

Investigating Strategies for Ensuring Equitable Access to Quality Education in Uganda

 ¹ Ademola Olatide Olaniyan,  ² Afam Uzorka

<https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.026002008>

Abstract

In addition to being a basic human right, equitable access to high-quality education is essential to sustainable development. This study explores strategies for ensuring equitable access to high-quality education in Uganda using a qualitative research design. Fifty-six people from 12 Ugandan universities participated in the study. Purposive sampling was used in the participant selection process to guarantee a varied representation of experiences and points of view. Through semi-structured interviews, data were gathered and subsequently analysed using thematic analysis. The results highlight the significance of focused funding in underprivileged regions, ongoing professional development for educators, community engagement, equitable policies, and reliable data systems. Policymakers, educators, and other stakeholders may work to ensure that all Ugandans have equitable access to high-quality education by focusing on these important areas.

Keywords: *educational equity, Uganda, resource allocation, teacher quality, professional development*

1. Introduction

In addition to being a basic human right, equitable access to high-quality education is essential to sustainable development (Hasanova & Safarli, 2024; Uzorka et al., 2024; Zickafoose et al., 2024). Significant differences in educational access and quality still exist throughout Uganda (Barasa et al., 2025; Faisal et al., 2024; Kabay, 2021), and these differences are frequently caused by systemic, regional, and socioeconomic factors (Barasa et al., 2025). The country's efforts to meet the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are hampered by these gaps, especially SDG 4, which calls for universal access to high-quality, inclusive education. The provision of equitable education in Uganda faces numerous obstacles, including unequal distribution of resources,

¹ Olaniyan, A. O. Science Unit, Department of Primary Education, University of Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6571-4758>

² Uzorka, A. Department of Physical Sciences, School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Kampala International University, Kampala, Uganda. Email: afamuzorka@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4653-1619>. Corresponding author.



disparities in the quality of teachers, a lack of community involvement, insufficient policy frameworks, and a lack of data to make well-informed decisions (SSALI, 2024). A comprehensive strategy that takes into account the various requirements and circumstances of the Ugandan nation is needed to address these issues.

This research investigates strategies for ensuring equitable access to quality education in Uganda through the following research question: What strategies can be implemented to ensure equitable access to quality education in Uganda? Through an analysis of these domains, the research identifies effective approaches and provides insights for policymakers, educators, and other stakeholders committed to enhancing educational equity in Uganda. The study's findings add to the body of evidence already available on educational equity and draw attention to the crucial areas that require improvement in order to address the gaps in access and quality. This research provides a framework for creating and implementing ideas that can address the particular difficulties faced by Ugandan educational systems.

2. Literature Review

Inequalities in access, quality, and outcomes have long been a problem for the Ugandan educational system (Kabay, 2021; Kan & Klasen, 2021). The complex nature of these differences and their consequences for sustainable development have been extensively studied. For example, a study by Kan and Klasen (2021) showed how persistent educational disparities are in Uganda, especially along socioeconomic lines, and how underprivileged people have the most difficulty gaining access and succeeding in school. The urgent need to rectify these disparities was also highlighted in the UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report (2019), which aims to guarantee that all children, regardless of background, have equal opportunity to learn and succeed (Zancajo et al., 2021).

Resource distribution and allocation are important issues affecting educational equity in Uganda (Fraser & Lockheed, 2021; Robert et al., 2021). According to research, differences in educational outcomes are made worse by the unequal allocation of resources, which includes funding, infrastructure, and instructional materials (Mugerwa-Sekawabe, 2022; Natukunda & Barigye, 2024; Nnachi, 2022). A study by Maki et al. (2021) discovered notable differences in the distribution of resources between urban and rural schools, with rural schools frequently receiving less funding even though they serve underprivileged populations.

A key factor in determining educational equity is the quality of teachers and their professional development. Having well-trained and supported instructors is crucial to enhancing student learning outcomes, especially for students from marginalized areas (Uzorka et al., 2023). A study by Mwesigye et al. (2024) highlighted the value of ongoing professional development for South Western Ugandan teachers, emphasizing how it can improve student achievement and instructional effectiveness. Glover and Stewart (2024) also underlined the necessity of thorough



teacher preparation programs customized to the regional environment in order to meet the unique requirements of Ugandan communities.

Partnerships and community engagement are essential for advancing educational equity because they encourage cooperation between communities, families, and schools. Studies reveal that incorporating community members and parents in the educational process can result in better student performance and increased accountability (Eden et al., 2024; Naeem & Iqbal, 2025). The Global Partnership for Education (GPE) emphasized the significance of community involvement in school governance and decision-making processes to guarantee that policies are responsive to local needs and goals (Silva & Oliveira, 2022).

Addressing educational gaps and advancing inclusive education systems require equity-oriented policies and governance structures. Research indicates that policies aimed at addressing inequality, such as affirmative action programs and specific funding mechanisms, are essential for increasing access and enhancing the lives of marginalized people (Hardy & Woodcock, 2024; Nichols & Dixon-Roman, 2024). A study by Manani (2021) examined how affirmative action laws affected Kenyan students' access to higher education, emphasizing how they helped historically underrepresented groups. Furthermore, efficient governance frameworks are required to guarantee that resources are distributed fairly among schools and regions.

To detect inequalities in educational access and quality, track progress toward equity goals, and inform evidence-based policymaking, robust data collection and monitoring are crucial. Strong data systems are required to monitor important metrics including enrollment rates, learning outcomes, and resource distribution in order to pinpoint areas that require intervention and assess the effectiveness of policies and initiatives (Dodman et al., 2021; Fien et al., 2021; Li & Morris, 2024). Mansour et al. (2022) conducted a study on the importance of data in education planning and decision-making in sub-Saharan Africa, highlighting the need for improved data collection methods and capacity-building programs. The OECD (2019) similarly underlined the significance of data-driven methods in education policy creation, contending that accurate data are necessary to pinpoint interventions and uncover inequities (Li & Morris, 2024).

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design

This study investigated ways to guarantee that all Ugandans have equitable access to high-quality education using a qualitative research design. The main goals were to obtain detailed information and comprehend the viewpoints and experiences of participants in relation to equitable access to education. This design was selected due to its ability to facilitate in-depth investigation of complex matters via semi-structured interviews.

3.2. Participants



This is an open access article published under the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718

Fifty-six people from 12 Ugandan universities participated in the study. Purposive sampling was used in the participant selection process to guarantee a varied representation of experiences and points of view. Faculty and administrators at the universities who were actively involved in and informed about matters pertaining to educational quality and access were included in the selection criteria.

3.3. Demographic Characteristics

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the participants. Out of 56 participants, 31 (55.36%) were male and 25 (44.64%) were female, all aged 20 years and above. The majority, 26 (46.43%) participants, held PhD degrees. There were 22 (39.29%) administrators and 34 (60.71%) faculty members. Regarding work experience, 10 (17.86%) participants had less than 5 years, 14 (25.00%) had between 5 and 10 years, 17 (30.36%) had between 11 and 15 years, and 15 (26.78%) had 16 years or more.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants

Variables	Male Frequency (%)	Female Frequency (%)	Total Frequency (%)
Gender	31 (55.36)	25 (44.64)	56 (100)
Age (Years)			
20-29	06 (54.55)	05 (45.45)	11 (19.64)
30-39	08 (53.33)	07 (46.67)	15 (26.79)
40-49	08 (50.00)	08 (50.00)	16 (28.57)
50 and above	09 (64.29)	05 (35.71)	14 (25.00)
Educational Qualification			
Bachelor	03 (42.86)	04 (57.14)	07 (12.50)
Master	11 (47.83)	12 (52.17)	23 (41.07)
PhD	17 (65.38)	09 (34.62)	26 (46.43)
Designation			



Administrator	10 (45.45)	12 (54.55)	22 (39.29)
Faculty	21 (61.76)	13 (38.24)	34 (60.71)
Work Experience (Years)			
Under 5 years	05 (50.00)	05 (50.00)	10 (17.86)
5-10 years	08 (57.14)	06 (42.86)	14 (25.00)
11-15 years	09 (52.94)	08 (47.06)	17 (30.36)
16 years and above	09 (60.00)	06 (40.00)	15 (26.78)

3.4. Data Collection

Through semi-structured interviews, data were gathered. This approach was selected because it offers the flexibility to delve deeply into different subjects while preserving a uniform framework across interviews. A series of open-ended questions intended to elicit in-depth responses regarding participants' experiences, opinions, and recommendations for enhancing equitable access to high-quality education guided each interview. In-person interviews were conducted to promote deep and engaging conversation.

3.5. Data Analysis

The data from the interviews were analysed using thematic analysis. This required multiple steps: (1) Transcription — with the participants' permission, all interviews were audio recorded and verbatim transcribed; (2) Coding — transcripts were coded to identify recurrent themes and patterns connected to the research questions; (3) Theme Development — codes were grouped into more comprehensive topics that summarized the study's main findings; and (4) Interpretation — the topics were examined in light of the body of research on equitable access to education, emphasizing original ideas and possible implications for practice and policy.

3.6. Ethical Considerations

Prior to participating, participants gave informed consent after receiving comprehensive information about the study. Ethical approval was granted by the Kampala International University Research Ethics Committee under reference number KIU-2024-392, in accordance with Uganda's research guidelines and regulations. Throughout the whole research procedure, confidentiality was upheld, and all data were anonymised to safeguard the identities of the participants.



3.7. Limitations

The study acknowledges several limitations. The reliance on interviews means the findings are based on self-reported data, which may be subject to bias. Additionally, the purposive sampling method, while ensuring a diverse range of perspectives, may limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts. Despite these limitations, the study provides valuable insights into strategies for enhancing equitable access to quality education in Uganda.

4. Results

To maintain the confidentiality of participants, each was assigned a database number referred to as R1 to R56. When the findings reference a participant's comments, the database number is recorded in parentheses.

4.1. Resource Allocation and Distribution

Respondents discussed the distribution and allocation of resources among various schools and areas, including funding, facilities, and instructional materials. A total of 76% of respondents stated that it is critical to address differences in the distribution of funding, ensuring that resources are allocated according to need and accounting for variables including student demographics, infrastructure deficiencies, and poverty levels. Furthermore, 68% stated that it is crucial to invest in modernizing infrastructure and ensuring facilities are distributed fairly, while 65% stated that all schools should have access to current instructional resources, regardless of their geography or socioeconomic condition.

Allocation levels should be determined by taking into consideration factors including the number of students, their socioeconomic background, and the needs of the infrastructure (R6, R13, R17). Formula financing systems can aid in the standardization of resource allocation by distributing funds in accordance with preset formulae that account for variables such as student enrollment, poverty levels, and special education requirements (R8, R11, R12). Ensuring that every student has access to secure and comfortable learning environments requires identifying and rectifying infrastructure shortcomings (R1, R6, R8, R17). Access to technology is crucial for academic performance (R22, R26, R41, R46, R49), and providing equal access to computers, internet connectivity, and other digital tools helps students prepare for the demands of a twenty-first-century workforce (R19, R21, R22, R23). It is also crucial to support student well-being by investing in infrastructure upgrades that promote health and safety, such as clean water and sanitation facilities, adequate ventilation, and secure school buildings (R11, R16, R17, R23, R25).

4.2. Teacher Quality and Professional Development

Respondents discussed the importance of teacher quality and professional development. A total of 72% stated that improving educational outcomes and fostering equitable access to high-quality education require investments in teacher quality and professional development, while 71%



stated that it is critical to devise plans for drawing and retaining talented educators in underprivileged communities. High-quality instructors can be attracted to underprivileged areas through incentive programs including salary bonuses, housing assistance, loan provisions, and scholarships (R1, R4, R7, R13). To stay current with best practices and meet the varied needs of their students, teachers must receive ongoing training (R8, R11). Providing teachers with well-defined career trajectories and prospects for advancement fosters professional development and boosts retention rates (R11, R15, R17). Offering mentorship programs, leadership positions, and specialized training can improve teachers' commitment to their roles and job satisfaction (R19, R22, R25, R27, R28). Hiring educators from diverse backgrounds who mirror the linguistic and cultural diversity of the school community encourages inclusivity and improves the educational experience for every student (R15, R18, R19, R20, R26).

4.3. Community Engagement and Partnerships

The importance of communities, families, and other stakeholders in promoting education and creating a positive learning environment was emphasized by respondents. A majority of respondents (76%) stated that education systems can leverage the combined knowledge, resources, and support of families, corporations, non-profit organizations, and governmental organizations to build effective partnerships that support equity, access, and achievement for every student. Furthermore, 42% stated that working together, corporations, NGOs, and government organizations can address resource gaps and systemic issues in education. Schools receive additional funding and support when businesses and corporations engage with the community through sponsorship, mentorship programs, and in-kind contributions (R1, R5, R6, R7, R10, R15, R18). Students' learning experiences are enhanced when local businesses collaborate to finance extracurricular activities, workforce development programs, and educational initiatives (R41, R44, R46, R49).

4.4. Equity-Oriented Policies and Governance

Respondents discussed regulatory and administrative frameworks that support educational equity. A total of 52% stated that to resolve discrepancies in resource allocation and promote equity, financing methods that account for variables like student demographics, poverty levels, and geographic location should be adopted. Policies that give targeted support where it is most needed — for example, allocating greater resources to schools with high concentrations of disadvantaged students — are necessary (R26, R29, R30, R32, R34). Promoting equity and inclusion in education is facilitated by the adoption of inclusive policies that acknowledge and assist the diverse needs of students (R44, R45, R47, R50). Disparities in educational opportunities and outcomes can be lessened by implementing policies that support socioeconomic integration in schools (R12, R17, R21, R23). Government institutions should implement measures that give priority to educational equity and address structural impediments to enrollment and success (R1, R3, R7, R10, R11, R18),



including changes to curricular standards, teacher recruitment practices, and financing sources (R5, R7, R12, R14).

4.5. Data Collection and Monitoring

Respondents discussed the crucial importance of gathering and evaluating data to track progress toward equitable access to high-quality education. A majority of respondents (63%) stated that education systems may identify inequalities, monitor advancement, and guide evidence-based decision-making by prioritizing data collection and monitoring activities. Gathering and evaluating disaggregated data can guide focused interventions and resource allocation plans and help reduce disparity (R9, R12, R18, R20, R22, R23). Data should be disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, language competency, and disability status to identify areas of need and guide targeted interventions (R8, R13, R20, R23). Monitoring data on funding levels, staffing ratios, and the distribution of facilities and educational materials helps guarantee that resources are allocated fairly and in line with the requirements of schools and pupils (R6, R19, R26, R30). Evidence-based decision-making should be systematically implemented across all levels of the education system (R1, R8, R14, R15, R16).

5. Discussion

Five key themes emerged from the investigation into strategies for ensuring equitable access to high-quality education in Uganda: resource allocation and distribution, teacher quality and professional development, community engagement and partnerships, equity-oriented policies and governance, and data collection and monitoring. These themes offer a thorough framework for addressing the difficulties encountered in Ugandan educational systems. The study emphasizes how crucial it is to distribute resources fairly to address differences in the quality of education. Resources for marginalized and rural communities are frequently less than those for metropolitan and affluent areas, a disparity extensively documented in the literature. A study by Natukunda and Barigye (2024) stressed how important it is to provide underprivileged areas with targeted support in order to close the achievement gap. Similarly, UNICEF (2020) research indicated that equitable funding approaches are necessary to guarantee that all children, irrespective of their socioeconomic or geographic origin, have access to high-quality education (Nnachi, 2022).

Achieving educational equity has been shown to require both high-quality teachers and ongoing professional development. Several studies corroborate the study's findings, emphasizing the value of investing in teacher preparation and professional development (Glover & Stewart, 2024; Uzorka et al., 2023). Mwesigye et al. (2024) assert that supportive and well-trained educators are more successful in providing high-quality instruction, which is essential for raising student achievement in underprivileged communities, and that professional development programs must be customized to the local environment.



The importance of partnerships and community involvement in advancing educational equity cannot be overstated. The study found that developing partnerships with different stakeholders and integrating communities in the educational process are crucial strategies, a finding supported by Eden et al. (2024), who maintained that community and family involvement is a crucial element of effective educational systems. Furthermore, community engagement improves accountability and transparency in educational governance, which in turn improves equity, according to studies from the Global Partnership for Education (GPE) (Naeem & Iqbal, 2025).

Equitable policies and governance are essential to guaranteeing access to high-quality education. Participants in the study emphasized the necessity of strong policies that specifically aim to reduce inequality. The UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report (2020) similarly emphasized the importance of policies that are expressly intended to address disparities in order to establish inclusive educational systems (Hardy & Woodcock, 2024). Furthermore, as noted by Nichols and Dixon-Roman (2024), these policies cannot be implemented and sustained without strong governance frameworks.

The importance of data collection and monitoring emerged as a key theme. The findings indicate that accurate data are necessary for determining gaps, tracking advancement, and guiding policy decisions. This is consistent with other research highlighting the necessity of strong data systems for tracking educational equity (Dodman et al., 2021; Fien et al., 2021). The OECD promotes the use of data in policymaking, contending that evidence-based policies have a higher chance of being both successful and sustainable (Li & Morris, 2024).

6. Conclusion

This study offers insightful information about strategies for ensuring equitable access to high-quality education in Uganda through the identification of five key themes: resource allocation and distribution, teacher quality and professional development, community engagement and partnerships, equity-oriented policies and governance, and data collection and monitoring. These themes are consistent with previous research and highlight the complexity of resolving educational inequalities in the region.

The allocation and distribution of resources emerged as a fundamental component, emphasizing the vital need for targeted investment in marginalized communities to guarantee that all students have access to the equipment and settings required for learning. It was further determined that high standards for teacher quality and professional development are required for successful education, as investments in teacher preparation and ongoing professional development can greatly improve educational performance in underprivileged communities. Partnerships and community engagement are essential for advancing educational equity, and policies and governance that prioritize equity are critical to guaranteeing equitable access. For the purpose of



making well-informed decisions and implementing effective policies, robust data collection and monitoring are indispensable.

In conclusion, addressing educational equity in Uganda necessitates a comprehensive strategy that incorporates these fundamental principles. The results of this study add to the understanding of educational inequities and offer policymakers, educators, and other stakeholders a framework for creating and putting into practice solutions that guarantee all Ugandan children equal access to high-quality education.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

Funding: None.

Ethical Approval: Ethical approval was granted by the Kampala International University Research Ethics Committee under reference number KIU-2024-392, in accordance with Uganda's research guidelines and regulations.

Consent to Participate: All participants provided their written consent to take part in this study.

Data Availability: The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements: None.

Authors' Contributions: Conceptualization, A.O.O., A.U.; methodology, A.O.O., A.U.; investigation, A.O.O., A.U.; writing — original draft preparation, A.O.O., A.U.; writing — review and editing, A.O.O., A.U.; visualization, A.O.O., A.U.

References

- Barasa, M. C., Ssentamu, P. N., Chang'ach, J. K., & Kurgat, S. J. (2025). Implementing the Uganda lower secondary competence-based curriculum: the equity question. *Quality Education for All*, 2(1), 138-157. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QEA-03-2024-0026>
- Dodman, S. L., Swalwell, K., DeMulder, E. K., & Stribling, S. M. (2021). Critical data-driven decision making: A conceptual model of data use for equity. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 99, 103272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2020.103272>
- Eden, C. A., Chisom, O. N., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). Parent and community involvement in education: Strengthening partnerships for social improvement. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(3), 372-382.



- Faisal, B., Kapeller, J., Vicent, S., & Anber, M. (2024). Differential impacts of electricity access on educational outcomes: Evidence from Uganda. *The Electricity Journal*, 37(1), 107362.
- Fien, H., Chard, D. J., & Baker, S. K. (2021). Can the evidence revolution and multi-tiered systems of support improve education equity and reading achievement? *Reading Research Quarterly*, 56, S105-S118. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.391>
- Fraser, A., & Lockheed, M. (2021). Uganda case study. *The Role of Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Improving Education Outcomes*, 85.
- Glover, A., & Stewart, S. (2024). Using a blended distance pedagogy in teacher education to address challenges in teacher recruitment. *Teaching Education*, 35(1), 104-126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10476210.2023.2223526>
- Hardy, I., & Woodcock, S. (2024). Inclusive education policies: Objects of observance, omission, and obfuscation: Ten years on. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 28(13), 3234-3252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2023.2257697>
- Hasanova, G. I., & Safarli, A. J. (2024). Education for sustainable development: A review. *Green Economics*, 2(1), 102-111.
- Kabay, S. (2021). *Access, Quality, and the Global Learning Crisis: Insights from Ugandan Primary Education*. Oxford University Press.
- Kan, S., & Klasen, S. (2021). Evaluating universal primary education in Uganda: School fee abolition and educational outcomes. *Review of Development Economics*, 25(1), 116-147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rode.12725>
- Li, X., & Morris, P. (2024). Generating and managing legitimacy: How the OECD established its role in monitoring sustainable development goal 4. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 54(7), 1065-1082. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2022.2142038>
- Maki, T., Ogawa, M., & Sakaue, K. (2021). The prospect of education universalisation and inequality in African countries aspiring to be middle-income countries: A comparative study of Thailand, Kenya, and Uganda. *Africa Educational Research Journal*, 12, 19-36.
- Manani, S. J. O. (2021). *Affirmative action laws and policies: Interrogating their effectiveness in the promotion of substantive gender-based equality in Kenya*.
- Mansour, W., Aryaija-Karemani, A., Martineau, T., Namakula, J., Mubiri, P., Ssengooba, F., & Raven, J. (2022). Management of human resources for health in health districts in Uganda: A decision space analysis. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 37(2), 770-789. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3359>



- Mugerwa-Sekawabe, M. (2022). Increasing access to education for refugees in Uganda. *Law, Democracy & Development*, 25(1), 546-574.
- Mwesigye, S., Sekiwu, D., & Etoru, J. M. (2024). Professional development and science teacher effectiveness in Africa: A study of South Western Uganda. *East African Journal of Education Studies*, 7(3), 176-193.
- Naeem Mirza, S., & Iqbal, D. A. (2025). Participatory development: The role of citizens in advancing UN SDG-4 in Pakistan. *Aumer*.
- Natukunda, L., & Barigye, G. (2024). Supporting underprivileged children on their livelihood in communities of Kabale district: A case of Kabale child development program. *Kabale University Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 2(3), 38-50.
- Nichols, T. P., & Dixon-Roman, E. (2024). Platform governance and education policy: Power and politics in emerging edtech ecologies. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 46(2), 309-328. <https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737231202469>
- Nnachi, J. O. (2022). *A critical evaluation of the Vision 2020 Umurenge Programme (VUP) impact on reducing educational poverty and aiding poor children's equitable access to quality education in the Gasabo District of Kigali City, Rwanda* (Master's thesis, Oslomet-storbyuniversitetet).
- Robert, T., Charles, M., & Aisha, K. (2021). Effective financial resources management for school improvement and development in Uganda. *African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies*, 2(1), 1-18.
- Silva, R. D., & Oliveira, J. (2022). Global education policy in African fragile and conflict-affected states: Examining the Global Partnership for Education. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, 20(4), 508-522. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2021.1947201>
- SSALI, N. (2024). An assessment of organizational factors affecting utilization of monitoring and evaluation results in delivering government programs: A case of the Ministry of Education and Sports (MoES), Uganda. *Kabarak Journal of Research & Innovation*, 14(01).
- Uzoroka, A., Namara, S., & Olaniyan, A. O. (2023). Modern technology adoption and professional development of lecturers. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(11), 14693-14719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11790-w>
- Uzoroka, A., Akiyode, O., & Isa, S. M. (2024). Strategies for engaging students in sustainability initiatives and fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility towards sustainable development. *Discover Sustainability*, 5(1), 320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00505-x>



Zancajo, A., Fontdevila, C., Verger, A., & Bonal, X. (2021). *Regulating public-private partnerships, governing non-state schools: An equity perspective*. Background paper for UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report.

Zickafoose, A., Ilesanmi, O., Diaz-Manrique, M., Adeyemi, A. E., Walumbe, B., Strong, R., & Dooley, K. (2024). Barriers and challenges affecting quality education (SDG 4) in Sub-Saharan Africa by 2030. *Sustainability*, *16*(7), 2657. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072657>

Received: 03.25.2026

Revised: 04.01.2026

Accepted: 04.14.2026

Published: 04.15.2026



This is an open access article published under the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum
ISSN 3030-1718