

## Types of Fermatas and Their Expressive Techniques in Conducting Practice

 <sup>1</sup> Shabnam Shirinova

<https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.026001016>

Keywords	Abstract
Fermata Conducting technique Musical expressiveness Choral performance	<p>A fermata is a musical sign placed over a note, chord, or rest to indicate that the sound or silence should be held longer than its written value. Because its duration is not fixed, the fermata becomes one of the most flexible and powerful means of musical expressiveness, especially in choral and ensemble performance where timing must be coordinated collectively. This article analyzes the main types of fermatas and explains their expressive and technical realization in conducting practice. The study differentiates between cut-off (final) fermatas, where the conductor ends both sound and musical movement, and non-cut-off (continuation) fermatas, where the release simultaneously functions as an upbeat for further motion. Fermatas over barlines and over rests are also examined as devices that create caesura, contrast, tension, and rhetorical emphasis. Special attention is given to expressive preparation through ritardando, gradual tempo reduction, and dynamic shaping, which often make the fermata feel emotionally inevitable. The article also discusses how articulation (legato, non-legato, staccato) and dynamic technique interact with fermata execution. Methodologically, the work combines analytical description, comparative interpretation, and pedagogical observation to support practical recommendations for conducting training.</p>

### Introduction

Leo Nikolayevich Tolstoy was born on September 9, 1828, in Yasnaya Polyana, Tula Province of the Russian Empire, into a noble family. From early childhood, both the intellectual atmosphere of his family environment and the early loss of his parents contributed to the formation of his deep, thoughtful, and sensitive character. He first rec

<sup>1</sup> SHIRINOVA, Sh., Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Arts, Department of Orchestral Instruments and Conducting, Nakhchivan State University. Email: shabnamshirinova@ndu.edu.az. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0914-7569>



## I. Introduction

Expressive means are central to musical communication because they transform written notation into living sound. Tempo nuance, dynamic shaping, articulation, and strategically placed silences allow performers to reveal phrase structure, highlight climaxes, and guide the listener's emotional attention (Gabrielsson, 2003; Palmer, 1997). In ensemble music—especially choral performance—these expressive means must be coordinated collectively. Here the conductor functions not only as a timekeeper but also as a mediator of musical meaning: through gesture, breathing cues, and bodily tension–release patterns, the conductor clarifies structure, controls energy, and unifies interpretation. Empirical studies show that conductor gesture influences what audiences perceive and what performers prioritize, confirming that visual information can shape musical understanding alongside sound (Kumar & Morrison, 2016).

Among the most powerful expressive devices is the fermata—a sign placed over a note or rest indicating that the sound or silence should be held longer than its written value. Unlike fixed rhythmic values, fermata duration is not strictly measured; it is determined by performance context and artistic intention. This flexibility makes the fermata a key tool for rhetorical emphasis: it can confirm cadential closure, intensify a climactic moment, create suspense through silence, or separate contrasting sections. Research on timing and coordination suggests that such “unmeasured” pauses demand shared interpretive control, especially when multiple performers must align their continuation after silence (Luck & Toiviainen, 2006; Zamm et al., 2021).

The aim of this article is to analyze the types of fermatas and examine their expressive and technical realization in conducting practice. The study addresses the following questions: What are the main types of fermatas? How do conducting gestures differ according to fermata type? How does fermata influence musical expression? Methodologically, the article employs analytical description of conducting technique, comparative discussion of fermata contexts, and pedagogical observation based on rehearsal and training practice.

## II. Theoretical Foundations of Fermata

### 1. Definition and Symbol

A fermata is a conventional musical sign written above a notehead, chord, or rest to indicate prolongation beyond the notated duration. In practical terms, the fermata interrupts ordinary metric predictability: the beat continues to exist conceptually, but its surface flow is suspended or stretched. Historically, fermatas became closely associated with cadences, rhetorical closure, and dramatic emphasis, yet their use is not limited to endings. In both choral and instrumental music, composers apply fermatas to intensify phrase boundaries, underline harmonic resolution, and create expressive “breathing spaces” that function as musical punctuation.



From the standpoint of performance psychology, expressive timing is not an ornament added after the fact; it is a structural resource. Performers often shape tempo in relation to phrase architecture, with slowing near boundaries and greater stability mid-phrase (Demos et al., 2016). In this sense, fermata can be understood as an extreme or focused form of boundary articulation—either prepared by tempo modification (*ritardando*, relaxation of pulse) or introduced suddenly for contrast. The emotional preparation of a fermata frequently depends on what precedes it: a gradual reduction of motion can make the fermata feel inevitable, while an unprepared fermata can create shock, suspense, or a sharp rhetorical interruption.

In conducting, the fermata is realized through a combination of holding gesture, controlled physical stillness, and a clearly defined release or continuation cue. The conductor's task is to preserve ensemble unity during the suspension and to ensure that singers or players re-enter together. Since visual communication strongly affects performer coordination and listener perception, fermata execution becomes a crucial moment where gesture must be especially unambiguous (Kumar & Morrison, 2016; Luck & Toiviainen, 2006).

## 2. Misconceptions

A common misconception is the “double length” rule—the belief that a fermata automatically doubles the duration of the note or rest. In reality, fermata duration depends on multiple factors: the tempo and character of the piece, the written note value under the fermata, the acoustic and expressive needs of the moment, and the technical demands of ensemble breathing and coordination. A fermata over a whole note in a moderate tempo may indeed feel convincing when held roughly “twice as long,” but a fermata over a short value (quarter or eighth) can require proportionally greater extension to become perceptible as expressive suspension rather than mere hesitation. Conversely, in fast or rhythmically energized music, an overly long fermata may break continuity and weaken the intended effect.

Therefore, fermata realization is fundamentally a matter of artistic judgment. The conductor must sense how long the musical idea needs to “speak” and how much silence or sustain the ensemble can support without losing focus. Coordination studies show that timing pauses is a real performance challenge: musicians may shorten and stabilize silences when they must align with partners, which illustrates that expressive freedom is always negotiated with ensemble clarity (Zamm et al., 2021). In pedagogical terms, teaching fermata means teaching controlled flexibility: the performer must feel freedom, while the ensemble experiences certainty.

## III. Classification of Fermatas

### 1. Cut-Off Fermata

The most frequent fermata appears at the end of a piece or at the end of a formal section. Its function is typically closure: it confirms harmonic resolution, stabilizes the final sonority, and allows the listener to register completion. In conducting terms, this type is often the simplest because musical motion does not resume afterward. The conductor sustains the final sound with



a clear holding posture—maintaining energy and intonation—then executes a decisive cut-off gesture that ends both sound and movement.

**Technical execution.** The conductor should “freeze” the beat pattern at the fermata point while keeping internal pulse awareness. The right hand maintains the sense of sustained tone (often by a firm, contained gesture), while the left hand can shape diminuendo or reinforce resonance depending on the musical context. The cut-off should be coordinated with breath and ensemble consonants so that final releases remain unified. Gesture character varies by dynamic: in **forte**, the cut-off is typically clearer and more energetic; in **piano**, it is softer and more elastic, avoiding abruptness that could cause unwanted noise or tension.

**Expressive meaning.** The final fermata can create solemnity, triumph, tenderness, or suspense depending on harmony and timbre. It often functions as a “musical period” at the level of discourse: the conductor invites the ensemble to sustain meaning, not merely duration.

**Psychological impact.** The final fermata also stabilizes attention. For performers, it provides a controlled moment of heightened listening—intonation, blend, resonance—before release. For listeners, it frames the ending as significant and memorable, increasing the feeling of completeness (Gabrielsson, 2003).

## 2. Non-Cut-Off Fermata

A second important type occurs when music continues immediately after the fermata. Here the fermata is not an ending but a rhetorical suspension that leads into renewed motion. This fundamentally changes the conducting task: the gesture must extend the sound or silence without breaking the logic of meter and without confusing the next entrance.

**Core principle.** When motion resumes after the fermata, the conductor must ensure that the release gesture also functions as an upbeat (*aufтакт*) for what follows. The ensemble should feel not only “stop” but also “prepare to continue.” Practically, conductors often employ a repeated-beat or “extra-beat” strategy: the fermata point is held, then the conductor gives a clear preparatory impulse aligned with the next metric unit so that performers re-enter together.

**Breath gesture and continuity.** Because breathing is a primary coordination tool in choir, the conductor’s preparatory cue must include a visible inhalation signal or “breath-like” gesture. Research on ensemble synchronization emphasizes that performers rely on visual timing cues to align attacks and continuations (Luck & Toiviainen, 2006). Therefore, the continuation fermata demands a particularly readable gesture trajectory and timing.

**Pause after fermata.** Sometimes the fermata is followed by a brief rest. Even then, the type remains “continuation-oriented” because the conductor’s release is still interpreted as preparation for the next entrance. The main difference is gesture character: the cut-off becomes slightly more accented and “breath-loaded” to reflect both the release and the immediate need to restart cleanly.



### 3. Fermata Over Barline

A fermata placed over a barline typically indicates a sudden interruption in motion—often functioning like a short caesura. Its musical meaning is frequently contrastive: it can separate episodes, prepare a change of tonality or texture, or intensify a dramatic shift. Unlike a cadential fermata, it may appear in the middle of a work and does not always require tempo preparation.

**Technique.** The conductor should stop the motion precisely at the barline point, hold briefly (often shorter than a cadential fermata), and then provide a clear “restart” gesture for the new measure or new character. The clarity of restart is crucial because the ensemble’s internal pulse may be disrupted by the sudden break. Visual cueing becomes the stabilizing reference, consistent with findings that conductor gesture shapes performer orientation and perceived structure (Kumar & Morrison, 2016; Vines et al., 2006).

### 4. Fermata Over a Rest

A fermata over a rest extends silence, often producing heightened tension, suspense, or reflective space. In choral music it may reinforce textual meaning (a pause before a key word) or create a breath of dramatic stillness. In instrumental music it can shape phrasing by separating ideas more strongly than an ordinary rest.

**Sezura effect and tension-building.** The extended rest functions like punctuation—sometimes comparable to a rhetorical pause in speech. Coordination research demonstrates that timing such silences is a genuine interactive problem: musicians must anticipate not only when silence begins but also when it ends (Zamm et al., 2021). Thus, the conductor’s role is to “hold” silence as an active expressive state, not as absence.

**Conducting execution.** The conductor often cuts off the preceding sound with a compact wrist action, then maintains stillness to sustain the fermata-rest. The restart is delivered with a clear upbeat, frequently accompanied by a visible breathing cue. The longer the silence, the more essential it becomes that the ensemble reads the conductor as the shared temporal reference.

## IV. Expressive Preparation of Fermata

In artistic performance, a fermata rarely functions as a purely “mechanical” extension of a note or rest. Most often it is perceived as the natural result of what precedes it. For this reason, effective fermata execution usually begins before the fermata sign itself appears. The conductor prepares it through subtle changes of tempo, dynamic direction, and bodily energy, allowing the ensemble to experience the approaching suspension as emotionally justified rather than accidental.

A common preparatory technique is *ritardando*—a controlled slowing of tempo in the measures leading into the fermata. This gradual tempo reduction should not be confused with loss of pulse; instead, it is a deliberate stretching that preserves rhythmic clarity while reducing forward momentum. In choral practice, such preparation is often coordinated with breathing: the



conductor's gesture becomes slightly broader, the rebound softer, and the beat "opens," signaling that the phrase is nearing its point of arrival. When done convincingly, the fermata feels like an inevitable culmination of musical thought.

Dynamic shaping strengthens this inevitability. If the musical logic calls for climax, the conductor may prepare the fermata with a crescendo and increased physical tension, making the held sonority feel weighty and emotionally charged. If the goal is tenderness or contemplation, the approach may involve diminuendo, lighter contact, and a more inward, delicate gesture. In both cases, the conductor communicates not only duration but also the quality of time—whether it is suspenseful, triumphant, pleading, or reflective.

A useful contrast can be drawn between prepared fermatas and unprepared (sudden) fermatas that occur in the middle of a piece. Prepared fermatas are typically preceded by clear musical indicators—cadential harmony, phrase closure, *ritardando*, or dynamic shaping—so the ensemble anticipates suspension. Unprepared fermatas, by contrast, may interrupt ongoing motion without warning, creating dramatic surprise or a rhetorical "break." Here the conductor's responsibility is intensified: the stopping gesture must be unmistakable, yet the ensemble must remain mentally "alive" during the hold so that continuation, when required, is secure and together.

## V. Articulation and Fermata in Conducting

Fermata technique cannot be fully separated from articulation, because the way sound is produced and connected determines how a sustained note (or silence) is perceived. Legato, non-legato, and staccato are not only matters of instrumental or vocal technique; they are also encoded in the conductor's gesture. A fermata placed within different articulatory contexts will therefore require different physical and expressive solutions.

### 1. Legato Technique

Legato conducting is defined by continuity. The gesture line is smooth and unbroken, and the transitions between beats feel like flowing motion rather than a chain of impacts. Technically, the conductor avoids "jumping" at the contact point: the hand meets the conducting plane with control and then continues, as if drawing a continuous curve. In moderate or slow tempos, the hand may momentarily "settle" at the beat point without striking it, preserving softness and vocal/instrumental blend.

Legato also depends heavily on wrist flexibility. A supple wrist allows the conductor to maintain motion while adjusting weight, producing a gesture that feels elastic rather than rigid. In forte legato, the gesture may be broader and more energized, with clear direction and stronger muscular tone, but still without harsh impacts. In piano legato, the gesture becomes smaller and more plastic, emphasizing smoothness and gentle continuity. When a fermata appears in a legato phrase, the conductor must keep the legato "thread" alive during the hold: the sustained note should feel like extended singing, not like frozen time.



## 2. Non-Legato

Non-legato lies between legato and staccato. Beats are separated, but not sharply detached. In gesture, this produces a slightly broken line: each beat has a clear arrival, followed by a moderate rebound. The motion may show a controlled “bounce,” but the bounce is not aggressive; it serves clarity rather than accent. Non-legato requires freedom in the elbow, allowing the forearm to move naturally and preventing stiffness in the shoulder.

When a fermata occurs under non-legato conditions, the conductor must decide whether to preserve separation (ending the sound cleanly before the hold) or to sustain through the hold with a more legato-like support. In choral settings, this often depends on text and harmony: a fermata on a cadential consonant may favor a clean release, while a fermata on a vowel-rich sonority may favor sustained resonance.

## 3. Staccato Technique

Staccato requires accented points and clear detachment. The gesture travels to the beat point with sharper intent, followed by an elastic rebound away from the plane. This “two-part” behavior—attack and release—makes staccato fundamentally different from legato’s continuous line. In many cases, especially in crisp staccato, the conductor uses a two-phase upbeat: a preparatory impulse that sets the character, followed by a precise beat that produces the articulation.

The wrist is central: staccato is most convincing when the wrist is active, elastic, and rhythmically stable. In forte staccato, the gesture may be larger, with more physical tension and clearer accents, but it must not become convulsive or uncontrolled. In piano staccato, the gesture becomes smaller, more economical, and extremely precise; articulation is achieved through clarity and rebound rather than force. Control of small amplitude is essential: too wide a motion can blur the rhythm, while too stiff a motion can destroy elasticity.

In fermata contexts, staccato often implies that the sound is intentionally detached before the hold, making the silence or sustain more dramatic. The conductor must therefore manage contrast: the fermata becomes expressive not only through length, but through the articulatory “edge” that precedes it.

## VI. Dynamics as an Expressive Conducting Tool

### 1. Concept of Dynamic Technique

Dynamic control is one of the most subtle areas of conducting because gesture volume does not automatically equal sound volume. A large gesture can still produce piano if it communicates softness of energy, and a small gesture can produce forte if it communicates concentrated intensity. What truly shapes dynamics is the conductor’s ability to transmit internal tension—a physical embodiment of musical intensity that performers can read and reproduce. This includes the degree of muscular tone, the speed of motion, the quality of rebound, and the expressive intention in the body’s posture.



## 2. Forte Technique

As a general rule, forte is supported by larger gesture space and more energetic impulse. The arm and torso may show increased readiness, and the beat points are clearer and more decisive. However, forte must not become noisy or uncontrolled. Convulsive or exaggerated movements can cause ensemble instability and harsh tone. Effective forte is confident and organized: the conductor “contains” power rather than throwing it.

## 3. Piano Technique

Piano typically requires smaller gestures and a softer, more plastic motion. The conductor’s hands appear lighter, the rebound gentler, and the overall posture calmer. A common choral technique is directing the palm toward the ensemble or toward a specific section to encourage a quieter, more supported sound. The conductor’s face and breath also matter: relaxed inhalation and calm focus help prevent singers from tightening or pushing.

## 4. Subito Effects

Sudden dynamic changes (subito forte, subito piano) demand preparation. Even when the sound change is immediate, the conductor must prepare it through a characterful upbeat one beat earlier. The gesture should already contain the new dynamic “temperature,” so the ensemble experiences the shift as intentional rather than surprising.

## 5. Crescendo & Diminuendo Exercises

Teaching crescendo and diminuendo can involve clear physical models: changing gesture planes, gradually extending or shortening the arm, and applying a contrast principle (soft–hard, calm–sharp). The goal is to develop control over intensity without losing tempo stability. When dynamics are trained in this systematic way, fermatas become more expressive, because the conductor can shape the approach (build or relax), sustain the hold with meaningful tension, and release with stylistic logic.

## VII. Pedagogical Methodology in Teaching Fermata and Dynamics

A practical methodology for teaching fermata and dynamic control should combine technical clarity with gradual artistic awareness. In early training stages, it is useful to begin with separate hand practice. The student learns to maintain stable meter with the primary hand while experimenting with expressive shaping in the other. This prevents overload and helps develop independence without tension in the conducting apparatus.

Another key principle is the gradual reduction of movement size. Students often begin with large, full-arm patterns to understand spatial direction and beat placement. Over time, the teacher guides them from shoulder-led motion to elbow-led motion and finally to wrist-based refinement. This shoulder → elbow → wrist progression develops economy and precision, which are essential for piano nuances, subtle ritardando preparation, and controlled fermata holds.



At the beginning, students should also be advised to avoid emotional exaggeration. Overacting can create irregular tempo, unclear entrances, and unnecessary physical tension. Instead, the student should learn “clean technique” first: accurate beat points, balanced rebound, and readable preparatory cues. Once this foundation is stable, expressive goals can be added—such as shaping toward fermata, managing silence, and coordinating release.

Pedagogically, the use of piano accompaniment is highly effective. Simple melodic sequences (ascending/descending patterns) allow the student to practice crescendo, diminuendo, and tempo flexibility in a controlled environment. Later, simple song material can be introduced so that technical skills are linked to phrasing, text, and formal structure. At this stage, fermata teaching should include both ending fermatas (clear cut-off) and continuation fermatas (release as upbeat), emphasizing ensemble breathing and coordinated re-entry.

Finally, technical drills should not be overused. Once the student demonstrates basic control, instruction should transition toward real musical analysis: identifying phrase boundaries, cadences, climaxes, and rhetorical contrasts. In this way, fermata and dynamics stop being “effects” and become elements of interpretive thinking.

### VIII. Conclusion

Fermata is one of the most important tools of musical expressiveness because it reshapes ordinary time and gives special weight to sound or silence. In conducting practice, the fermata is not merely a longer note or rest; it is a rhetorical and emotional event that can confirm closure, suspend expectation, intensify climax, or separate contrasting musical ideas. Its effectiveness depends on both the conductor’s technical control and interpretive sensitivity.

The article has emphasized a clear distinction between cut-off (final) fermatas and non-cut-off (continuation) fermatas. In the cut-off type, the conductor sustains the final sonority and ends musical motion with a decisive release gesture. In the continuation type, the conductor must preserve meter and transform the release into an upbeat so that the ensemble continues together. Additional contexts—such as fermatas over barlines or rests—require special attention to sudden stopping, expressive silence, and secure restarting.

Another central point is the importance of gesture elasticity. Whether shaping legato continuity, non-legato clarity, or staccato rebound, the conductor’s body must communicate a living musical intention rather than rigid mechanics. Similarly, dynamic control is not a simple matter of large or small gestures; it involves transmitting intensity through coordinated physical tension and release, supported by clear preparatory cues, especially in subito changes and gradual crescendos or diminuendos.

From an educational perspective, effective training requires step-by-step development: independent hands, progressive reduction of gesture size, controlled use of accompaniment, and timely transition from drills to musical analysis. The artistic effectiveness of fermata depends not on its duration, but on the conductor’s ability to transform silence and sound into meaningful musical expression.



## References

- Gabrielsson, A. (2003). Music performance research at the millennium. *Psychology of Music*, 31(3), 221–272. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03057356030313002>
- Kumar, A. B., & et al. (2016). The conductor as visual guide: Gesture and perception of conducted ensemble performances. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01049>
- Luck, G., & Toiviainen, P. (2006). Ensemble musicians' synchronization with conductors' gestures: An automated feature-extraction analysis. *Music Perception*, 24(2), 189–200. <https://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2006.24.2.189>
- Prentice Hall. (1981). *Expressive music performance*. ISBN: [reference from referencing example]
- Vines, B. W., Wanderley, M. M., Krumhansl, C. L., & Levitin, D. J. (2006). Performance gestures of musicians: What structural and emotional information do they convey? *Research Studies in Music Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1321103X060060020301>
- Zamm, A., Debener, S., Konvalinka, I., & Knoblich, G. (2020). The sound of silence: An EEG study of how musicians time pauses in individual and joint music performance. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa117>
- Kontinen, A. (2006). Conducting gestures: Institutional and educational influences. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 104(2), 90–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2006.08.002>

Received: 01.15.2025

Revised: 02.05.2025

Accepted: 02.25.2026

Published: 03.04.2026



This is an open access article under the  
Creative Commons Attribution-  
NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum  
ISSN 3030-1718