerful AI tools and vast troves of data, they could wield disproportionate influence over society’s direction (Rahwan et al., 2019). This concentration could marginalize smaller nations or minority voices in policy debates and make it harder to hold powerful actors accountable.

Addressing these challenges will require proactive effort and new forms of collaboration. Policymakers, technologists, academics, and civil society must work together to develop frameworks that maximize AI’s benefits while mitigating its risks. This includes creating standards for algorithmic fairness and transparency, as well as oversight bodies to enforce them (Ananny & Crawford, 2018; Zuiderveld et al., 2020). It also involves updating legal definitions of rights like privacy and establishing accountability for AI-driven decisions – for instance, clarifying who is responsible when an algorithm makes a harmful mistake. Interdisciplinary collaboration is key: ethicists and social scientists should be involved in AI design processes, and engineers should be educated about societal implications. Public engagement is equally important; citizens need avenues to voice concerns about AI policies and to participate in shaping how these technologies are used. By increasing transparency in AI system design and deployment, governments can allow external experts to audit and understand these systems, helping to catch problems early. In essence, while AI offers tremendous opportunities to improve governance and political life, realizing those opportunities sustainably demands foresight, vigilance, and a strong commitment to democratic principles. With the right safeguards, AI can be a tool for enhancing democracy – improving decision quality and citizen involvement. Without such safeguards, however, AI could become a



force that undermines democratic values. Recognizing this dual potential is the first step toward ensuring that the evolution of AI and politics yields equitable and positive outcomes for society.

6. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence is fundamentally reshaping the architecture of modern politics, introducing unprecedented opportunities alongside complex challenges. On one hand, AI enhances political decision-making through predictive analytics, data-driven policy formulation, and optimized resource allocation, enabling governments to respond more efficiently and effectively to societal needs. In electoral politics, AI tools can increase civic engagement by tailoring communication to citizens' interests and by providing real-time feedback to political leaders. These advancements promise a more *predictive, participatory, and evidence-based* politics than ever before. On the other hand, the integration of AI into political systems is fraught with risks and uncertainties. Algorithmic biases can undermine equality and justice, opaque "black-box" systems can erode transparency and accountability, and AI-empowered surveillance or propaganda can threaten individual rights and democratic discourse. The concentration of AI capabilities in powerful states or corporations may exacerbate existing inequalities and distort governance in ways that undermine accountability and citizen autonomy (Rahwan et al., 2019). In sum, AI's influence on politics is a double-edged sword – it can both greatly strengthen and dangerously weaken democratic governance, depending on how it is applied.

To harness AI responsibly in political design, it is essential to adopt transparent, ethical, and inclusive frameworks moving forward. Regulatory oversight must keep pace with technological innovation: laws and guidelines (such as those emerging in the EU) should clearly delineate acceptable uses of AI in public life and impose checks on high-risk applications. There is a need for a "society-in-the-loop" approach (Rahwan, 2018) – ensuring that societal values and human judgment are continually integrated into AI systems that affect the public. This could involve measures like algorithmic impact assessments for new government AI systems, requirements for human review of important automated decisions, and public consultation processes when deploying AI in areas that deeply affect citizens. Human-in-the-loop governance acknowledges that while AI can process information at scale, final authority and accountability should remain with human decision-makers who can interpret contextual nuances and moral considerations. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration will be crucial: technologists must work alongside social scientists, legal scholars, and ethicists to embed principles like fairness, transparency, and accountability into AI design and policy. Educating and empowering citizens is equally important – in an AI-driven political landscape, a digitally literate citizenry better understands and can engage with the technologies influencing their lives. Ultimately, the future of politics in the AI era hinges on our collective capacity to integrate technological innovation with democratic ethical responsibility. If guided by sound principles and oversight, AI can serve as a powerful tool for inclusive, fair, and effective governance. It can help democracies become more resilient and



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

responsive by augmenting human decision-making with data-driven insights. However, without vigilant checks and a commitment to core democratic values, AI could also magnify societal harms or concentrate power unduly. Navigating this emerging landscape of algorithm-driven political life will require care and foresight from scholars, policymakers, and citizens alike. By proactively shaping AI's role in governance – rather than reacting to it – societies can ensure that these technologies strengthen our political institutions and public trust, rather than eroding them. In this way, the transformative potential of AI can be realized in service of democracy, human rights, and the public good.

References

Ananny, M., & Crawford, K. (2018). Seeing without knowing: Limitations of the transparency ideal and its application to algorithmic accountability. *New Media & Society*, 20(3), 973–989.

Binns, R. (2018). Algorithmic accountability and public reason. *Philosophy & Technology*, 31(4), 543–567.

Campbell-Verduyn, M., & Fast, L. (2020). Governing algorithms in politics and society. *Big Data & Society*, 7(1), 1–12.

Dignum, V. (2018). Ethics in artificial intelligence: Introduction to the special issue. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 20(1), 1–3.

European Commission. (2021). Proposal for a regulation laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and amending certain Union legislative acts (COM(2021) 206 final).

Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review*, 1(1).

Helbing, D., & Pournaras, E. (2015). Society: Build digital democracy. *Nature*, 527, 33–34.

Kitchin, R. (2017). Thinking critically about and researching algorithms. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(1), 14–29.

Kroll, J. A., Huey, J., Barocas, S., Felten, E. W., Reidenberg, J. R., Robinson, D. G., & Yu, H. (2017). Accountable algorithms. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 165(3), 633–705.

Margetts, H., John, P., Hale, S., & Yasseri, T. (2016). Political turbulence: How social media shape collective action. Princeton University Press.



Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), 1–21.

Morozov, E. (2019). Digital politics: Understanding the impact of AI on democracy. *Information Technology & Politics*, 16(2), 101–119.

O’Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown Publishing Group.

Pasquale, F. (2015). The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information. Harvard University Press.

Ponce, P., & Ortega, F. (2021). AI in public governance: Opportunities and risks for political decision-making. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(3), 101580.

Rahwan, I. (2018). Society-in-the-loop: Programming the algorithmic social contract. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 20(1), 5–14.

Rahwan, I., Cebrian, M., Obradovich, N., Bongard, J., Bonnefon, J.-F., Breazeal, C., ... Wellman, M. (2019). Machine behaviour. *Nature*, 568(7753), 477–486.

Tufekci, Z. (2015). Algorithmic harms beyond Facebook and Google: Emergent challenges of computational agency. *Colorado Technology Law Journal*, 13, 203–218.

Wirtz, B. W., Weyrer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence and the public sector: Applications and challenges. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 42(7), 596–615.

Zuiderwijk, A., Chen, Y., & Salem, F. (2020). Artificial intelligence for government transparency and accountability: A systematic review. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(4), 101499.

Received: 12.05.2025

Revised: 12.10.2025

Accepted: 01.12.2026

Published: 01.20.2026



This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718